

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1680/HS2	Martin Sheppard	10/06/2022 13:03:44	INT	<p>I strongly object to this scheme as it is currently presented.</p> <p>It is very poorly designed in an unacceptable neo-brutalist style.</p> <p>It is not screened by planting or landscaping.</p> <p>HS2 provides no compensation in its immediate area for the loss of the green corridor it has replaced. Compensation at other places on the line is of no benefit to those living near the ventshaft.</p>
2022/1680/HS2	Charles Reekie	10/06/2022 16:58:24	OBJ	<p>The proposed structure is over bearing and completely out of the local context.it should be redesigned with more sympathy to the buildings in the local area.</p>
2022/1680/HS2	Martin Sheppard	10/06/2022 13:09:13	OBJ	<p>I am writing in my capacity as Chairman of the Gloucester Avenue Association, which has represented both those in Gloucester Avenue and many in Primrose Hill as a whole in questions to do with HS2. The Gloucester Avenue Association strongly objects to this scheme as it is currently presented.</p> <p>It is very poorly designed in an unacceptable neo-brutalist style.</p> <p>It is not screened by planting or landscaping.</p> <p>HS2 provides no compensation in its immediate area for the loss of the green corridor it has replaced. Compensation at other places on the line is of no benefit to those living near the vent shaft.</p> <p>The association also endorses the detailed points made about the current design by Jeff Travers.</p>
2022/1680/HS2	Sally Kindberg	14/06/2022 08:17:18	COMMNT	<p>As a local resident and user of nearby Adelaide Community Gardens, I totally object to HS2's planning application to build an architecturally offensive vent shaft on Adelaide Road, ignoring the objections of residents across the road from the building site, and ignoring any commitment to the green corridor. HS2's 'consultations' with local residents have been, as usual, quite worthless as HS2 forges ahead with its destructive, financially disastrous and ill thought out schemes</p>
2022/1680/HS2	P. Boman-Behram	10/06/2022 19:16:01	JUST	<p>I am writing to you because, in breach of its earlier promises, HS2 is planning to build the Adelaide Road ventshaft building in a neo-brutalist (cheap) style with no regard for the nearby green corridor or landscape.a The London to Birmingham HS2 iine is a totally unnecessary waste of money, causing hardships and even possibly cancelling much needed bus routes in London. My current specific HS2 objections are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Neo-brutalist design of no architectural merit.</li> <li>2. Failure to screen the building properly.</li> <li>3. Failure to compensate locally for the loss of the green corridor.</li> </ol>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1680/HS2	Neave	13/06/2022 14:12:32	COMMNT	<p>This ghastly structure makes no concession to most of the Victorian Edwardian buildings around it. it will blight the lives of travellers &amp; residents on Adelaide road or folk in &amp; passing though the delightful Primrose Hill &amp; the millions of people who will see it from trains on their final approach to London from Scotland, the North &amp; the midlands &amp; commuters into &amp; out of Euston from the Home Counties. It is a careless eyesore from HS2 people who have been granted draconian powers.</p> <p>Compare the elegance of the Primrose Hill railway tunnels near, which were designed &amp; built by people who cared in 1840 with this monstrosity apparently planned by brutes.</p>
2022/1680/HS2	Peter Darley	13/06/2022 16:38:41	SUPC	<p>A condition of this planning application should be to enable passage for pedestrians along the southern boundary, between the vent shaft site and the Network Rail retaining wall. Such passage must be generous enough for normal pedestrian movement. Without such a passage, the vent shaft is isolating the finest structure in the area: the Grade II* listed Primrose Hill East Portals, dating from 1837/1879. It is not acceptable that this does not form an essential part of the design, even if this involves some rebuilding of the retaining wall. The vent shaft is effectively harming this important structure by preventing the public from gaining future access to view the portals.</p>
2022/1680/HS2	Janet Cowen	14/06/2022 23:27:59	COMMNT	<p>The proposed plans are objectionable on several grounds:  The design does not blend in with the surroundings.  The building is too high in relation to the surrounding buildings  There is no provision for a green corridor, The required screening of trees has not been included.</p>
2022/1680/HS2	Martin Sheppard	10/06/2022 13:03:40	INT	<p>I strongly object to this scheme as it is currently presented.</p> <p>It is very poorly designed in an unacceptable neo-brutalist style.</p> <p>It is not screened by planting or landscaping.</p> <p>HS2 provides no compensation in its immediate area for the loss of the green corridor it has replaced. Compensation at other places on the line is of no benefit to those living near the ventshaft.</p>