

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5946/P	Rejane Padron	04/03/2022 10:25:26	OBJ	Local residents have already objected to this application twice before and successfully proven that the masts goes against the conservation rules of Dartmouth park area which Haddo House is located. It is a waste of time and effort to allow previously rejected application to initiate further proposals. Theres no ground for appeal so No means No!

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5946/P	F Pashkaj	04/03/2022 12:10:15	OBJ	<p>I wish to object towards planning application 2021//5946P for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The is the 3rd application in under 2 years and this is harassment. Our opinions have not changed. Our building has not moved location, it is still highly visible, especially to the residents and local community alike who have to look at it every single day. Any mast will be a constant reminder of the contempt this company has for the residents. Why are you ignoring our opinions and wishes? It is obvious that as a company you are scrupulous and care nothing for residents, it may be business for you, but this is our home and we will never stop fighting you, so stop wasting your time and ours. 2. The previous applications and their designs were found to be harmful to Haddo House, damaging the views and creating visual clutter for local residents and visitors of London far and wide. The apparatus was found to be unsympathetic to the design of the building and the trunking up the side elevation further clutters the buildings' facade - the metal box clutters the grass and lower building area. Our building hasn't miraculously moved location, so I ask you what's changed? Whether it's on top of the roof or the side, it's still visible far and wide. The only correct conclusion is to again reject this application and we all know that once permission is granted, it's an open door to changing and adding, as and when they feel the need to. 3. Graffiti still remains on the turrets even though it was recently painted and acts as a beacon for further vandalism. Health and safety issues are a real concern, it's an accident waiting to happen and is also evidence that our roof is easily accessible, placing residents at risk. 4. Our building is located on a four way junction and we are subjected to an immense amount of noise pollution with continuous traffic, sirens and racing on motorbikes - noise vibrates up and down the building. We are situated in a wind tunnel and it can be very disruptive, especially at night - the last thing we need is more apparatus to rattle in the wind and to emit a low level humming. We cannot and should not have to tolerate anymore unnecessary noise. 5. You are ignoring residents wishes and are violating our human rights to feel safe in our homes. Both applications have personally affected my sleep and increased my stress levels - unacceptable! 6. However much the telecoms company would try to persuade us of the safety of such technologies, their opinion is biased. They may say there is not enough evidence to show its harmful to health, but I would like to say there is not enough evidence to say that it's safe. My neighbours and I do not wish to be guinea pigs. There is much evidence to show that it is particularly harmful to the developing brains of children (we are surrounded by 4 schools) not to mention the 20 children living in Haddo House. The WHO have deemed it as a known carcinogen. BMJ have recently acknowledged the possible harmful links and are pushing for more research to establish harms to humans, wildlife and our natural surrounding habitats. <p>https://www.bmj.com/company/newsroom/stop-global-roll-out-of-5g-networks-until-safety-is-confirmed-urges-expert/</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 7. The council and their representatives are in a position of responsibility, you need to ensure that residents feel heard and your decision should reflect this. We need to trust that you have our best interests at heart, you need to build relationships with residents, not damage them. 8. Both applicant and who they represent are projecting an image of transparency, fairness, trust and respect. Their sheer tenacity to push this planning application through paints a very different picture. It's buarratic bullying and their behaviour is completely underhand (submitting applications at the start of lockdown 1 and no written notice to residents of the 3rd application, obscuring notices, falsely claiming that residents in and around the area had been notified, ignoring feedback from residents. - I ask how is this in line with their strategy?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
-----------------	------------------	-----------	----------	-----------

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5946/P	L. PAshkaj	04/03/2022 10:43:18	OBJ	<p>This is the third application for Haddo House, our concerns remain the same.</p> <p>As a resident of Haddo House, my family and I object on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Our building forms part of the Dartmouth Park conservation area and is a point of interest to many locals and 'passers by'. It attracts much attention, even the local youths have managed to access the roof a number of times, graffitiing the roof turrets, (an accident waiting to happen). The graffiti, although recently painted over has now returned, highlighting how easy it is to access the roof. The apparatus will create visual clutter and diminish what is a beautiful skyline. Even with a change of design, the roof and building will be altered and damage the aesthetics of the building. We all know that once the company take over the roof, they can add apparatus as and when they choose. Whether or not the apparatus is on the top or side of the roof our building is clearly observable from many angles. <p>Dartmouth Park Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Statement Adopted 22 January 2009 acknowledges our building as a place of interest and is visible from ground level, as well as the surrounding buildings, homes and roads.</p> <p>7.46 Chetwynd Road (east): (edited) From York Rise the street is densely developed but as the slope of the hill lessens the scale changes to larger semi detached and some detached houses with wider plot widths. The impact of the steeply rising street makes the roofscape highly visible. Looking west from the top there are clear views of the road and roofscape of Chetwynd Road (West) stretching down the hill towards Haddo House, which forms an important architectural component of this view.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. However much the telecoms company would try to persuade us of the safety of such technologies, their opinion is biased. They may say there is not enough evidence to show its harmful to health, but I would like to say there is not enough evidence to say that it's safe. My neighbours and I do not wish to be guinea pigs. There is much evidence to show that it is particularly harmful to the developing brains of children (we are surrounded by 4 schools) not to mention the 20 children living in Haddo House. The WHO have deemed it as a known carcinogen. <p>BMJ have recently acknowledged the possible harmful links and are pushing for more research to establish harms to humans, wildlife and our natural surrounding habitats.</p> <p>https://www.bmj.com/company/newsroom/stop-global-roll-out-of-5g-networks-until-safety-is-confirmed-urges-expert/</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. I am disgusted that we are here again for the 3rd time, we've voiced our opinions loud and clear - 60 residents signed a petition objecting to these masts, why are we being ignored? Since September 2019 Waldons have imposed upon us much worry and anxiety, they have sneakily submitted their applications and according to this most recent application they 'apparently' have informed 35 people in our local community. I would like to know who these 35 people are, because I can assure you none of these 35 people are residents of Haddo House, the very people who this planning application will affect. <p>Looking at Camden's Planning Guidance: Planning for health and wellbeing March 2018 which states that:</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>1.22 Health and wellbeing are, to a large extent, determined by the environment in which we live. Transport, housing, education, income, working conditions, unemployment, air quality, green space, climate change and social and community networks can have a greater influence on our healthcare provision or genetics. Many of these determinants of health can be shaped by the planning system.</p> <p>I ask you the representative of Camden, to stand by your words. Residents feel bullied and harassed by the continuous barrage of applications and the unnecessary waste of our time and energy to just keep repeating ourselves. Waldon's and it's representatives have exposed themselves for the callous, calculating, bullies that they are. They do not have the right to impose themselves upon us.</p> <p>4. We live on a very busy, noisy junction and the very possibility of anymore noise fills us with dread.</p>
