

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1817/P	Sarah Gillam	26/05/2022 08:12:59	OBJ	Object to the addition of vertical extensions to existing building which will reduce vision and impose on flats in near proximity in thanet st. Additional height extensions were added to student flats in cartwright gardens impacting on the view of residents in Sandwich st hemming in and reducing light and vista into upper floor flats. This is an area of historical interest and close proximity to a quiet residential area. This constant expansion of buildings upwards has to stop. Not to mention constant unwanted building work for years on end. We've endured cartwright gardens, camden town hall and now another one. There are already many struggling cafes in Judd st. We do not need another one.
2022/1817/P	Sarah Gillam	26/05/2022 08:13:06	OBJ	Object to the addition of vertical extensions to existing building which will reduce vision and impose on flats in near proximity in thanet st. Additional height extensions were added to student flats in cartwright gardens impacting on the view of residents in Sandwich st hemming in and reducing light and vista into upper floor flats. This is an area of historical interest and close proximity to a quiet residential area. This constant expansion of buildings upwards has to stop. Not to mention constant unwanted building work for years on end. We've endured cartwright gardens, camden town hall and now another one. There are already many struggling cafes in Judd st. We do not need another one.
2022/1817/P	Sarah Gillam	26/05/2022 08:13:02	OBJ	Object to the addition of vertical extensions to existing building which will reduce vision and impose on flats in near proximity in thanet st. Additional height extensions were added to student flats in cartwright gardens impacting on the view of residents in Sandwich st hemming in and reducing light and vista into upper floor flats. This is an area of historical interest and close proximity to a quiet residential area. This constant expansion of buildings upwards has to stop. Not to mention constant unwanted building work for years on end. We've endured cartwright gardens, camden town hall and now another one. There are already many struggling cafes in Judd st. We do not need another one.
2022/1817/P	Sarah Gillam	26/05/2022 08:12:57	OBJ	Object to the addition of vertical extensions to existing building which will reduce vision and impose on flats in near proximity in thanet st. Additional height extensions were added to student flats in cartwright gardens impacting on the view of residents in Sandwich st hemming in and reducing light and vista into upper floor flats. This is an area of historical interest and close proximity to a quiet residential area. This constant expansion of buildings upwards has to stop. Not to mention constant unwanted building work for years on end. We've endured cartwright gardens, camden town hall and now another one. There are already many struggling cafes in Judd st. We do not need another one.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1817/P	A.D.E. Ford [Director of Sinclair Sandwich Thanet Limited]	25/05/2022 15:11:13	OBJ	<p>SINCLAIR SANDWICH THANET LTD. Registered Office address: Ossington Chambers, 6-8 Castle Gate, Newark, Notts. NG24 1AX Registered in England, No.: 01954285</p> <p>25 May 2022</p> <p>For the attention of Mr Patrick Marfleet, Planning Department, Camden Borough Council</p> <p>Subject: Application 2022/1817/P: 105 - 121 Judd Street, London WC1H 9NE</p> <p>Dear Mr Marfleet</p> <p>In my capacity as one of the Directors of Sinclair Sandwich Thanet Limited, I write on behalf of the Company to object to the above-referenced planning application.</p> <p>Sinclair Sandwich Thanet Limited is the freeholder of the residential block known as Sinclair, Sandwich and Thanet Houses ("SST"), located on the western side of the northern end of Thanet Street, immediately opposite the western side of the RNIB building.</p> <p>Having reviewed the planning application, it is evident to my fellow Directors and me that the proposed development would have significantly adverse effects on SST residents.</p> <p>One of our most prominent concerns is the loss of natural light in a number of residential properties at SST which would result directly from the proposed development. Indeed, the applicant is aware of this since the studies included in its application indicate significant loss of light at SST: in some properties, this loss of light is expected to exceed 40%, and at least in one case, to exceed 50%.</p> <p>Those studies furthermore state that the loss of light is not relevant where the affected rooms are bedrooms. We challenge this assertion, since it is far from uncommon for bedrooms to be used as studies or home offices. In addition, for affected SST flats with more than one room, it would be neither reasonable nor acceptable if the proposed development, by virtue of significantly reducing natural light, effectively dictated to our leaseholders which available room should serve as a bedroom and which room (for example) as a sitting room. In the case of studio or one-bedroom flats, the resulting loss of light would by definition affect more than just the "bedroom" element of the flat.</p> <p>A further concern is the proposed introduction of roof terraces at levels three, four and five for use by building tenants on weekdays from 8 am to 8 pm. Our fear is that this could pose a noise issue for SST residents.</p> <p>We also feel that the proposed development would harm the nature of the Bloomsbury Conservation Area: specifically, it would significantly increase both the height and the massing of the existing building and the result would in our opinion be incompatible with the style of the other buildings in the area.</p> <p>Yours sincerely for and on behalf of Sinclair Sandwich Thanet Limited</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				A.D.E. FORD, Director

---