

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Lisa	02/03/2022 21:49:15	OBJ	<p>I object to this development for the following reasons:</p> <p>This proposed development is far too dense and includes too many new homes. The buildings are too tall and will overshadow the surrounding buildings. This plan is clearly not calibrated to match the area's existing local infrastructure.</p> <p>The link between tower blocks and criminality is well established which means new and existing residents will have to worry about their safety and stress. How will this be dealt with?</p> <p>This proposed development does not appropriately consider the mix of land uses: the high concentration of residential floorspace is at the expense of other critical amenities which will disappear and will not be replaced (retail, food & drink uses caused by the demolition of the O2 Centre and Homebase).</p> <p>The provision of healthcare and creche facilities is insufficient and the timing of these facilities is not planned until phase 2 of the project which will put undue and unacceptable pressure on existing facilities which already are saturated.</p> <p>Proposing such high buildings in so not in keeping with the look and feel of the surrounding conservation areas and does not consider the pain caused to existing homes behind and around the development site which will lose daylight and sunlight and will negate them the right peaceful enjoyment of their property. Not to mention the impact on the depreciated value of their properties.</p> <p>The proposed development completely ignores the simple reality of a critically saturated infrastructure. The surrounding stations (Finchley Road and West Hampstead) will not be able to cope with the dramatic growth in the local population and no solution has been proposed by the developer.</p> <p>The proposed demolition of numerous buildings and construction of new buildings will not doubt be highly carbon intensive. Why not retain the O2 Centre and redevelop this building as was the case with the Battersea power plant? How does this align with Camden's net zero and environmental commitments?</p> <p>This development will overwhelm local services and should not be permitted. Many residents have already voiced their opposition but this seems to be ignored. The loss of the large Gym and supermarket with parking will force people to drive further away to do a large family shop or rely on supermarket delivery which is tough when you do not work from home</p>
2022/0528/P	Peter Eisner	02/03/2022 14:30:38	COMMNT	<p>Inappropriate and not wanted by the vast majority of residents to have year another development and another approx 6,000 extra residents in the this already crowded area.: by the vast majority of residents. There's been plenty of development here there and everywhere in this borough and every borough in the GLA area. We need the parking to visit Sainsburys and other shops and do not need diktats from Camden Council that we need to be car free like it's some kind of disease</p> <p>Planning is supposed to encourage what local people NEED & DESIRE, it's not to find a reason for more people to pay council tax and to assist developers profit expansion !</p>
2022/0528/P	Sharokh Koussari	02/03/2022 01:35:01	COMMNT	<p>This is a terrible idea</p> <p>This will cause the traffic in Finchley Road and west end lane to become even worse</p> <p>Also the O2 has become a valuable community centre which children and parents have been enjoying for years</p> <p>It will be a terrible shame to end that</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Susan JahanShahi	02/03/2022 07:35:00	APP	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I object to the plans for re-development of the O2 Centre with buildings 15 storeys high and 1,800 new homes which are at odds with the surrounding neighbourhoods, including three conservation areas.</p> <p>Kind regards, Susan JahanShahi</p>
2022/0528/P	Susan JahanShahi	02/03/2022 07:35:01	APP	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I object to the plans for re-development of the O2 Centre with buildings 15 storeys high and 1,800 new homes which are at odds with the surrounding neighbourhoods, including three conservation areas.</p> <p>Kind regards, Susan JahanShahi</p>
2022/0528/P	Susan JahanShahi	02/03/2022 07:35:04	APP	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>I object to the plans for re-development of the O2 Centre with buildings 15 storeys high and 1,800 new homes which are at odds with the surrounding neighbourhoods, including three conservation areas.</p> <p>Kind regards, Susan JahanShahi</p>
