

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0658/L	Christopher Cooke	26/04/2022 18:22:57	INT	<p>I have the following concerns about the front and back gardens:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There does not appear to be any grass in the plans for the gardens. At the moment the back garden has a substantial amount of grass which so far as I can see will be paved over or taken up by the new garden room. I know that grass is not a guarantee of biodiversity but it is pleasanter to look at than paving and if not overcut will unlike paving support wildlife. Paving in the front gardens of Provost Road has detracted from the attractiveness of the road and more paving should not be introduced into the back gardens. 2. The proposed new garden room will take more space away from the garden and together with the various garden features in a smallish space may create a feeling of clutter. 3. The water feature sounds a nice idea but continual noise from water spouts could be a nuisance. 4. Will the water in the water feature and the pond be tap water or natural water? Wildlife is averse to tap water. 5. I have no comments on the alterations to the house but would like them completed as quickly and quietly as possible.

2022/0658/L	Christopher Cooke	26/04/2022 18:23:02	INT	<p>I have the following concerns about the front and back gardens:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There does not appear to be any grass in the plans for the gardens. At the moment the back garden has a substantial amount of grass which so far as I can see will be paved over or taken up by the new garden room. I know that grass is not a guarantee of biodiversity but it is pleasanter to look at than paving and if not overcut will unlike paving support wildlife. Paving in the front gardens of Provost Road has detracted from the attractiveness of the road and more paving should not be introduced into the back gardens. 2. The proposed new garden room will take more space away from the garden and together with the various garden features in a smallish space may create a feeling of clutter. 3. The water feature sounds a nice idea but continual noise from water spouts could be a nuisance. 4. Will the water in the water feature and the pond be tap water or natural water? Wildlife is averse to tap water. 5. I have no comments on the alterations to the house but would like them completed as quickly and quietly as possible.
-------------	----------------------	---------------------	-----	---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0831/P	Bethanie Alhadeff	26/04/2022 14:35:39	PETITNOBJ E	<p>Dear Mr Hodgson, Thank you for returning my call today.</p> <p>This application, as in several of the applications our downstairs neighbours have made over the past 2-3 yrs, come after the fact. You will note that their demolition began before permits were in place and caused considerable demolition damages to our flat, as yet unanswered. In this particular case, it is clear by looking at other entrances, that this one exceeds the line of the facade by a considerable sum. It is aesthetically questionable, though you may not make decisions on this fact. It is, above all, aggressive relative to the rest of the buildings on the street. In the process, they ripped out an iron fence that kept our dog from escaping, with no gesture towards replacing it. Their trash bins are on the building property, NOT their walkway. These neighbours were given approval to build a very large extension in the back garden which abides by volumes permitted to those with full back gardens. They possess HALF. They managed to fill the entire half of the garden with a built structure. It invades our visual privacy considerably as well as contributing to the amount of light that enters our living space all night. Sky lights were not correctly indicated on the drawings they submitted to you, so they simply went forward, oversized and always lit. Their entire living spaced is always lit and shines into ours. Their green roof should be accessible to us as a terrace, in the very least. ALL of these 'permits' reduce the value and liveability of our flat. We are considering putting it on the market, due to the complete reduction of the qualities the flat was purchased for: green space, quiet, little urban light pollution. Please consider visiting us and seeing the ways in which Camden has not safeguarded this property, up to and including this entrance which was built with no permission whatsoever. We request that it be taken down post haste. We also request that some sort of allowance be made for us to use the 'terrace'. The space above our lower ground neighbour DOES NOT BELONG to them, nor should they decide. If you come to see you will note that up and down Maresfield, flats are building out, and above the lower ground spaces they anticipate, to their financial advantage. Please consider this a serious request for review of Camden's dealing with such applications, whether they are for single ownership buildings or not. It makes for a most uneven situation and suggests no one is paying any attention whatsoever to the points I have raised. PLEASE REMOVE AND PUSH BACK THIS FRONT GATE AND AWNING FIRST AND FOREMOST. These neighbours promised verbally to built a grate above their entrance to prevent thieves from coming onto their roof: one of the many promises they made and subsequently ignored, in full. The pattern must end here. Thank you, Cordially, The Alhadeff Family, Giancarlo and Bethanie, David and Tara.</p>
