

# CONSULTATION SUMMARY

## Case reference number(s)

2022/1339/P

## Case Officer:

Leela Muthoora

## Application Address:

12 Sumatra Road, London, NW6 1PU

## Proposal(s)

Erection of an 'L' shaped rear dormer extension with rooflight to flat roof, and installation of 3 x rooflights on the front roof slope to dwelling house (Class C3).

## Representations

Consultations:	No. notified	0	No. of responses	1	No. of objections	1
----------------	--------------	---	------------------	---	-------------------	---

Summary of representations <i>(Officer response(s) in italics)</i>	<p><u>The owner/occupier of 31 Dennington Park Road have objected to the application on the following grounds:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• My only comment is why do they need roof lights at the front? That just adds to the light pollution we already suffer from in this area. Please refuse the addition of roof lights.</li></ul> <p><u>The owner/occupier of 10 Sumatra Road have objected to the application on the following grounds:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Drawings: discrepancy within the drawings, between the proposed roof plan and the floor plan.</li><li>• Light and Openness: The proposed loft conversion in combination with the proposed wrap around rear extension, (2022/1338/P pending consideration), will have a considerable impact upon the light and openness of the neighbouring property.</li><li>• Design, Mass and Scale: a substantial roof extension with design concerns, including proposed side window resulting in overlooking.</li><li>• Privacy and Amenity: The potential to negatively impact upon the neighbouring dwelling, should query whether the proposal meets the</li></ul>
---	--

parameters of permitted development.

Officer's response to comments

*This application is a legal determination of the development under Schedule 2, Part 1, Class B and Class C of the General Permitted Development Order 2015 (as amended) (GPDO).*

*The discrepancy in the drawings as asserted has not been identified and revisions submitted.*

*The assessment is a factual test against the wording of the relevant legislation, in this case, the criteria set out within the GPDO under Class B and C only, and the Council is not afforded the right to include its design or amenity policies as material considerations. As set out in the associated officers' report the proposals meet the criteria of the GPDO and therefore constitutes permitted development.*

**Recommendation:- Grant Lawful Development Certificate**