2022/0528/P	Corinne Davies	02/03/2022 08:59:35	OBJ	<p>To Whom it may concern,</p> <p>I oppose the development of the O2 Centre car park, for numerous reasons. Firstly, I don't think there is sufficient infrastructure in West Hampstead to support more homes. The tube station, schools, dentists etc are very busy in the area. I also have a young child and it's important for me to have a large affordable supermarket with car parking.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Corinne Davies</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Corinne Davies	02/03/2022 08:59:38	OBJ	<p>To Whom it may concern,</p> <p>I oppose the development of the O2 Centre car park, for numerous reasons. Firstly, I don't think there is sufficient infrastructure in West Hampstead to support more homes. The tube station, schools, dentists etc are very busy in the area. I also have a young child and it's important for me to have a large affordable supermarket with car parking.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Corinne Davies</p>
2022/0528/P	Ruth Max	01/03/2022 18:46:38	COMMT	<p>We are totally against putting up 1800 flats in what is already a crowded neighbourhood whilst at the same time taking away crucial and much needed amenities and facilities that are desperately needed with so much of Finchley Road in so much disarray!</p> <p>There is no way the neighbourhood can support so many flats!!</p> <p>Please, please do reconsider and disallow this development project which will help fatten the coffers of the developers and not much else!</p>
2022/0528/P	nicola coleman	01/03/2022 18:57:01	OBJ	<p>This is an outrageously unsuitable development for the site and for the surrounding area. It will increase congestion and put pressure on local facilities. The high rise nature of it will inhibit natural light, there is no parking and nowhere near enough green space included within it. I cannot imagine why anyone would want to live here, it will be an absolute nightmare and a backward step. The development will create havoc by overwhelming the transport network, health services, schools and small supermarkets. It will be carnage. Over-subscription of current schools will create havoc. There are major planning safety issues regarding fire safety as well as issues with sustainability.</p> <p>West Hampstead and Finchley Road stations have no plans for expansion or extension. How will they serve at least 2,500-3,000 additional passengers per morning and afternoon?</p> <p>The number of flats being planned has a much higher carbon footprint than that fitting Camden's net zero sustainability plan. Local GP practices are already over-subscribed.</p> <p>There will be 15 years of misery whilst the site is flattened and the new development is built. Camden - this really needs a major re-think. It's a terrible plan, there must be something better than can be devised.</p>
2022/0528/P	Kirstie Papworth	02/03/2022 23:05:36	OBJ	<p>Whilst I doubt anyone will miss the O2 centre, I am extremely concerned about the proposal to build so many flats in its place - the local shops, transport links and other services are already under pressure. Surely any new development should (1) provide grocery shopping since the O2 Sainsburys will go and (2) provide some funding towards easing pressure on existing travel provision.</p> <p>Or do we just let LandSec make even more money for shareholders at the expense of our community and our lives?</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Yvonne Khong	01/03/2022 14:09:00	OBJ	<p>I am reiterating the main reasons which have previously been forcefully put forward by other residents. We are told that the project was necessary to tackle housing shortage - Is this a problem which must be solved at all cost? Even at the expense of the quality of life of the current residents?</p> <p>To encourage such a significant influx of population into an already densely populated area needs corresponding expansion in medical and social centres, schools, recreation facilities, shops, public transport and roads. No to mention the impact on the quality of air, noise pollution, deprivation of sun from a cluster of high tower blocks built close to each other. I could not find any evidence from the documents submitted whether detailed survey and consultation with relevant experts had been conducted before reaching the current plan.</p> <p>I was one of the victims of the summer flood in 2002 - torrential rain water cascaded down from Hampstead onto our streets at the lower end of Fitzjohns Avenue and some of our houses were flooded within minutes. The follow-up investigation by Camden at the time concluded that the area was 'too built-up' and to minimize floods in the future, it carried out major works to expand the capacity of the drains in the affected area. If no step is taken to scale down this O2 project, it will almost certainly be a perfect case study for 'too built-up' in making! I urge the Council committee (who are probably a younger generation from those in charge in 2002) to reconsider this application in the context that the current community who pay our council tax and hence expect to have our welfare and opinions to be the centre of your decision making.</p>
