

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:36	OBJ	Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:41	OBJ	Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:45	OBJ	Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:48	OBJ	Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:51	OBJ	Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:55	OBJ	Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:58	OBJ	Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.
2021/3225/P	Eira Gibson, Farm Director	16/02/2022 12:31:06	OBJ	The proposed development would have a significant detrimental impact on the farm, our visitors, and users. Our 4-acre site is nestled between railway lines and local authority housing. There are no tall buildings around the site so as you walk through the gate you get a view of the vast sky and are transported to an oasis in the city.  The farm is well loved by local people. For some it's a rare opportunity to connect with nature, for others we offer practical skills development and routes into training. In the pandemic our essential role in supporting people's mental health really came to the fore and we have developed partnerships with local providers to develop our therapeutic and meditative activities. Our beneficiaries include people with disabilities, young people, older people, families. It's free to visit and everyone is welcome.  We are very concerned that the proposed development of Murphy's Yard would have direct long-term impact on people's enjoyment of the farm. The size and bulk of the development is not in keeping with the neighbourhood. Beyond the impact to our human friends the building work is likely to affect the wellbeing of our animal residents and the proposed towers will fundamentally change the environment around the farm, impacting on the wind and therefore wildlife we are currently home to.  Further to the practical challenges, the design of the towers is incredibly insensitive to the class divide in our community and the assertion that the design is 'beneficial' alongside the view from the farm gates demonstrates a complete lack of understanding. The towers are a physical representation of the divide in our community, with tenants and residents of these new flats literally looking down on the people of Gospel Oak.  'Views of the city farm' will clearly be a selling point for these apartments. It is a great irony that if built they will change much of what makes the farm so special.
2021/3225/P	Rachel Schwartz	16/02/2022 15:32:31	COMMENT	To the planning committee, I hope you will reconsider giving planning permission for buildings that are far too big for the neighborhood. This is already a very dense area, closing off the sky too is just cruel. Why don't you limit the buildings to 4 or 5 stories?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Hilary Reicher	16/02/2022 15:32:02	OBJ	<p>I am shocked and appalled at these hideous plans!</p> <p>This is an incredibly special part of London where the present blend of historic buildings and eye wateringly beautiful Hampstead Heath have blended and complimented each other for hundreds of years.</p> <p>The height of the of the towers will be extraordinarily intrusive not only at ground level but viewing points around the area will be ruined catastrophically. And who on earth wants to live in a high rise anyway?</p> <p>Light will be compromised, traffic in and out of the site will be ghastly, the local transport infrastructure will be unable to cope and major improvements with more local harm will be imperative. I fear that this wil set a miserable precedent to further high rise developments which we can see all around the city. And so many of these properties are empty and contribute to a heartless living environment. These plans must be rejected!</p>
2021/3225/P	Hilary Reicher	16/02/2022 15:32:04	OBJ	<p>I am shocked and appalled at these hideous plans!</p> <p>This is an incredibly special part of London where the present blend of historic buildings and eye wateringly beautiful Hampstead Heath have blended and complimented each other for hundreds of years.</p> <p>The height of the of the towers will be extraordinarily intrusive not only at ground level but viewing points around the area will be ruined catastrophically. And who on earth wants to live in a high rise anyway?</p> <p>Light will be compromised, traffic in and out of the site will be ghastly, the local transport infrastructure will be unable to cope and major improvements with more local harm will be imperative. I fear that this wil set a miserable precedent to further high rise developments which we can see all around the city. And so many of these properties are empty and contribute to a heartless living environment. These plans must be rejected!</p>
