

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Shyam Hegde	31/05/2022 22:52:31	OBJ	<p>I am writing to you as a resident of Camden Council for all my life, and for the last 15 years a resident of the Finchley Road &amp; South Hampstead area.</p> <p>I would like to please convey my and my family's totally heart-felt objections to the planning application that has been submitted to Camden Council for the O2 Centre site and the adjoining land from West End Lane to Finchley Road.</p> <p>I believe this project is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Much too large for the existing residential and commercial area</li> <li>- Much too high-rise (12 tower blocks each 12-15 storeys high)</li> <li>- Much too lengthy a process (proposed 15 years elapsed time, I believe)</li> <li>- Much too densely populated (6-7 times Camden's average density)</li> <li>- Lacking in foresight to reduce over-population and resulting environmental damage and pollution right next to an already over-polluted A road (A41).</li> <li>- Lacking in foresight to increase the amount of open free space next to an already over-polluted A road (A41).</li> <li>- Lacking in realisation of the value of the existing services at the O2 Centre (Sainsbury's, Homebase, Cinemas, Bookshops, Restaurants etc.)</li> </ul> <p>I would please request that you put this on record as a formal objection from myself and my household.</p>
2022/0528/P	Laura Canevari	01/06/2022 02:42:22	OBJ	<p>Dear Mr Fowler,</p> <p>I am writing to strongly object to the proposed plan for the redevelopment of the O2 site, 2022/0528/P. My main concerns are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- increased density of the population of the area; services and infrastructure (transport, access to stations, schools and nurseries, GP surgeries, police, traffic etc) are already strained and will deteriorate further with the addition of thousands of new residents, in addition to those arriving at developments already under construction (Travis Perkins site) and recently constructed (West Hampstead Square).</li> <li>- the height of the proposed development - not in keeping with the nature of the surrounding area, causing loss of light and visually disturbing for surrounding residents</li> <li>- the loss of the amenities currently provide by the O2 centre: gym, cinema, DIY store, and above all a large affordable supermarket with car parking which allows families to do a large weekly shop. All these represent important factors for the community, including opportunities for social interactions. Families would be forced to drive longer distances from their weekly shop, with environmental and pollution impact in addition to inconvenience. There aren't similar alternatives to the facilities listed above in the area</li> <li>- the impact of a large number of small apartment on the social mix of the area - these would favour single or couples occupancy as opposed to families.</li> <li>- the environmental impact of demolition of the existing buildings - it seems extremely wasteful given the recent construction of the O2 complex.</li> <li>- the impact of many years of construction work on the quality of life of current residents of the area, in terms of noise, heavy vehicle traffic, road/pedestrian path closures, dust pollution.</li> </ul> <p>I would be in favour of development of the current surface-level car park only, with buildings of lesser height than proposed, an underground car park, and public green spaces, in addition to a contribution to the improvement of the current stations, for example step-free access to West Hampstead and Finchley Road underground stations. I strongly urge Camden Council to reject this proposal.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Alexandr Petrenko	03/06/2022 13:51:43	OBJ	Please do not build high rise buildings here, removing our favorite shopping centre and supermarket!! We do not want 5000 extra people living there instead of Sainsbury's? It is 7 times Camden's average density! We don't need a ton of rental flats? This will increase crime rates, decrease the value of our neighborhood.
2022/0528/P	Shelley Katz	01/06/2022 12:39:55	OBJ	I object to this planning application for the following reasons:  1. The neighbourhood infrastructure (tubes, roads, water, power, shops etc.) cannot support the density of population that 12 tower blocks would bring. There is a huge development just started in Chalk Farm. Plus there is also the vast Swiss Cottage site which stands empty and has never been developed.  2. A loss of important amenities for our neighbourhood. With the demolition of Morrison's, Sainsbury's is the only affordable supermarket within walking distance, (Waitrose is overpriced) Also the O2 Centre has restaurants, a cinema, shops and a gym all of which are important to people who live here.  3. Buildings with only a single staircase, few starter homes and no green space have no place in modern thinking.