2022/0528/P	Ellis Green	03/03/2022 02:05:41	OBJ	<p>I object strongly to this application. The current amenities provided serve the community well and are a balanced mix of shops, dining and leisure facilities together with a large supermarket which provides a host of services to the local community.</p> <p>What is being proposed is an over development self serving to the developers, they will perhaps make more money and Camden, who perhaps will earn more tax revenues.</p> <p>In addition, the buildings proposed are too tall, out of character and will take some 15 years to complete and no doubt as seems to always be the way the developers will come back time and time again to amend and change their plans to deliver yet further profit at the expense of the community, a community which you, Camden are duty bound to look after. Approving this development in any form will be a dereliction of your duty.</p> <p>This application should be refused.</p>
2022/0528/P	Emiliano De Cristofaro	01/03/2022 18:08:50	OBJ	<p>This is a terrible idea. There simply isn't enough capacity for schools, hospitals, GPs, traffic, tube, and rubbish collection to accommodate this amount of people in this area. Before you can develop so much, you need to increase the number of schools, hospitals, GPs, tube stations, make plans for increased traffic, etc.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Simon Campbell	01/03/2022 18:41:51	OBJ	<p>I object to this current proposal in the strongest possible terms!</p> <p>The development destroys a vital and well used public amenity with the loss of the O2 centre and Homebase & all so the developer can cram in more housing to maximise its profits.</p> <p>No meaningful replacements of equivalent size and capacity as regards the public amenity the site currently provides, and no investment in addressing the additional pressures in school places provision, or health care provision for the very large number of new residents who will come to the area.</p> <p>A clear case of gross over-development, in the rush to generate financial rewards for the developers, the current residents lose an important part of their retail and leisure experience and educational and healthcare provision already under considerable pressure and strain, will be made so much worse.</p>
2022/0528/P	Jill Weissbort	02/03/2022 13:12:53	COMMNT	Sorry to lose amenity of Sainsburys
2022/0528/P	Jill Weissbort	02/03/2022 13:12:56	COMMNT	Sorry to lose amenity of Sainsburys
2022/0528/P	Jill Weissbort	02/03/2022 13:12:58	COMMNT	Sorry to lose amenity of Sainsburys
2022/0528/P	Shloka Melwano	01/03/2022 22:20:58	PETITNOBJ E	I oppose this development in its entirety.
2022/0528/P	Shloka Melwano	01/03/2022 22:21:04	PETITNOBJ E	I oppose this development in its entirety.
2022/0528/P	Marilyn Mark	02/03/2022 22:47:28	AMEND	<p>Like most people who live in the area I am opposed to this massive ugly overdevelopment. It will inevitably bring even more traffic to the area and less to a loss of amenities eg the cinema and the gym, the large Dainsbury's with a carpark. Landsec have deliberately run down the O2 centre by offering only short leases. It's very depressing. Lots of money for Landsec but a deterioration in the quality of life for residents in the area. The infrastructure won't be there to support this, the tube station will be even more packed in the rush hour. This should not be allowed to happen. Horrible great towers with flats at inflated prices. My fear is that Camden will pass it because they want the extra council tax and don't care about the quality of life of people in the area.</p>
2022/0528/P	Alireza Haghshenas	03/03/2022 07:15:33	OBJ	<p>Removing the o2 centre and building more homes means that all local services will be strained, the local community will have lost their local supermarket, restaurants, cinema.</p> <p>Stations and roads will be even busier.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Marilyn Mark	02/03/2022 22:47:33	AMEND	Like most people who live in the area I am opposed to this massive ugly overdevelopment. It will inevitably bring even more traffic to the area and less to a loss of amenities eg the cinema and the gym, the large Dainsbury's with a carpark. Landsec have deliberately run down the O2 centre by offering only short leases. It's very depressing. Lots of money for Landsec but a deterioration in the quality of life for residents in the area. The infrastructure won't be there to support this, the tube station will be even more packed in the rush hour. This should not be allowed to happen. Horrible great towers with flats at inflated prices. My fear is that Camden will pass it because they want the extra council tax and don't care about the quality of life of people in the area.