2021/3225/P	Hilary Reicher	16/02/2022 15:32:07	OBJ	<p>I am shocked and appalled at these hideous plans!</p> <p>This is an incredibly special part of London where the present blend of historic buildings and eye wateringly beautiful Hampstead Heath have blended and complimented each other for hundreds of years.</p> <p>The height of the of the towers will be extraordinarily intrusive not only at ground level but viewing points around the area will be ruined catastrophically. And who on earth wants to live in a high rise anyway?</p> <p>Light will be compromised, traffic in and out of the site will be ghastly, the local transport infrastructure will be unable to cope and major improvements with more local harm will be imperative. I fear that this wil set a miserable precedent to further high rise developments which we can see all around the city. And so many of these properties are empty and contribute to a heartless living environment. These plans must be rejected!</p>
2021/3225/P	Helena Bullivant	16/02/2022 16:37:07	OBJ	<p>This type of development simply should not be permitted in such an area of outstanding beauty not just on Hampstead Heath but in the villages and streets surrounding. The height of these blocks is highly unnecessary and obscene to the local community. Casting shadows to remind us continually of the property developers going mad for money regardless of any sense of duty they have to the local community. Shame on anyone who endorses this hideous development</p>
2021/3225/P	Kate Wyn jones	16/02/2022 09:32:27	COMMNNT	<p>Unreservedly object to high rise buildings obscuring views across the city from Parliament hill and majority full market rate 1 and 2 bedroom properties.</p> <p>We don,t need more high rise luxury flats.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Ruth Overend	16/02/2022 17:10:44	OBJ	<p>Dear Murphy's Yard Developers and Camden Council,</p> <p>Please don't allow such high buildings to block our lovely views! It's going to look ridiculous from Parliament Hill, from the Lido, and from Kentish Town Station. Surely these are protected views?! - Especially the vista from the top of Parliament Hill, which is a destination in its own right for locals and visitors to look out over London. Have you ever been up there at sunset? (It's currently a beautiful panorama - and it's a FREE attraction!) As the etched metal sign at the top of the Hill depicts, you can see St Paul's, the City, and Canary Wharf - and all in context, with lower lying streets, green areas, and church spires etc. in the foreground. If all these new tower blocks are dumped in front (up to NINETEEN STOREYS HIGH?!), can't you see what a tragedy that would be?</p> <p>I had wondered whether some of our more privileged neighbours were over-reacting and being a bit selfish or entitled about new building in the area. I had thought that this development might be important for families who are in need of affordable housing in Camden and perhaps we needed to be more tolerant and embracing of change. But I've since read more about what is being proposed and these giant towers are going to contain up to 825 REALLY EXPENSIVE one/two-bed flats. I'm no expert, but I can't see how that meets Camden Council's social housing objectives? Do the industrial buildings need to be up to eight storeys high? Even if the would-be new homeowners/renters aren't allowed a car, I would guess that the businesses are going to significantly increase traffic? (Also, think how many motorbikes are going to be zooming back and forth delivering takeaways to all those wealthy young professionals in their apartments, haha.)</p> <p>Why is this proposal so greedy? Why is the development so dense and vast and impactful? Is it even legal in environmental terms?</p> <p>Thanks for listening to the local community. Many of us are very upset about this horrible plan and would appreciate some compromise, please.</p> <p>Regards, Ruth</p>
2021/3225/P	Katie Smith	16/02/2022 12:21:31	OBJ	<p>Totally unacceptable numbers of 3/4 bedroom housing which is what the Borough most needs. Horrible eyesore from the Heath and Kentish Town. Social housing is not a priority. Affordable housing is not realistic, 1/2 flats for close to a million pounds. Huge disruption for local community over 9 yrs including the local primary schools on Gordon House Rd. Another huge development that will be half occupied as people can't afford and big landlords will use for private short term rentals.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	EC Rutherford	16/02/2022 16:09:12	OBJ	<p>I'm opposed to the Murphy Yard Development several reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) the view of Hampstead Heath will be destroyed from the train bridge on Kentish Town High street. The green vista is emblematic of our neighbourhood.</li> <li>2) The Kentish Town City Farm will lose its tranquility from being towered over by new buildings. Those who rely on the farm for their well-being (not to mention the animals) will be severely affected by the encroaching buildings but also by the noise and construction.</li> <li>3) The volume of traffic on Gordon House Rd and Kentish Town High Street is already unacceptably high. Constant building works will only make the situation worse.</li> </ol>