2022/0528/P	M Zanfaly	03/06/2022 18:10:28	OBJ	The proposed development is so unwelcome in so many ways. The area is already crowded with traffic and shared amenities. Replacing the existing amenities with an overdeveloped and dense housing development is extremely detrimental to the area. The O2 centre as it stands with the cinema restaurants and especially the supermarket with parking is a godsend for everyone in the area. I have been a Camden resident since 1984 and I know many people in the area. I am yet to meet anyone who thinks any of these changes are a good idea. It's purely benefitting the developer and massively harming the community and depriving it of resources- and adding more people for whom there will not be resources. The next sizeable supermarket with parking will be Brent Cross which is a considerable distance away. The O2 centre also brings business into the area and acts as a social hub for many families in the area. The new updated development plans are also an abominable eyesore which will destroy the West Hampstead skyline from local properties. We do not need more high rises next to a conservation area. Most residents are collectively horrified by these plans, and have been since the beginning.
2022/0528/P	Tina Siren	03/06/2022 11:49:12	COMMNT	Loss of Sainsbury's for long time.  West Hampstead and Finchley Road tube stations not coping with so many new people.  No new schools.  Tower blocks too many/ too high.  No new Development Please !

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Tina Siren	03/06/2022 11:49:14	COMMNT	<p>Loss of Sainsbury's for long time.</p> <p>West Hampstead and Finchley Road tube stations not coping with so many new people.</p> <p>No new schools.</p> <p>Tower blocks too many/ too high.</p> <p>No new Development Please !</p>
2022/0528/P	Kunj Shah	05/06/2022 16:47:18	OBJ	<p>As a registered voter, living in the Camden borough, I want to register my rejection of planning application 2022/0528/P and the proposed over development of the O2 Centre.</p> <p>I am very concerned about the consequential impact on overcrowded pavements, increased demands on government services, roads, transport and shops when the knock-on effects of COVID on the retail sector is being felt significantly as is the effect of inflation and rising food and energy costs.</p> <p>The removal of the O2 Centre, Sainsbury's and car park would be very detrimental and leave only Waitrose as the primary supermarket for the Finchley Road area which is not competitively fair for persons of all income or non-income levels, let alone facilities such as Virgin health club.</p> <p>The unexplained or known impact on local infrastructure demands (we already have a tube strike on Monday 6 June and that alone in such a well connected area is highly problematic) without even mention of the impact to local environment, especially given in recent times the increase in fox-observed disturbing activities.</p>
2022/0528/P	Katharine Young	03/06/2022 18:12:10	OBJ	<p>As a local resident I wish to express my extremely strong objection to the proposed development for the O2 Centre site. I have spoken to dozens of local residents and I am yet to meet 1 person who is in support of this. Not only will it cause massive overcrowding in an already busy area and put huge strain on all local amenities and infrastructure, but it will ruin the skyline of our currently beautiful neighbourhood and deprive us of what has become a much-loved and used part of our community: the O2 Centre and Homebase.</p> <p>I would like it registered that no one who lives in this area wants this monstrosity to be built and I object in the strongest possible way to the building of high rise buildings on this plot of land.</p>
2022/0528/P	Valeria Kozel	05/06/2022 00:25:38	APP	<p>This development is BAD for the community for a number of reasons. First it will destroy the O2 centre which is a centre for the community with such facilities as gym, cinema and shopping. If you remove the gym there will be no swimming pool in the area which is outrageous. Secondly, the amount of people added to the transport link will be bad for the transportation and will not allow people that already live in the area to properly commute. Thirdly, the so called promise to give the some of the housing with affordable prices is a complete and utter LIE. Cause either the house is going to be built with improper materials or the prices will be ridiculous for the square foot. And finally removing the parking area that is used by gym, cinema and shop members will not allow anyone to use this area properly. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS DEVELOPMENT TO GO AHEAD. DO NOT DESTROY THE AREA. THE DEVELOPERS THAT BUILD IT WILL NOT LIVD HEAR, SO THEY DONT CARE ABOUT CONSEQUENCES.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Valeria Kozel	05/06/2022 00:25:45	APP	<p>This development is BAD for the community for a number of reasons. First it will destroy the O2 centre which is a centre for the community with such facilities as gym, cinema and shopping. If you remove the gym there will be no swimming pool in the area which is outrageous. Secondly, the amount of people added to the transport link will be bad for the transportation and will not allow people that already live in the area to properly commute. Thirdly, the so called promise to give the some of the housing with affordable prices is a complete and utter LIE. Cause either the house is going to be built with improper materials or the prices will be ridiculous for the square foot. And finally removing the parking area that is used by gym, cinema and shop members will not allow anyone to use this area properly. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS DEVELOPMENT TO GO AHEAD. DO NOT DESTROY THE AREA. THE DEVELOPERS THAT BUILD IT WILL NOT LIVE HEAR, SO THEY DONT CARE ABOUT CONSEQUENCES.</p>
