

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1899/P	Ashley Richard Abraham	28/05/2022 11:48:45	OBJ	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>My wife and I are freeholders and long term residents of 39 Platts Lane that adjoins 37 Platt's Lane with a shared party wall.</p> <p>As with the numerous applications for development of number 37 over recent years, which I have previously objected to, the latest application continues to be objectionable for all the same reasons as previously. The proposal is to extend the existing conservatory's rear projection significantly which will continue to have a material impact on light to our property (we have several windows facing the site of the proposed development). The inclusion of obscured glass does not improve in any way the previous applications.</p> <p>Furthermore, as was found on previous applications and refusals the new proposal is not consistent with published Camden guidelines nor RedFrog policies for the conservation area in which the property exists. Any approval would be in conflict with such planning guidelines vis a vis the street scene and development density.</p> <p>I do not understand the serial applications for a project which remains inappropriate and in principal has been rejected numerous times.</p> <p>Kind regards, Tim</p>
2022/1899/P	Lesley South	29/05/2022 13:15:19	OBJ	<p>Addendum to my earlier objection: I stated that the present application described the property as designed by the architect C H B Quennel but I am mistaken. It was a previous, refused, application (2019/5927/P) which referred to Quennel.</p>
2022/1899/P	Kit Mee Lim	28/05/2022 17:06:57	OBJ	<p>The enlargement will be an eyesore from the road and its size will be much larger than the other balconies in the area and will also look odd with respect to the size of the block. Is this larger size in keeping with the conservation residential ethos of the area?</p> <p>If all conservatories, not situated on the ground floor, are enlarged in the neighbourhood, then the poor residents on the ground floor of all these buildings concerned, will have their access to natural light limited.</p>
2022/1899/P	Ian and Anna Fiertag	29/05/2022 18:18:39	OBJ	<p>We object to planning permission being given to this extension. We live at 41 Platts Lane and our front door opens onto Briardale Gardens. We will immediately see this proposed extension and they will be able to 'spy' on us. Surely this isn't allowed. I thought that balconies etc which overlook other peoples gardens were not allowed to be built any more. Also this is a conservation area - this extension would change the whole character of this building and the adjoining buildings.</p> <p>Please reject this planning application</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1899/P	Lesley South	29/05/2022 11:54:59	OBJ	<p>I am the owner/occupier of Flat 1, 37 Platt's Lane and wish to object most strongly to this planning application. My flat is at the bottom of the building and gets restricted sunlight. The proposed extension is between the path of the sun and my back garden and will restrict the light further and thus affect the amount of light in my bedroom.</p> <p>The application states that the windows at the side will be obscure-glazed to afford privacy to the neighbours but does not state whether these windows can be opened. If they can then privacy issues are raised.</p> <p>The plans seem to show that there will be double doors at the end of the proposed extension thus raising further privacy issues especially as it would be directly above the patio of Flat 2.</p> <p>The application states that 37 was designed by C H B Quennell and, in my opinion, this proposal is not in keeping with his plans. The fact that the existing extension was allowed (wrongly in my opinion) does not mean that it can be added to without affecting the integrity of the building.</p> <p>Finally, I do not believe this large extension would be appropriate or in keeping with this conservation area. It is visible from Briardale Gardens and to other neighbouring properties. It will be a dominant extension and, being glass, will raise the light pollution.</p>