2021/3225/P	Helen Mortimer	16/02/2022 16:52:15	SUPC	<p>As a Gospel Oak resident of 14 years, and soon to be relocating to Mortimer Terrace, I am mostly supportive of the idea of redeveloping the Murphy's Yard space with more housing, resources for the community and creating a more direct (and hopefully more pleasant) route between Gospel Oak and Kentish Town through that area. I would hope it would help with housing issues in the area and create opportunities for making the space as green and environmentally friendly as possible.</p> <p>I do object, however, to the proposed high rise elements within the current planning application. High rise properties would have a significant impact on the local skyline and create issues for other local residents and community services (such as Kentish Town City Farm) who would have the high rise buildings looming over their facilities which may result in them not being able to offer the services they currently offer to young people in the community for safeguarding reasons. They would also be a blight on the current skyline across Gospel Oak, particularly from the Heath which is a vital and much admired view.</p>
2021/3225/P	Catherine Clere	16/02/2022 17:00:55	PETITNOBJ E	<p>This proposal is far too over developed ruining the view from both KT city farm and the unique and special view from the Heath. While I am completely for more housing, this is just designed to maximised profit with no thought for the local area</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Max Lack	16/02/2022 11:37:58	OBJ	<p>I am writing in relation to planning application 2021/3225/P</p> <p>The development of Murphy's Yard is a fantastic opportunity to revitalise the area, to draw in new residents, and to improve the lot of the existing community. I am all in favour of increasing the local housing stock (as long as it actually meets local housing needs, which the proposal clearly does not). It also has the opportunity to increase local interconnectedness with new bike paths etc. In short, it could be the making of the area.</p> <p>Nonetheless, I am concerned by the details of the Murphy's Yard Development Plan.</p> <p>1) Camden has managed to preserve its character as a low-rise neighbourhood despite many challenges over the years, and this proposal would undo all of that good work. The proposal for so many high-rise buildings (in the local context, anything over 6 to 7 storeys is high-rise) would damage the neighbourhood in the long-term for little short-term gain.</p> <p>2) Relatedly, these high-rises, as illustrated in the development plan, would destroy multiple treasured view lines from Hampstead Heath, which is barely acknowledged in the proposal. These view lines are some of the area's most important features, drawing people from all across London, and once gone they can't be restored. The trade does not make sense. Moreover, it is telling that the proposal ignores rather than seeks to address this issue. The scheme's architect's claim that the development will have "no adverse effects in relation to townscape and visual impact" is frankly insulting, when their own illustrations make clear the extent of the impact.</p> <p>3) The design is generic and clearly does not have aesthetics or quality of life at its heart. For a borough with such a great tradition of innovative and efficient housing solutions, like Rowley Way (which could have served as a fantastic model, given the dimensions of Murphy's Yard), this paints a depressing picture, which will age poorly. I would suggest that they simply need to be told to do better and to broaden their focus beyond profit if they want custodianship of such an important site.</p> <p>4) The proposal to build so many 1 and 2 bed flats appears to be cynically aimed at the corner of the market with the highest margins. This doesn't match the area's needs at all, where there's a well-documented lack of proper family homes, which will be politically controversial and further damage social cohesion in the neighbourhood.</p> <p>5) The proposal only pays lip service to the idea of green public space. They've essentially taken the minimum necessary space between the buildings, laid down some cursory flower beds, and designated it green space.