
From: Alan Lorrimer [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 June 2022 16:41
To: Planning Planning
Cc: Sue Vincent (Cllr); Julian Fulbrook (Cllr); Awale Olad (Cllr); Amy Lamé
Subject: Your planning Ref: 2022/2055/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Planners, Sue, Julian, Awale and Amy,

Re: Your ref. 2022/2255/P

I strongly object to the demolition of Gt. Ormond St. Children's Hospital for the following reasons:

1. The proposed design will not in any way preserve the heritage character and appearance of the area.
 2. The height of the proposed development will be two storey's higher and will dwarf the neighbouring buildings.
 3. It will block light to the Georgian residential buildings opposite.
 4. There is a real possibility of damage to neighbouring buildings because of excavations and reverberations necessary to provide the multiple floor basement space.
 5. The proposed development will have a very detrimental effect on what is already a severely congested area during the week, disrupting for several years. There is an alternative plan to direct the heavy truck traffic to enter via Guilford St which is a workable and much preferable solution.
 6. The noise, pollution and disturbance will not only effect us residents but also harm the many businesses who desperately need to recover from the pandemic.
- &. What happens to the children and families who are currently so well looked after by the care teams that have taken years to create. The regular procession of children walking from our local primary school to church will cause concerns about their safety.

I hope that your committee will take these concerns seriously, we have a wonderful mixed and cohesive community in our area and this demolition and development needs to be urgently reconsidered.

Yours sincerely,

Alan Lorrimer
[REDACTED]