## Rafi Miah

From: N Sparrow
Sent: 21 February 2022 16:16
To: Planning Planning

**Subject:** Re: Comments on 2021/3225/P have been received by the council.

Please note the Comment Type I had selected was OBJECTION and not COMMENT. Thank you,

N Sparrow

> The proposed development undoubtedly addresses local need for housing and employment in what is currently an

underused site. However the proposal raises a number of serious concerns:

> The expert Design Review Panel states that the impact of too much development within the locality would damage the character of the area and result in poor quality of life.

> The proposed massive structures would severely spoil historically treasured and protected views.

> The towers would deprive the residential areas, the part of the heath closest to the development and the Lido of sunlight till noon. Considering that out of season, the Lido is only open till noon, this would reduce the enjoyment of winter swimming, an increasingly popular sport with acknowledged benefits for good health.

> The Council's own Housing Needs study concludes there is a lack of family housing, whereas the proposed provision is for few family flats and too many small flats.

> The development will not provide enough affordable housing, as stated in the developers' own reports.

> The development is expected to have high energy use due to its density and lack of ambitious insulation requirements. They have not followed good practice for environmental building design including for natural ventilation and cooling and will contribute to the heat island effect.

> Limited services for young people have been proposed, according to the developers' reports.

> For all of the above reasons, the proposed development would have a deleterious effect upon the local population.

> Comments made by N Sparrow of Flat 74, Lissenden Mansions, Lissenden

> Preferred Method of Contact is Post

> Gardens, London, NW5 1PR

1