</p> <p>I appreciate that Murphy's need to be able to develop the site profitably, and there is always a middle ground, but the generic high-rise development they have proposed resembles nothing so much as a desire to cash out of the area with sole concern for Murphy's own interests. I do strongly believe that it is incumbent upon the local authority to prevent them from doing so in this case and to strive for a solution that is both commercially satisfactory and: (i) safeguards the character of the neighbourhood, (ii) ensures the development of sustainable housing stock that meets community needs, and (iii) protects local heritage and view lines. Thank you.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Holly France	16/02/2022 21:23:55	OBJ	<p>I feel this will be very detrimental to our farm and community , Kentish town is renowned for its views and skyline. The towers will block light and wind, they will over look our sanctuary of a farm and cause much disruption and distress to the animals and visitors of the farm.</p> <p>I am a farm worker and local resident, the disruption to our lives within the community will be severely affected by this.</p> <p>This money venture is not beneficial to the people who live here.</p>
2021/3225/P	Wendy Joyner & Chris Cooke	16/02/2022 23:39:15	OBJ	<p>For the residents living in the shadow of this proposed development, it will be cold, dark &amp; depressing as they will be deprived of sunshine and natural light. They will also have to suffer years of building work and noise pollution.</p> <p>The much loved &amp; valued assets of the City Farm &amp; Lido will also be affected.</p> <p>The views from Parliament Hill will be ruined and blocked out in part by this monstrous development and from Kentish Town the Heath will no longer be visible.</p> <p>Where is all the sewage going to go? What plans have been put into place for this with the additional 750 to 825 dwellings? Already water companies can't cope and are dumping sewage into rivers and the sea on a regular basis.</p> <p>Camden is being over developed &amp; ruined by these developments. Along the canal side, next to train lines and the Kings Cross - St. Pancras view development.</p> <p>There is a need for more social housing but this development is weighed far too heavy on the private sector and is purely for profit. Local people won't be able to afford the prices &amp; will ultimately be forced to move outside the area.</p> <p>Why should the local community suffer and have the area they live in ruined by a greedy developer, who will walk away and move onto the next project</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Wendy Joyner & Chris Cooke	16/02/2022 23:39:21	OBJ	<p>For the residents living in the shadow of this proposed development, it will be cold, dark &amp; depressing as they will be deprived of sunshine and natural light. They will also have to suffer years of building work and noise pollution.</p> <p>The much loved &amp; valued assets of the City Farm &amp; Lido will also be affected.</p> <p>The views from Parliament Hill will be ruined and blocked out in part by this monstrous development and from Kentish Town the Heath will no longer be visible.</p> <p>Where is all the sewage going to go? What plans have been put into place for this with the additional 750 to 825 dwellings? Already water companies can't cope and are dumping sewage into rivers and the sea on a regular basis.</p> <p>Camden is being over developed &amp; ruined by these developments. Along the canal side, next to train lines and the Kings Cross - St. Pancras view development.</p> <p>There is a need for more social housing but this development is weighed far too heavy on the private sector and is purely for profit. Local people won't be able to afford the prices &amp; will ultimately be forced to move outside the area.</p> <p>Why should the local community suffer and have the area they live in ruined by a greedy developer, who will walk away and move onto the next project</p>

---



Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Sara Marshall	16/02/2022 12:44:49	OBJ	<p>This is a very high intensity development that does not reflect the local environment or community.</p> <p><b>Visual impact</b> This proposal describes very large buildings, significantly taller, and with significantly more volume, than other buildings in the area. This will have very significant visual impact in all directions, and particularly from the protected Hampstead Heath.</p> <p><b>Creating a dormitory not a community.</b> The creation of majority 1-2 bedroom flats does not provide a mix of housing, and particularly restricts availability to families. It is not clear what % will be for low income families. Together, it is difficult to see how this will enable the creation of a strong community feel that is inclusive of a wide mix of social groups, all of which is very important in this area.</p> <p><b>Traffic</b> Although this is described as a "car free" development, will it be "van free"? What about all the deliveries, and all the construction traffic over a decade or more? Where does the cycle lane decant into, and what will be the impact of that? We already have major problems of cycling in Hampstead Heath, which can be terrifying for elderly visitors. The proposed cycle lane arrives at a no cycling path at the Lido - what happens then to all those cyclists?</p> <p>This is a fantastic opportunity to develop an important site, but this development does not align with the existing community of this area, and will significantly detract from key amenities, including light, views, safety, and social mix.</p>

