Delegated Report		Analysis sheet N/A / attached		Expiry	Date:	04/04/20	022
				Expiry	Date:		
Officer Nick Bell		Application Number(s) 2022/0697/T					
Application Address 10 Acol Road London NW6 3AH			Drawing Numb	ers			
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature C	&UD	Authorised Off	icer Si	gnature		
Proposal(s)							
FRONT GARDEN: 1 x Eucalyptus (T1) - Reduce height by 5m and reshape. No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a							
Recommendation(s):							
Application Type: Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area						tion Area	
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Decision Notice						
Informatives:	ves:						
Consultations							
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	00	No. of responses	01 00	No. of o	objections	01
Summary of consultation responses:	The council received one consultation response: • I wish to object to this application to reduce the height of this tree by as much as 6m. This would be an act of pure vandalism for a tree protected by a TPO in a Conservation Area, that is (as an approximation from the single photo provided) only some 8m tall in total. Reducing the height of a mature tree such as this one by as much as 75% is likely to be very prejudicial to its health and will likely kill it. No justification is given for such drastic pollarding and it appears to be in good health, and not allegedly causing any structural issue. As it is subject to a TPO and is in a Conservation Area in a very visible front garden location, please can you permit only a much more modest crown reduction and no more than a 20% reduction in height?						
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	None received.						

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the reduction in height of a Eucalyptus tree that is in the front garden of a residential property that is situated with the South Hampstead Conservation Area.

This tall and slender Eucalyptus tree has become etiolated and has outgrown its space, moving in the wind to a great degree. The propose works are considered appropriate and proportionate given the height and form of the tree, and the amount of movement evident during a site visit. The degree of proposed reduction was amended from 6m to 5m at the request of the council.

The agent has mistakenly included a photo of the wrong tree with the submission. The objection received relates to the tree in the photo that was submitted. This tree in the photo is far smaller tree; a hawthorn that is subject to a TPO. As such, the objection does not relate to the tree in question.

It is not expedient for the council to serve a tree preservation order to protect the tree.

The council does not object to the proposed works.