				Printed on: 21/03/2022 09:10:08
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0266/P	CRASH	20/03/2022 00:02:23	COMMNT	CRASH (Combined Residents' Associations of Sooth Hampstead Wishes to make a few observations on the proposed development at 37 Compayne Gardens. It finds that the applicant makes declarations which are then contradicted and fails to provide necessary detail. Example 1. Section 15 of the application form asks if new pedestrian access is to be provided - with NO being provided as the answer. A new pedestrian gate is quite clearly shown on the front elevation drawing. Example 2. Section 18 of the form asks if there are any trees on the site - with No being answered. A tree is quite clearly shown on the forecourt plan. Example 3. A new bin enclosure is shown on the forecourt plan, but not shown on the front elevation drawing. How are we to judge its height and bulkiness without a drawing? Example 4. The surface of the forecourt is described as "permeable paving e.g. retain gravel". We doubt if the current surface is gravel, so how can it be retained? CRASH intends to comment further when the applicant has clarified the above.
				Example 2. Section 18 of the form asks if there are any trees on the site - with No being answered. A tree is quite clearly shown on the forecourt plan. Example 3. A new bin enclosure is shown on the forecourt plan, but not shown on the front elevation drawing. How are we to judge its height and bulkiness without a drawing? Example 4. The surface of the forecourt is described as "permeable paving e.g. retain gravel". We doubt if the current surface is gravel, so how can it be retained?