
From: Bloomsbury Conservation <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 04 June 2022 19:55
To: Geri Gohin
Cc: Planning Planning; Elizabeth Beaumont; Ewan Campbell
Subject: OBJECTION: 2021/6045/P 107 KX Road
Attachments: 107 Kings Cross Road.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Geri / Fast Track Team 'GG',

The Bloomsbury CAAC maintains its objection to this application and attached its previous objection to the retrospective application 2021/3394/P, which was refused.

It is not clear from the application what is even being applied for. I am sure you are aware that much of these alterations have already been carried out, but the application does not state that it is retrospective. There were serious concerns about the works carried out, the basement elevation having been completely demolished, and the new railings covering an inspection cover and being of a totally inappropriate design. Having visited the site I seriously doubt the works comply with building regulations or have even been inspected. I am assuming an enforcement notice has actually been issued by now too.

We can understand the applicant's intention to 'match' the layout and appearance of nearby Georgian terraces which is acceptable in principle, but it is clear some sort of reinstatement works are required to the basement level anyway, and an architect who can create proper drawings should be instructed to prepare a planning application and carry out the works. Just reapplying for more or less the same thing isn't going to change much.

Owen Ward



61B Judd Street, Bloomsbury, London. WC1H 9QT

bloomsburyconservation.org.uk