

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2021/6168/P	Rooney	30/03/2022 19:25:30	COMNOT	<p>I made earlier comments and registered man objection 19th March, at that stage there was no Mill Lane elevation illustrations within the planning file on line. These have since become available.</p> <p>This highlights the truly awfulness of the design this is an ugly box, with nothing of merit at all. the combined garage and residence is a very poor.</p> <p>There is no demarkation of parking and garage access no sense of occasion or security to mill lane - the neighbouring homes are more appropriately paved and planted - this proposed rendition is cheap and devalues the environment.</p> <p>the elevations neither show a sense of scale against the existing residences on either side of the proposed extension- but the illustrated hight, massing and facade treatment will not be in keeping with the environment.</p> <p>I also fundemtally objects to the combination of vehicles and housing together - this is a dangerous precedence and adds nothing to our environment</p> <p>I note as others have the original permissions and extension to the main property at 38 Hillfield back in 2009 retained the provision of the garage as a trade off for residence parking to the lower ground flat - this desired permission is trying to overturn the principles behind that original application- I doubt the planners back in 2009 would have granted this combine parking residence a permission along with the enlargements of the main residence 38.</p>

---