

From: John Slater
Sent: 19 February 2022 15:02
To: Ewan Campbell
Subject: Re: Application 2021/5947/P 23 Dartmouth Park Hill

Dear Ewan,

Application 2021/5947/P 23 Dartmouth Park Hill

Apologies for the delay in submitting DPCAAC comments on this application

It is felt that light pollution could be an issue. There were three small roof lights on the side extension roof replaced by one very long rectangular one and one other, so much more than before and overlooked by some windows on the flank wall of building, one on a communal stair though one above not indicated as on communal stair so could be part of the other flat. If so light would be an issue. The larger glass doors and window could cause some light pollution as they will be viewed from the side outrigger building and garden of no 67 Spencer Rise. DPH is on higher ground than Spencer Rise.

The DPCAAC is concerned that amenity of neighbours will be affected by light pollution and proposal does not comply with CPG Amenity 2.1.1 Residential extension.

DPCAAC also considers it would be more appropriate if the proposed French doors DG-04 from the bedroom, annotated point 7 as aluminium framed, are wooden framed to reflect the style of the window above and the rest of the building.

Acknowledgement of receipt of these comments would be appreciated as it was difficult to comment given that the Consultation Period given in the application had ended had ended

John Slater
DPCAAC