

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5802/P	Stuart Hull	01/04/2022 12:19:20	OBJ	Planning ref:2021/5802/P

#### OBJECTION

I agree with all the objections posted so far in relation the design, size, bulk and height, loss of light, privacy and overshadowing, and overdevelopment of the proposal. I also want to add the following:

##### 1. Proposed usage.

¿ The proposed design of 6 bedrooms and 4 shower rooms with no family bathroom does not suggest the use of a single family dwelling as stated.

¿ The upstairs shower rooms, all only 1m wide, with ¿Jack and Jill¿ door allowing access from 2 bedrooms looks to be more intended for private short term rental. This would not be in keeping with the neighbourhood and would be detrimental to the area.

##### 2. Impact on a building in a conservation area.

¿ The proposed removal of all the chimney breasts will affect the structural integrity of the building and adjoining buildings.

¿ There are no plans or measurements submitted indicating the depths of the foundations, either under the supporting beams or the proposed extension, but any excavations will have a negative impact on the structure of the surrounding buildings, party walls and boundary walls.

##### 3. Increase to flood risk.

¿ Given climate change is increasing rainfall, more than doubling the size of the existing roof, and the apex design, will create an increased flood risk to both neighbouring properties.

¿ Loss of rainwater into the ground will have a direct impact on the water table and create extremes of drying/flooding on the clay sub-soil.

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5802/P	Stuart Hull	01/04/2022 12:25:22	OBJ	Planning ref:2021/5802/P

#### OBJECTION

I agree with all the objections posted so far in relation the design, size, bulk and height, loss of light, privacy and overshadowing, and overdevelopment of the proposal. I also want to add the following:

##### 1. Proposed usage.

¿ The proposed design of 6 bedrooms and 4 shower rooms with no family bathroom does not suggest the use of a single family dwelling as stated.

¿ The upstairs shower rooms, all only 1m wide, with ¿Jack and Jill¿ door allowing access from 2 bedrooms looks to be more intended for private short term rental. This would not be in keeping with the neighbourhood and would be detrimental to the area.

##### 2. Impact on a building in a conservation area.

¿ The proposed removal of all the chimney breasts will affect the structural integrity of the building and adjoining buildings.

¿ There are no plans or measurements submitted indicating the depths of the foundations, either under the supporting beams or the proposed extension, but any excavations will have a negative impact on the structure of the surrounding buildings, party walls and boundary walls.

##### 3. Increase to flood risk.

¿ Given climate change is increasing rainfall, more than doubling the size of the existing roof, and the apex design, will create an increased flood risk to both neighbouring properties.

¿ Loss of rainwater into the ground will have a direct impact on the water table and create extremes of drying/flooding on the clay sub-soil.