Rafi Miah

From: mpayne88 [redacted]
Sent: 18 March 2022 09:53
To: Planning Planning
Subject: FAO: Edward HODGSON

[redacted]

[redacted]

Good morning,

I have objected online to 33-35 Alfred Place ref: 2022/0083/P

In case it has not been received correctly here it is again:

I live at 6 Rossetti Court and object to the planned communication equipment for Alfred Place.

Rossetti Court is directly behind 33-35 Alfred Place, it consists of 16 homes all rented from Soho Housing Association. Our home has 3 generations of the Payne family, the youngest being our 2-year-old grandson.

5G is a new technology and its affects are relatively unknown. I understand that the 5G programme is being rolled out before it has been properly tested for its effect on human health. My further understanding is that there are serious concerns that some of the 5G wavelengths could affect both people's nervous system and people's skin, possibly resulting in cancers.

There are acknowledged health concerns about over exposure of young children to microwave electromagnetic radiation. In particular, Dr Helen Caldicott, Paediatrician and co-founder of Physicians for Social Responsibility, has advised: "Radio frequencies emitted from mobile phone towers will have deleterious medical effects to people within the near vicinity according to a large body of scientific literature. Babies and children will be particular sensitive to the mutagenic and carcinogenic effects of the radio frequency radiation. It is therefore criminal to place of these aerials on or near a school". mso-fareast-font-family:"Times New Roman"">I feel that the proposed transmission masts for 33-35 Alfred Place should not be allowed because of their close proximity (directly behind) to our home - a situation not unlike a school.

RADA, Chenies Street, whose premises back onto Alfred Place, although a higher educational establishment offer teaching to school children weekends and holidays.

It is written of these masts "The beam of greatest intensity tends to fall between 150 and 300 metres from the antenna in a 'lighthouse effect'. Camden council have just proudly opened Alfred Place Park, an environmental project and "green" space of great importance for the health benefits of residents and visitors, encouraging children to the new play area, surely these planned works would contradict all their work, we've been promised birds, bees and butterflies not low-level radiation beams.

We are located in the Bloomsbury Conservation Area and, looking at the drawings accompanying the application, there are issues with visibility of the aerial array looking along Ridgmount Place from Ridgmount Street that would affect both the character of the conservation area and the setting of the terrace of listed buildings on the west side of Gower Street. The visual impact on the setting of South Crescent even be more damaging.

Whist writing, I would also like to point out the ineffectiveness of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 the notice of legal instruction to limit construction noise from the site to Monday to Friday 08:00 to 18:00, Saturdays 08:00 to 13:00 and no noise at all on Sundays and Bank Holidays. Alfred Place developers consider the area to be commercial and always work outside of these hours. In one example the building next door – no 31, when their works was done they worked evenings, weekends and bank holidays, I wrote to complain, I got no reply, I chased the officer for a reply, conveniently by the time I received a reply the works were complete and the company avoided any consequences. The impact of the noise unbearable for us.

It is disappointing that Cornerstone have not consulted the residents at all.

For all above, reasons I would ask that this planning application for the installation of this equipment be refused.

Marie Payne

6 Rossetti Court