

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1079/P	RolandHartwig	23/04/2022 16:00:14	OBJ	<p>I live within 500 feet of the proposed site for this 5G tower at Lowlands Court. This is approximately the same distance as the Sarum Hall school.</p> <p>A should think that a large majority of residents living close to the proposed site are fortunate enough to have WiFi at home, or via local businesses and don't need an additional 5G tower in the centre of our neighbourhood. Rather than create a new tower to replace towers from the Hampstead Britannia, other towers already cover this area and should be leveraged in line with existing planning licenses.</p> <p>My bedroom is facing this tower with minimal obstruction. I work from home within site of this tower. The Washington Pub is my local pub after work and directly adjacent to sitting under the tower. Many kids on the street attend Sarum Hall which is also not far from the tower. I already have 20 WiFi signals reaching me full time, multiple mobile phone providers signals, and strong EMF emanating under the sidewalks of Primrose Gardens.</p> <p>My extensive reading on the subject show that the definition of 5G is an evolving one— indeed one that differs by country. However, it is also clear that the health risks are not manifestly understood, and that a lot of money is behind pushing these initiatives today, while health consequences may take decades to understand.</p> <p>I object to living 24 hours a day within 500 feet of yet another source of radiation. It is unnecessary. Our community has everything it needs and does not need a future health risk permanently planted at its centre, also laying the seeds for further expansion, just so that for profit companies with no connection to our community can make money.</p> <p>This decision should be rejected, or at the very least be determined by a referendum of local residents living within close proximity.</p> <p>The fantastic thing about purchasing U.K. property is that the lawyers do comprehensive land searches showing all the risks associated to a property. People here in the Belsize triangle have chosen their properties over the past 2 decades based on these environmental searches, knowing exactly where cell towers are, and choosing to weigh the pros and cons of environmental factors impacting the community. Planting an evolving and expanding source of radiation in the centre of our community will almost certainly damage property valuations and many of residents surely depend on these to pay for retirement.</p> <p>The proposed cell phone tower will damage residents financially today, and may very well create health issues for residents over the medium term.</p> <p>Please deny this request for planning. Maybe consider community WiFi instead.</p>
2022/1079/P	Ming Wai Cheng	24/04/2022 14:41:41	OBJ	<p>I object to the installation of the mobile infrastructure on top of the Lowlands as it would impact the value of my property, pose a number of health hazards to residents, and destroy the aesthetic of this neighbourhood.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1079/P	YY Cheng	24/04/2022 14:49:32	OBJ	I'm against installing the mobile infrastructure on top of the Lowlands because I'm afraid of the health consequences it would pose to me and everyone who lives here. In particular, I know that I get [REDACTED] from radiation -- and I'm sure this could happen to many others as well. One of the biggest reasons I bought the Lowlands last year was because there's no mobile tower in close proximity and it's a conservation area -- it's absolutely ridiculous and unacceptable that there's now a plan to install a mobile tower.
2022/1079/P	Luciana Gurgel	23/04/2022 20:55:02	OBJ	As a leaseholder and resident, I express my deepest opposition to this project. It is outrageous that this company is asking for such permission without agreement from Lowlands, a private property. This installation would cause enormous disturbance during construction and after it, as it will need maintenance, forcing us to allow outsiders to enter and circulate. It would terribly affect the appearance of the block, which is in a conservation area, retains its original features and is visually seamlessly integrated into the surrounding landscape, on one of the most beautiful streets in London. This installation would also affect the value of our properties, due to the unpleasant visual impact and the fear that many people have of living near telephone towers. Even if there are no real health risks, there is a perception that they cause cancer, and this would scare away many potential buyers or tenants, causing damage to the owners. We expect the Council to take into account the interests of residents who pay taxes here and comply with all laws and do not consent to this license under any circumstances. We are ready to go public if this project moves forward, and mobilise the community via social media and the press against it.
2022/1079/P	Francesca Corbans	22/04/2022 16:51:58	COMMENT	The proposal for a 6 metres telecom mast on the corner of Eton Ave, Belsize Park Gardens and England's lane would be a terrible eyesore for such an iconic part of our neighbourhood. The applicants suggests that it won't be very visible which is ludicrous as their own photos go to show. A 6 metres construction will be almost as tall as half of the current building! I can't believe that this would be considered a "minor" alteration. Maybe on top of a high rise tower block, but not on Eton Ave!! I often sit in Starbucks and look at this 1960s building which seems so unique and interesting with its big mosaics and brutalist architecture. Camden should not let a telephone mast ruin this building and this high trafficked corner of our beautiful neighbourhood! The proposal owner should look for higher buildings and less prominently placed ones.
2022/1079/P	Wing Sze Law	24/04/2022 14:35:35	OBJ	I am writing to object to the proposed plan of installing a mobile infrastructure on the roof at Lowlands. I, along with other residents of the Lowlands, believe that the mobile infrastructure would pose significant and irreversible health risks to the people who live there, as well as affect the value of our property. The plan to install the mobile infrastructure was never endorsed by any residents at the Lowlands and proceeding with the plan would be a violation of our rights.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/1079/P	Ajit Narain	23/04/2022 15:45:20	COMMNT	This is a private residential property Not commercial or marketing set up. The residents & owners were never informed of 20 feet Mast installation for wifi free. We strongly oppose it as stated & for security & privacy. as well. The roof has been just done up with piping & drainage also with high costs. Kindly do not proceed with this for logical benefit Of international residents including children & elderly staying here. Owner & Resident at Lowlands