2022/0528/P	Alessandro Vaturi	31/05/2022 19:10:54	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/Madam,</p> <p>I am a resident of South Hampstead (NW6) and I strongly oppose the project aiming to construct 1800 flats over 15 years instead of the O2 centre.</p> <p>Should the project go ahead in the current form, the area will quickly become overcrowded, in addition to deprive existing residents of the loved O2 centre facilities (which includes amongst others car parking, a great affordable super market, a cinema and a Waterstones amongst others).</p> <p>Any new residential project in the area of the O2 centre should not lead to overcrowding, and should be proportionate to the existing livable space.</p> <p>In addition, offering too many units as affordable housing, will bring down the value of properties nearby, which is unfair to the existing residents.</p> <p>Those of us who have been living in the area for a while, have invested hard earned significant savings into buying flats in the area. Should the proposed project go ahead, house prices will surely fall, and we will be suffering significant financial losses, on top of a deterioration of our quality of living.</p> <p>I ask you to reconsider the plan and reject it.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention</p>
2022/0528/P	C Quested	03/06/2022 10:39:04	OBJ	<p>As someone who lives close to the O2Centre I wish to register my objections to the proposed planning application. I fear that the input of 5000 more residents into the area would put severe strains on the local services such as schools, shops, GP surgeries etc. I have lived here for over 50 years and during that time I have seen a considerable increase in human and vehicular activity, especially along the Finchley Road and I feel that the area has now reached saturation point as far as that goes and that adding another 5000 people to the mix would be seriously disadvantageous to the area and its present inhabitants.</p> <p>I remember when the whole of the present O2 site was a vast scrapyard and recall that it was only 30 years ago that the present development was built and I consider that it would be a tremendous waste of money and materials in a world of finite resources if it was all to be demolished after such a short period of time, simply for the profit of developers.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Alan Robinson	04/06/2022 12:11:03	COMMENT	Very disappointed, the area will become overcrowded and local infrastructure will suffer has will local residents, it should not be allowed to go ahead
2022/0528/P	Itzhak Rascofy	02/06/2022 18:16:17	RETTINOBJE	
2022/0528/P	Kunj Shah	05/06/2022 16:47:21	OBJ	<p>As a registered voter, living in the Camden borough, I want to register my rejection of planning application 2022/0528/P and the proposed over development of the O2 Centre.</p> <p>I am very concerned about the consequential impact on overcrowded pavements, increased demands on government services, roads, transport and shops when the knock-on effects of COVID on the retail sector is being felt significantly as is the effect of inflation and rising food and energy costs</p> <p>The removal of the O2 Centre, Sainsbury's and car park would be very detrimental and leave only Waitrose as the primary supermarket for the Finchley Road area which is not competitively fair for persons of all income or non-income levels, let alone facilities such as Virgin health club.</p> <p>The unexplained or known impact on local infrastructure demands (we already have a tube strike on Monday 6 June and that alone in such a well connected area is highly problematic) without even mention of the impact to local environment, especially given in recent times the increase in fox-observed disturbing activities.</p>
2022/0528/P	Tina Vlassopoulos	03/06/2022 13:15:55	COMMENT	<p>I object to this development as it will cause overcrowding in the area and the local infrastructure is inadequate for such a swelling of the population.</p> <p>We do not need more unaffordable housing developments instead we need genuinely affordable homes for families and community spaces. Families do not like living in tower blocks.</p> <p>Tower blocks are anti-social, not homes for communities and a health and safety risk when there is only one staircase for access and escape.</p>
2022/0528/P	Brian Kaplan	03/06/2022 13:40:37	COMMENT	<p>I have been a resident in the area (Greencroft Gardens) and I love the O2 centre and oppose planning permission to convert it into housing in an area that is relatively extremely densely populated in Camden. If it were to be converted into any other community space accessible to all in the area, that would be another matter.</p> <p>Please add my name to those in opposition to this project</p> <p>Dr Brian Kaplan 102 Greencroft Gardens NW6 3PH</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Andrew	05/06/2022 13:26:49	OBJ	<p>I strongly oppose the latest development plans for this site. The residential towers are far too tall and dense for the area. Camden Council must not approve the proposal in its current form with residential towers of this proposed height, which will completely overshadow the surrounding area. Even if the residential towers were reduced to half their proposed height they would still be too tall for the area.</p> <p>I also do not believe that the local infrastructure will be able to support this many residents, and accordingly the height and density of the development must be reduced.</p>