---

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Wendy Joyner & Chris Cooke	16/02/2022 23:39:26	OBJ	<p>For the residents living in the shadow of this proposed development, it will be cold, dark &amp; depressing as they will be deprived of sunshine and natural light. They will also have to suffer years of building work and noise pollution.</p> <p>The much loved &amp; valued assets of the City Farm &amp; Lido will also be affected.</p> <p>The views from Parliament Hill will be ruined and blocked out in part by this monstrous development and from Kentish Town the Heath will no longer be visible.</p> <p>Where is all the sewage going to go? What plans have been put into place for this with the additional 750 to 825 dwellings? Already water companies can't cope and are dumping sewage into rivers and the sea on a regular basis.</p> <p>Camden is being over developed &amp; ruined by these developments. Along the canal side, next to train lines and the Kings Cross - St. Pancras view development.</p> <p>There is a need for more social housing but this development is weighed far too heavy on the private sector and is purely for profit. Local people won't be able to afford the prices &amp; will ultimately be forced to move outside the area.</p> <p>Why should the local community suffer and have the area they live in ruined by a greedy developer, who will walk away and move onto the next project</p>
2021/3225/P	Peter Becker	16/02/2022 23:49:09	OBJ	<p>I object the plans for the Murphy's Yard development vehemently. While I welcome this site being developed, the plans are not suitable for the area. The increased traffic during and after completion of the development will lead to health and safety issues on narrow Gordon House Road, a road that is already over capacity and is a key road for traffic from and to the Royal Free Hospital. The buildings scheme with its high rise building up to 19 storeys high will block protected views from and to Parliament Hill and the buildings contradict Camden's own housing policy regarding affordable family-sized housing. There are examples of regeneration such in King's Cross which are neighbourhood-friendly and bring benefits to their areas, but this proposed development for Murphy's Yard brings more harm than benefit to the neighbourhood.</p>
2021/3225/P	Yasmin Allen	17/02/2022 07:12:47	OBJ	<p>Im a resident, mother of two grown up children who both rode on the horses of a sundsy afternoon as well as attending the kentish town city farm on many other occasions for nigh on 36 years . I wish to oppose the planning application allowing two colossal towers to bear over the horse arena ..why is this necessary to construct even more high rise buildings when everyone else would prefer the unadulterated view and use of the land ?</p> <p>In an extremely close neighbourhood which is camden ,it's an absolute luxury for inner city inhabitants who have zero connection to the countryside or access to fields, sky landscape other than from a moving vehicle...please reconsider this monstrosity of a two looming towers and let us enjoy what is being taken away from us by greedy developers including camden council who say they pride themselves on green issues then we get notice of this !</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Margaret Houston	16/02/2022 17:19:11	OBJ	These towers are ugly and will ruin the views from the farm. The construction period will be disturbing to the animals, particularly the horses, and to disabled riders, many of whom have learning difficulties and are sensitive to noise and change. The farm has suffered enough with the essential repairs to the railway and a lack of council support in recent years. It is a haven for the community and beyond and should be given priority. I hope the local MP will be supporting the farm robustly against these proposals..
2021/3225/P	J Marks	16/02/2022 19:04:38	OBJ	
2021/3225/P	J Marks	16/02/2022 19:04:44	OBJ	
2021/3225/P	Neil Middleton	16/02/2022 21:56:47	PEITINORJE	I strongly object to the plan in its current form due to the increase in traffic along Mansfield road, and the tall buildings obscuring the views to and from the Heath and Parliament Hill. I have a 6 year old son at Gospel Oak school and the traffic around Gospel Oak school is bad enough already. I'm also a regular runner and walker on the Heath and any encroachment on the views needs to be rejected.
