

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Ruth Max	17/02/2022 13:49:48	APP	I fear the whole plan is totally unnecessary, will add nothing to our community and take away the few amenities and services our neighbourhood has!! WE DO NOT NEED THIS!!
2022/0528/P	Brenda Champion	17/02/2022 13:27:02	OBJ	Buildings over ten stories are unacceptable as they are disruptive to the surrounding three conservation areas and against previously existing rules. Those that are 13, 14 and 15 stories should be reduced to fit in with previously approved structures in West Hampstead. Any concessions that would be made to this project have no basis, and break with long-held development ideas for the area.
2022/0528/P	Lynne and Steve Jones	17/02/2022 13:13:38	OBJ	Dear Sir, We object to the very negative affect on our lifestyle and well being , and the lifestyles and welfare of many local residents, via the demolition of amenities which we regularly use. Specifically the 02 centre indoor pool and community facilities, without any proposal for prior replacement. With such an extreme increase proposed in the population facilities need to be expanded not demolished. We have repeatedly given this input in the consultation process, have been assured of the support of local councillors and Member of Parliament and yet it has been completely ignored. Please ensure that provision of these essential well being facilities be re provided before any demolition. The need for new housing should not negatively impact residents well being and lifestyles this severely.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0528/P	Margaret Philips	17/02/2022 15:19:33	OBJ	<p>I live in West Hampstead. These are the issues that concern me intensely:</p> <p>Originally the plan was for 950 dwellings in what is now the car park. How did they assume people would approve something double the size, whilst tearing down perfectly good existing buildings in a time of climate emergency?</p> <p>Anybody walking on West End lane knows that it is already dangerously over-congested at rush hours. Can you imagine the effect of so many more people in the area?</p> <p>Local GP practices are already overwhelmed. Landsec blandly talk of incorporating a 'health centre'. Have they not heard about the crisis in GP recruitment and retention?</p> <p>Many of us use and rely on the large Sainsburys there, and we do not want a small, 'local' supermarket instead</p> <p>I am cynical about the number of 'affordable' dwellings: firstly what do they (the developers) mean by affordable? Secondly, I notice the developers at Swiss Cottage are now holding the Council to ransom, saying that the planned affordable dwellings will now cost them too much!!</p> <p>I know that Camden, along with other London Boroughs, is committed to providing new homes. Surely, Camden can meet their commitments without permitting this monster of a plan?</p> <p>I cannot speak for other people, but, for myself, I would be prepared to accept the original plan of 950 dwellings in part of the car park, retaining the existing buildings on the site.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/0593/T	John M Slater	17/02/2022 10:49:22	NOBJ	<p>The Plunm Tree is badly shaped, unbalanced, not well maintained, with ivy growing up its trunk. It is of poor amenity value in the rear garden, and as a member of the Rosaceae is susceptible to replant disease.</p> <p>The Magnolia needs maintenance and pruning, and could contribute much to the amenity value of the garden.</p>

Total: 5