				Printed on: 12/04/2022 09:10:09
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/6050/P	CRASH	11/04/2022 13:06:09	OBJ	CRASH (Combined Residents' Associations of South Hampstead) wishes to object to various details of this application, whilst noting that a near-identical application was granted approval back in 2015 (ref 2015/2663/P). First, we would wish to point out that there appears to be a contradiction between the positioning of rooflights as shown on drawing AP201 and drawing AP220. We note that this inconsistency was also noted by objectors in 2015. The application refers to "small paved area in front of the extension" but no details are given on the form of paving. CRASH would hope that any paving would be permeable and regrets the loss of yet more garden to hard landscaping. The question on the application form asking if a green roof is to be used is answered by "no". CRASH would point out that since 2015 the world has been made abundantly aware of the Climate Crisis and the need for for more eco-friendly solutions in construction. CRASH would ask the applicant to re-consider its refusal to install a 'green' roof. We have a similar concern over light pollution and would ask that rooflights (number & positioning to be clarified) be of the 'obscure' type to lessen both light pollution and nuisance to neighbours.