Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 08/06/2022 09:10:08 Response:		
2022/1899/P	Hazel Clayton	07/06/2022 14:07:06	APP	I am currently seeking to purchase a property in the area covered by Briardale Gardens and Platts Lane. I was a leaseholder in Platts Lane for some years and wish to return to the area. My attention was drawn to the advertised planning application to extend the conservatory on the first floor of No. 37 Platts Lane, and to the concerns of the surrounding Neighbourhood residents. This, I should imagine would be inconsistent with the Authorities conservation guidelines, not to mention the damage to the appearance of this fine old building. During my property search, local people as I am sure you are aware, appear to have some concern about light pollution. I do trust that Camden will consider this application with due care and attention.		
2022/1899/P Julie Harris 07/06/2022 21:37:02 OBJ				Sirs,		
				I am the Freeholder residing at 2 b Briardale Gardens, NW3 7PP and my house and garden border the property at 37 Platts Lane, ie the subject of this Planning Application 2022/1899/P for a proposed extension at the rear of flat 3.		
				We are extremely disappointed and concerned to be having to object for the third time to the possibility of such an extension. The proposal would change the aspect of the building and be dramatically noticeable from the back gardens of nearby residents in both Platt¿s Lane and Briardale Gardens, thus breaching the conservation area guidelines. ¿		
				This Planning Application is altogether out of character, entirely unsympathetic to our neighbourhood and unacceptable. I would ask you to please refuse permission to this application.		
				Yours faithfully		
				Julie Harris		
2022/1899/P	Julie Harris	07/06/2022 21:37:07	OBJ	Sirs,		
				I am the Freeholder residing at 2 b Briardale Gardens, NW3 7PP and my house and garden border the property at 37 Platts Lane, ie the subject of this Planning Application 2022/1899/P for a proposed extension at the rear of flat 3.		
				We are extremely disappointed and concerned to be having to object for the third time to the possibility of such an extension. The proposal would change the aspect of the building and be dramatically noticeable from the back gardens of nearby residents in both Platt¿s Lane and Briardale Gardens, thus breaching the conservation area guidelines. ¿		
				This Planning Application is altogether out of character, entirely unsympathetic to our neighbourhood and unacceptable. I would ask you to please refuse permission to this application.		
				Yours faithfully		
				Julie Harris		

				Printed on	08/06/2022	09:10:08
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:		
2022/1899/P	Laurence and Irene Bard	07/06/2022 12:17:47	OBJ	This application should be rejected for the same reasons previous similar applications have been rejected, and an appeal rejected. It is a further prominent and intrusive extension (of an existing prominent and intrusive extension) which offends against the consistent architecture of a block of houses (29-39 Platts Lane) within the Conservation Area, and does nothing to enhance nor preserve it. It is entirely different from the extension at no 31 which is hidden from the street and the rest of the block between nos 29 and 31. We will attach photographs (it seems necessary to do this by email) from both Briardale Gardens and Clorane Gardens and our balcony at 33 Platts Lane illustrating this.		