

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5802/P	alison worster	29/03/2022 16:10:30	OBJ	To Camden Council Planning Planning Officer Obote Hope Planning application number ; 2021/5802/P Re. 62 Falkland Road NW5 2XA Full width rear extension

From: Alison Worster  
60 Falkland Road NW5 2XA

#### OBJECTION

I continue to strongly object to the proposal for the rear extension on 62 Falkland Road NW5 2XA. The design and bulk of the proposed plans have not altered significantly from the first proposal and the revised plans will still greatly affect my residential amenity for the following reasons:

##### The Design, Size and Height

- The scale of proposed extension has not altered since the first plan and is still disproportionately high and bulky in relation to the surrounding properties, especially as it is in a conservation area, and would set a precedent.
- The size of the extension is still an additional 75% of the footprint of the original property, it is not in proportion to the building.
- The roof height, now changed from flat to pitched has only been reduced in height by 24cm, it is still too high.
- The eaves of the roof will be 20cm higher than my boundary wall, right up against it, and approximately 2.8 meters from my kitchen window, any excess storm water not contained by guttering will run directly onto my property.
- The length of over 6 meters against my boundary is excessive.

##### Loss of Light and Privacy

As the size and bulk of the revised proposal have not altered the loss of light and privacy remains.

- The height and length of the extension will still result in total lack of skylight, daylight and sunlight from 2 of the 4 rooms of my property.
- The overall size and bulk against the boundary wall will cause severe overshadowing.
- The height of the roof ridge is still too close to my property and the bulk in front of my kitchen and bedroom windows will create an extreme sense of enclosure.
- The roof windows [page 7 on the plan shows 2, all other pages show 3] are approximately 3 meters from my kitchen window and even closer to my bedroom window and will allow overlooking into my bedroom and the rooms above resulting in a complete loss of privacy.

##### Overdevelopment of the land

- The size and bulk is still not proportionate to the surrounding area and adds to the ratio of built to unbuilt space.
- The size, height and bulk will still have an environmental impact on my garden. As the height has only been lowered from the first plan by 24cm the severe overshadowing will still not allow for the diversity of plants currently able to grow in the space.

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**                    **Comment:**    **Response:**

- The full width and excessive length of the proposed extension will not retain the open character of the existing garden amenities in the surrounding area.
-

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5802/P	alison worster	29/03/2022 16:10:32	OBJ	<p>To Camden Council Planning            Planning Officer Obote Hope            Planning application number ; 2021/5802/P            Re. 62 Falkland Road NW5 2XA            Full width rear extension</p>

From: Alison Worster  
 60 Falkland Road NW5 2XA

#### OBJECTION

I continue to strongly object to the proposal for the rear extension on 62 Falkland Road NW5 2XA. The design and bulk of the proposed plans have not altered significantly from the first proposal and the revised plans will still greatly affect my residential amenity for the following reasons:

##### The Design, Size and Height

- The scale of proposed extension has not altered since the first plan and is still disproportionately high and bulky in relation to the surrounding properties, especially as it is in a conservation area, and would set a precedent.
- The size of the extension is still an additional 75% of the footprint of the original property, it is not in proportion to the building.
- The roof height, now changed from flat to pitched has only been reduced in height by 24cm, it is still too high.
- The eaves of the roof will be 20cm higher than my boundary wall, right up against it, and approximately 2.8 meters from my kitchen window, any excess storm water not contained by guttering will run directly onto my property.
- The length of over 6 meters against my boundary is excessive.

##### Loss of Light and Privacy

As the size and bulk of the revised proposal have not altered the loss of light and privacy remains.

- The height and length of the extension will still result in total lack of skylight, daylight and sunlight from 2 of the 4 rooms of my property.
- The overall size and bulk against the boundary wall will cause severe overshadowing.
- The height of the roof ridge is still too close to my property and the bulk in front of my kitchen and bedroom windows will create an extreme sense of enclosure.
- The roof windows [page 7 on the plan shows 2, all other pages show 3] are approximately 3 meters from my kitchen window and even closer to my bedroom window and will allow overlooking into my bedroom and the rooms above resulting in a complete loss of privacy.

##### Overdevelopment of the land

- The size and bulk is still not proportionate to the surrounding area and adds to the ratio of built to unbuilt space.
- The size, height and bulk will still have an environmental impact on my garden. As the height has only been lowered from the first plan by 24cm the severe overshadowing will still not allow for the diversity of plants currently able to grow in the space.

**Application No:**    **Consultees Name:**    **Received:**    **Comment:**    **Response:**

- The full width and excessive length of the proposed extension will not retain the open character of the existing garden amenities in the surrounding area.
-