

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1754/P	Francoise Findlay, member of BCAAC committee	01/06/2022 16:28:14	OBJ	<p>I did make objection to the original application made in 2015, it was granted. New owners submitted substantial modification to the original permission 2021/2071/P. I again objected to the size of the extension and the raised terrace which would be intrusive for neighbours. Permission was granted</p> <p>The only cause for objection on this latest revision is the addition of a second construction at the end of the garden. I am assured that the sauna, although difficult to judge since there are few helpful measurements on the drawing, will be the same size/height as the original that was granted permission. There is assurance that the new position will ensure the safeguarding of the mulberry tree which is such a valuable feature. The objection to the garden shed would be allayed if there was a smaller compost area and a smaller shed. This would then be less intrusive. I hope this could be made a condition.</p>
