

**From:** Rishi Madlani  
**Sent:** 12 April 2022 14:34  
**To:** Sean Glynn  
**Cc:** Planning; Adam Harrison (Cllr)  
**Subject:** Re: PLANNING APPLICATION 2022/1475/T

I'd also like to lodge my support of Dr Glynn's position here.

We are hoping to increase the number of trees not reduce them. This application has been refused a number of times and I am not aware of any circumstances that mean the position should change.

Kind regards,

Rishi

Rishi Madlani  
Labour Councillor for Bloomsbury Ward  
Alongside Cllr Adam Harrison & Cllr Sabrina Francis  
Chair - London Borough of Camden Pensions Committee

Sent from a portable device - please excuse typos/short hand

On 12 Apr 2022, at 13:05, Sean Glynn wrote:

APPLICATION FOR WORK TO TREE COVERED BY TPO  
2022/1475/T

I strongly object to this proposal because it threatens a highly valued and much appreciated amenity. The tree is subject to an IPO and has been reviewed by a specialist with a view towards preservation. This Application threatens that. Over several years now the owner of this property has submitted a series of applications aimed at removal of the tree so that the property can be developed at the rear. These have failed and this application suggests measures that will inevitably threaten the life of the tree.

This application must be rejected and the applicant must be informed that the tree has to be preserved.

DR SEAN GLYNN