Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	Printed on:	22/03/2022
2021/6168/P	Mennis	21/03/2022 18:03:30	OBJ	As the closest neighbour most affected by the proposed development I strongly object reasons; 1. Imposing masse, height, depth and colour. The plans include a height almost 4m h 5.2m more than the existing garage, extending much further towards 38A and much I total it will extend 11.2m into the garden from the existing Mill Lane boundary). This is other garages/residences on Mill Lane, further impacted by the proposed pitched roof with neighbouring buildings. Dark, black, grim colour making it imposing, unattractive traditional red brick Victorian residences in front and to the rear or with nearby neutral 2. Change of use to a residence with garage creating a dangerous model for future pl Mill Lane. Not consistent, most buildings on that side of Mill Lane are either a garage increasing potential carbon footprint of these properties and negative impact on traffic create a new residence but is a massive extension of no. 38. Negative impact on the space and view and loss of pleasure in the building. 3. Loss of light. Higher, larger building impacting 38A changing it's bright aspect partic Reduction of sunlight and sunlight hours on outdoor terrace. This will also affect neigh Huge loss of privacy with proposed living space and julieete balcony of newbuild at ey to garden, no real separation of property from garden affecting privacy and security. 4. Noise/disruption from plant equipment during building works; loss of light/privacy/se by machinery in and on property. Dust/grime damage to outdoor terrace and plants, howner of our only outdoor space during building works. Disruption to traffic on Mill Larduring work. 5. New closer boundary line proposed, bringing noise made by residents much neare impact if the extended building is then sold as a separate residence in the future. 6. Timber structure on first floor not in keeping with other residences. 7. Concerns for potential heave from closest trees (despite tree report) and structural or disruption of utilities during building works.	igher and a deligher in our since in keepings of 1.1m incomments of 1.1m incomments of and incongrued builder or a residence. The proposar garden, loss of the proposar of a level. Adjoint ecurity & dirt/dugely restrictions from plant of the concern for	epth of ghtline (in g with the nsistent pus with dings. ations on e, not both, I does not f green and level. erties. ning steps ust created ng use for equipment potential

09:10:09