Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 25/03/202 Response:	2 09:10:10
2021/6168/P	Michael Aherne	24/03/2022 15:56:19	COMMNT	I object to the proposed development on the following grounds,	
				1. It is extremely ugly.	
				2. Its bulk and, particularly, its height is much greater than that of the other buildings in that stretch of the north side of Mill Lane.	1
				3. The other buildings in that stretch of road all have flat roofs. This building will have a pseudo-pitched roof. I say "pseudo-pitched" because the constructions at each end of the roof give the appearance of a pitched roo without constituting one and serve only to increase the building's apparent bulk and to deny light to neighbouring premises.	F
				4. The black finish is utterly out of keeping with its surroundings and will give the building and, therefore, its vicinity, a gloomy not to say slightly sinister aspect.	
				Finally, a condition of the planning permissions for the buildings at the rear of numbers 34, 40, 42 and 44 Hillfield Road was that they should have environmentally-friendly sedum roofs (which I can verify they have). I think it likely that this was also a condition of the planning consent for the various other buildings at the rear of properties in Hillfield Road. It is difficult to envisage how a building can have a "pitched" sedum roof.	