2022/0528/P	BRIAN Harris	01/06/2022 14:02:37	OBJ	<p>I object to this planning application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The neighbourhood infrastructure (tubes, roads, water, power, shops etc.) cannot support the density of population that 12 tower blocks would bring. There is a huge development just started in Chalk Farm. Plus there is also the vast Swiss Cottage site which stands empty and has never been developed.</li> <li>2. A loss of important amenities for our neighbourhood. With the demolition of Morrison's, Sainsbury's is the only affordable supermarket within walking distance, (Waitrose is overpriced) Also the O2 Centre has restaurants, a cinema, shops and a gym all of which are important to people who live here.</li> <li>3. Buildings with only a single staircase, few starter homes and no green space have no place in modern thinking.</li> </ol>
2022/0528/P	Vlad-Stefan Harbuz	02/06/2022 15:37:09	OBJ	<p>I object to this application for multiple reasons. First of all, the surrounding conservation areas feature many traditional red-brick buildings, giving the neighbourhood a lovely character. This application would completely disregard that, visually pollute the area, irreparably damage its beauty and significantly impact the environment of nearby residents, not least of which by overshadowing. I am not in principle opposed to a residential redevelopment, but the height and design of the application is completely out of touch with its surroundings and would feel damaging and out of place.</p> <p>Secondly, this application would eliminate significant public amenities, in particular with regards to shopping, while adding significant crowding to an already very busy area. The application does not sufficiently contribute to local infrastructure, and in my view this makes it entirely unfit for the area.</p> <p>I would welcome a development that is in touch with the local area's character, that builds and expands on its history rather than disregarding it, that does not negatively impact the everyday life of existing residents, and that augments amenities for residents and reduces overcrowding. As the current application transparently does not meet these conditions, I wholeheartedly object to it.</p>
2022/0528/P	Jack Connor	02/06/2022 19:04:20	PETTINOBJ E	<p>I am deeply concerned about the planned development. It will ruin the neighborhood's image with overdevelopment and turn into one of those concrete jungle apartment block areas with possible increased presence of crime and antisocial behaviors. I vehemently object to granting permission to this development.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Prof Michael Duchen	01/06/2022 17:03:32	COMMENT	<p>I object strongly to the proposed plan for the redevelopment of the O2 site, 2022/0528/P. My main concerns are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- increased population density in the area; services and infrastructure (transport, access to stations, schools, nurseries, GP surgeries, police, traffic etc) are already strained to breaking point and will deteriorate further with the addition of thousands of new residents, in addition to those arriving at developments already under construction (Travis Perkins site) and recently constructed (West Hampstead Square).</li> <li>- the height of the proposed development - not in keeping with the nature of the surrounding area, causing loss of light and an eyesore for surrounding residents</li> <li>- the loss of the amenities currently provide by the O2 centre: gym, cinema, DIY store, and above all a large affordable supermarket with car parking which allows families to do a large weekly shop. All these are important for the community, including opportunities for social interactions. Families would be forced to drive longer distances for a weekly shop, with environmental, traffic and pollution impact in addition to inconvenience. There are no similar alternatives to the facilities listed above in the area.</li> <li>- the impact of a large number of small apartments on the social mix of the area - these would favour single or couples occupancy as opposed to families.</li> <li>- the environmental impact of demolition of the existing buildings - it seems extremely wasteful given the relatively recent construction of the O2 complex.</li> <li>- the impact of many years of construction work on the quality of life of current residents of the area, in terms of noise, heavy vehicle traffic, road/pedestrian path closures, dust pollution, we are already suffering from the noise of construction at the Travis Perkins site.</li> </ul> <p>I would be in favour of development of the current surface-level car park only, with buildings of lesser height than proposed, accompanied by an underground car park, and public green spaces, in addition to a contribution to the improvement of the current stations, for example step-free access to West Hampstead and Finchley Road underground stations.</p> <p>I strongly urge Camden Council to reject this proposal.</p>