2022/0528/P	Marilyn Mark	02/03/2022 22:47:38	AMEND	Like most people who live in the area I am opposed to this massive ugly overdevelopment. It will inevitably bring even more traffic to the area and less to a loss of amenities eg the cinema and the gym, the large Dainsbury's with a carpark. Landsec have deliberately run down the O2 centre by offering only short leases. It's very depressing. Lots of money for Landsec but a deterioration in the quality of life for residents in the area. The infrastructure won't be there to support this, the tube station will be even more packed in the rush hour. This should not be allowed to happen. Horrible great towers with flats at inflated prices. My fear is that Camden will pass it because they want the extra council tax and don't care about the quality of life of people in the area.
2022/0528/P	Lucas	02/03/2022 19:48:48	COMMNT	Further congest overloaded main road north . One third lost to bus lanes already. Access northern suburbs to will be brought to a standstill. Local population will be subjected to of building works, noise, air pollution inconvenience for years
2022/0528/P	Lucas	02/03/2022 19:48:44	COMMNT	Further congest overloaded main road north . One third lost to bus lanes already. Access northern suburbs to will be brought to a standstill. Local population will be subjected to of building works, noise, air pollution inconvenience for years
2022/0528/P	Mandira B	02/03/2022 11:07:42	OBJ	In an area already so congested and with so many local residents, local infrastructure is bursting at the seams already. Without putting in place additional sufficient transport, parking, GPs and Dentists, schools and etc how can such a proposal for such a vast building even be entertained? Finchley Road is already permanently in a state of bottleneck and gridlock, and adding in further residents can only add to this congested state. Further the building itself is so large, has so many small boxy flats and will further turn the area into the feeling of being a commuter zone rather than a local community. Not to mention feeling like an eyesore and without any of the characteristics of the beautiful mansion blocks from previous eras. The Sainsbury's is the largest affordable supermarket with reasonable parking in a large surrounding area, so removing it will only succeed in either pricing out those looking for reasonably priced groceries or discriminate against those who struggle with public transport and need to drive and park at a supermarket. The loss of leisure facilities will also impact the community feel.
2022/0528/P	Richard	01/03/2022 22:32:18	COMMNT	This proposed development is not needed. The local infrastructure is not able to cope. Saturation point for development is getting near in this area. To allow this would be a damning lack of consciousness on the wellbeing of locals. Shame on the council if they allow this.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Michelle Berriedale-Johnson	01/03/2022 22:31:07	OBJ	<p>I am concerned about the height of the proposed development. Even at 10 storeys it will impact heavily on the surrounding neighbourhood most of which are maximum 5 story blocks of mansion flats of three or four story houses.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the lack of car parking. That number of residential units will inevitably have a large number of cars which will further obstruct the streets.</p> <p>Finally, I understand that while the target for affordable housing in Camden is 50% of any new development, only 35% of this development has been allocated to affordable housing.</p>
2022/0528/P	Sita Foxon	01/03/2022 15:37:00	OBJ	<p>I strongly object to this proposal. I have grown up in this area my whole life and the O2 centre has always been an important hub of social activity and commerce. It is appalling to think that it will be torn down and replaced by several high rise buildings, which will be an eye sore to the surrounding area and which will mean a significant reduction in amenities for the local residents.</p> <p>There is already a lack of facilities in the area and so tearing down the O2 centre will really negatively impact the lives of local residents. Furthermore, the local area is very congested and the council cannot keep up with standards and ensuring that there is adequate road sweeping etc. By building so much new accommodation the problem will be worse and from what I have read the proposed development is not in keeping with the style of the surrounding, lower rise buildings.</p>
2022/0528/P	Gail Romanes	01/03/2022 18:13:10	COMMNT	<p>There should be a guarantee of an increase in the percentage of affordable housing proposed by Landsec and they should ensure that they are of an adequate size for families.</p>
2022/0528/P	ANTHONY MARANGOS	02/03/2022 15:45:05	INT	<p>Sir or Madam Swiss Cottage is already overloaded. We have lost Morrisons Chalk Farm, so no to closing Sainsburys etc. High Rise BLIGHT both the skyline and the LIVES of the occupants.</p> <p>the London Planners are building on ever piece of grass and cutting down every tree</p> <p>working from home will show that the London needs to be made prettier, greener, better transport and parking NOT more houses = more people BUT where are the Schools, Doctors, etc. Sorry - this is a Mad cap scheme.</p>