2021/3225/P	G M Hay	16/02/2022 13:02:44	OBJ/NOT	I am a daily visitor to Hampstead Heath and a regular Lido Swimmer. My children cherish their neighbourhood and are incredibly proud to have the Heath near their home. This Inner city site is unique in its positioning next to Hampstead Heath and multiple residential areas and schools. Great care, thought and respect must factor into how it is developed. We do not get to undo this development and its impact for future generations. We all thank the Heath and Hampstead Society efforts 150 years ago to protect the Heath land from being built on. This development is no less impactful and we have a great responsibility in getting it right. If it is allowed to go ahead in its current form, the Heath will be detrimentally affected for future generations. The Heath must be protected - both its right to light (including the Lido in Winter months), and its views both from the Heath and looking towards it (from Kentish Town). I accept that Murphy's Yard site should be developed but the height and massing of residential blocks is excessive and wholly unacceptable. The rationale for such density is one of pure financial viability and this cannot be the reason such plans go ahead. The requirement for affordable housing (which I fully support) is not being met - in terms of overall % and type. More affordable houses for larger families are categorically required, ensuring that more children have the opportunity to live near to the Heath, the swimming pool and attend local schools. Camden does not require more 1-2 bed flats. This is a unique area with a passionate and vibrant community and the proposal simply does not understand or respect the local neighbourhood and its needs. Furthermore, I have significant concerns regarding traffic on Gordon House Road both during construction and once the site is fully developed. A full traffic assessment must be carried out. It is naive to suggest that controlling parking permits to new residents will be sufficient. Currently even the smallest of road works/disruption can cause immense tail backs and congestion on what is a major thoroughfare for buses, ambulances and local traffic. Please let's use this opportunity to create a new area that is accessible to all, progressive and positive in its design, environmentally sensitive and socially conscious.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Alex Moro	16/02/2022 22:35:11	OBJ	<p>I object on the basis of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The gigantic size of the project</li> <li>2) The non-sensical, out of character way-too-high towers (environmentally unfriendly)</li> <li>3) The zero go-through roads (how can the 850 flats be serviced? Are the architects/planners out of their mind?)</li> <li>4) The project will completely clog nearby roads like Gordon House Road, already with too much traffic</li> </ol> <p>The project requires complete re-design, it is important to add full (2-ways) go-through roads, on the western side of the site, for vehicles and traffic that can connect the area with Gordon House Road and Kentish Town Road and Highgate Road, it makes no sense to build 1000 homes with no road connections.</p> <p>Suggestions :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduce the size of the site (200 flats maximum, in stages, not 850)</li> <li>Reduce the height of the buildings (5 storeys more than enough)</li> <li>Allow on the borders of the site for wide, two-way road traffic and cycling lanes (make the area car free but create another direct road, on the border with the project/site connecting Gordon House Rd and Kentish Town Road).</li> </ul>
2021/3225/P	Wendy Joyner & Chris Cooke	16/02/2022 23:39:09	OBJ	<p>For the residents living in the shadow of this proposed development, it will be cold, dark &amp; depressing as they will be deprived of sunshine and natural light. They will also have to suffer years of building work and noise pollution.</p> <p>The much loved &amp; valued assets of the City Farm &amp; Lido will also be affected.</p> <p>The views from Parliament Hill will be ruined and blocked out in part by this monstrous development and from Kentish Town the Heath will no longer be visible.</p> <p>Where is all the sewage going to go? What plans have been put into place for this with the additional 750 to 825 dwellings? Already water companies can't cope and are dumping sewage into rivers and the sea on a regular basis.</p> <p>Camden is being over developed &amp; ruined by these developments. Along the canal side, next to train lines and the Kings Cross - St. Pancras view development.</p> <p>There is a need for more social housing but this development is weighed far too heavy on the private sector and is purely for profit. Local people won't be able to afford the prices &amp; will ultimately be forced to move outside the area.</p> <p>Why should the local community suffer and have the area they live in ruined by a greedy developer, who will walk away and move onto the next project</p>