

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Jack Felber	12/06/2022 17:45:13	INT	<p>Heaven Forbid!</p> <p>This plan is a monstrous overbuilding, will sap all the local services beyond limit.</p> <p>There is absolutely no legitimate interest in this development, which would utterly destroy the local habitat.</p> <p>This must be rejected in the strongest terms, and so should any similar proposal which is submitted as an attempt to ameliorate the impact as a negotiating procedure which will surely follow this initial plan.</p> <p>As a resident of some 45 years we object to this plan in the strongest possible terms.</p> <p>Any such proposal is absolutely ruinous to our local area.</p>
2022/0528/P	Anneliese Simeloff	08/06/2022 13:40:15	COMMNT	<p>I object to this proposal for the following reasons:</p> <p>12 Tower blocks within this one area is completely out of sync with the surrounding area and its buildings. The suggested density makes this a totally undesirable area to live in, it has the potential to become a ghetto for the poor and those without alternative.</p> <p>The suggested area for green space is wholly inadequate when taking into account the population density in this scheme which is 6 to 7 times average density for Camden.</p> <p>The scheme fails to include leisure and shopping facilities comparable to existing. Residents will depend on small local shops that are able to overcharge for all items since alternatives are far away. There is insufficient access to public transport. Local pavements are already overcrowded and cannot cope with additional 5000 residents. Single staircase exits pose a safety hazard in case of fire. The entire area will be a building site for 15 years!! Who would want to live there? This is the most horrendous design focussing on cheapness above all. Looks like a communist design from the 1950ties. Is that what Camden is aiming for??</p>
2022/0528/P	Jenny Lewenstein	09/06/2022 10:02:00	OBJ	<p>I am absolutely horrified by the thought that this monstrosity is going to be built in my area. It does nothing to solve the housing problems in the area and is only going to create more problems both during and after development. I have happily lived in the area most of my life but will be looking for a way to move out if this goes ahead. How can a 'labour' council support such a scheme! I was unable to vote labour at the last election, I was already furious with the national leadership and this tipped me over. Please think about the impact on the people already living and in some cases struggling in this area. The impact on west end lane will be massive and it will completely change the nature of the area. The only people who will be able to afford these flats will be wealthy people and they will likely stay unoccupied for a long time.</p>
2022/0528/P	Aitana	09/06/2022 21:35:51	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Dear Council,</p> <p>I never voted in favor of this project because I think is going to impact really badly our neighbourhood where I have been living for more than 18 years. The development of 12 tower blocks (additional to the recently developed ones in West End Lane) will not only be an eyesore and destroy the village-like charm of this area but will also become too overpopulated.</p> <p>I have always preferred the choice of investing in green areas, comercial and social spaces which will actually improve the quality of life of West and South Hampstead. Please consider it and protect our beloved neighbourhood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Thant Han	10/06/2022 17:26:21	PETITNOBJ E	I am resident of 20yrs and care about the neighbourhood. I would like to object to the proposed development. The construction of 1800 rental flats over 15yrs, 12 high storey tower blocks and 5000+ new residents negatively impacts the neighbourhood and community that surrounds the development. The tower blocks are substantially taller than their neighbouring area and significantly changes the skyline to a "Manhattan" style urban development. The development does not blend in with the low-rise/conservation area surroundings and the character of Camden. The dense lay-out of this towering scheme of repetitive rectangular blocks overshadows the importance of open space. Closely spaced high rise buildings would block out daylight for many residents. The increased density of residents will lead to significant overcrowded pavements, cafe, restaurants, services, roads, trains and shops. Majority of schools are also oversubscribed in the area. The bus, train and tube services are already overcrowded during peak hours and car traffic on the Finchley Road outside O2 Centre is terrible. The loss of the O2 Centre and a major Sainsbury's, all car parking is detrimental to the residents. I would be more in favour an equal mix of low rise homes (aimed at young families) and/or commerce that supports the community by providing services (retail, recreation, shops, restaurants) and jobs.
2022/0528/P	Shirley Skeel	14/06/2022 17:07:20	COMMNT	THIS IS A TEST. Camden has said it will continue to take comments after the 2 June deadline. But the comment page still shows that deadline. Please amend that deadline, as the planning committee meeting will not be until September and there are many more people who are only learning about this plan. This is also an OBJECTION to the Landsec plan.
2022/0528/P	Judith Gonzalez	14/06/2022 20:07:02	PETITNOBJ E	Dear Sir, or Madam, I have learnt about these plans to remove the O2 Center for building flats. I think it is a total disaster for our community, who really enjoys going to the O2 center, use the leisure facilities, and the parking in the area. Please do not destroy the O2 Center for building flats ! Kind regards, Judith Gonzalez

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Michael Parker	09/06/2022 10:56:48	OBJ	I wish to object to the planned development of the O2 site.

This is probably the most grotesque and indefensible development proposal I have ever seen. It is oppressive in its design and overwhelming in its density. The height of its buildings will overshadow its surroundings and destroy the character of the area. It will provide insufficient low rent housing - the kind the borough and the country desperately needs - in favour of high cost apartments, many of which, on the evidence of similar properties, will remain largely unoccupied or be sub-let for profit. The design of the buildings in the wake of the Grenfell disaster, with only single staircases, is clearly unsafe. The claimed provision of open space is pathetically insufficient, and much of that included in the current plans will be uninviting and unpleasant because of the height and overshadowing of the surrounding buildings. The loss of the O2 centre facilities, whatever the centre's manifest failings, will diminish choice and opportunities for local residents, including those in the wider area, and they will be inadequately replaced considering the number of new residents the development is planned to attract. In the event that the development IS fully occupied, the influx of new residents will overwhelm the community, causing congestion on the streets and on local public transport, and an unacceptable increase in traffic on roads that are already congested at busy times of the day..

I find it difficult to believe that any responsible local authority would approve this scheme in its present form, or that any councillor claiming to represent the interests of their constituents in West Hampstead, or the community as a whole, could possibly support it. If this plan goes through, it will destroy the area I have lived in for the past 32 years and will be yet another nail in the coffin of responsible local authority management. It is shameful that a plan this awful it has even got off the drawing board, let alone as far as being seriously considered by the Council. If it is approved, it will be to the Council's eternal shame.
