

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5895/P	Geoffrey Davies	12/02/2022 17:18:21	OBJ	<p>1 There are insufficient details of the application properly to access the impact of this application on neighbouring properties No plans, elevations or details have been provided.</p> <p>2 The application appears to be very similar to a previous more detailed application which i assume has been rejected or abandoned, after objections. I assume that this application has been made without details in the hope of getting it through with minimum scrutiny.</p> <p>3 The brief description of "enclosing rear terraces" suggests that the terraces will become rooms. It is likely that therefore they will therefore adversely affect the light and sunshine available to the flats at no 40 Earlham St, immediately to the rears (and north) of the subject property. The loss of light and sunshine will adversely affect the residents in the flats at No 40 The extent to which that is likely to happen is difficult to access without proper plans and elevations, which should be provided.</p> <p>4 Although the residents of No 40 will be adversely affected by the proposal no notice of the proposal was provided to residents of no 40. I would not have known about it if it had not been for CGCA drawing the proposal and deadline for comments to my attentions.</p> <p>5 On the basis of the information available I object to the proposal most strongly</p>
2021/5895/P	Geoffrey Davies	12/02/2022 17:18:24	OBJ	<p>1 There are insufficient details of the application properly to access the impact of this application on neighbouring properties No plans, elevations or details have been provided.</p> <p>2 The application appears to be very similar to a previous more detailed application which i assume has been rejected or abandoned, after objections. I assume that this application has been made without details in the hope of getting it through with minimum scrutiny.</p> <p>3 The brief description of "enclosing rear terraces" suggests that the terraces will become rooms. It is likely that therefore they will therefore adversely affect the light and sunshine available to the flats at no 40 Earlham St, immediately to the rears (and north) of the subject property. The loss of light and sunshine will adversely affect the residents in the flats at No 40 The extent to which that is likely to happen is difficult to access without proper plans and elevations, which should be provided.</p> <p>4 Although the residents of No 40 will be adversely affected by the proposal no notice of the proposal was provided to residents of no 40. I would not have known about it if it had not been for CGCA drawing the proposal and deadline for comments to my attentions.</p> <p>5 On the basis of the information available I object to the proposal most strongly</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5895/P	Geoffrey Davies	12/02/2022 17:18:17	OBJ	<p>1 There are insufficient details of the application properly to assess the impact of this application on neighbouring properties. No plans, elevations or details have been provided.</p> <p>2 The application appears to be very similar to a previous more detailed application which I assume has been rejected or abandoned, after objections. I assume that this application has been made without details in the hope of getting it through with minimum scrutiny.</p> <p>3 The brief description of "enclosing rear terraces" suggests that the terraces will become rooms. It is likely that therefore they will therefore adversely affect the light and sunshine available to the flats at no 40 Earlham St, immediately to the rear (and north) of the subject property. The loss of light and sunshine will adversely affect the residents in the flats at No 40. The extent to which that is likely to happen is difficult to assess without proper plans and elevations, which should be provided.</p> <p>4 Although the residents of No 40 will be adversely affected by the proposal, no notice of the proposal was provided to residents of no 40. I would not have known about it if it had not been for CGCA drawing the proposal and deadline for comments to my attention.</p> <p>5 On the basis of the information available I object to the proposal most strongly</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5895/P	Peter Jones	13/02/2022 16:54:36	OBJ	<p>The building which is the subject of these proposals, 25 Shelton Street, is on the south side and to the rear of 40 Earlham Street, separated from it by a shallow yard.</p> <p>Rays from the sun come from above and behind 25 Shelton Street. For any given date and time of day there is a specific angle at which the sun's rays could hit the back of the 40 Earlham Street building. Given the proximity of 25 Shelton Street its overall height directly affects that angle and therefore determines how much (if any) and for how long (if at all) direct light strikes the rear of 40 Earlham Street. The greater the height of 25 Shelton Street the greater the area of 40 Earlham Street which would be cut off from direct light.</p> <p>Firstly, these proposals would increase the height of 25 Shelton Street and therefore reduce the amount and duration of direct light striking 40 Earlham Street. If approved, they would have a seriously negative impact on all the residents, particularly those living on the lower floors who would receive substantially less if any direct sunlight.</p> <p>The proposals infringe on our basic rights to light. We have enjoyed receiving direct sunlight for longer than 20 years and are entitled to continue to receive that light.</p> <p>Secondly, none of the residents of 40 Earlham Street would have been aware of these proposals and the deadline to lodge any objections to them, proposals which would have a direct and deleterious affect on our properties unless we had been informed by the CGCA. I consider this to be a serious oversight and /or shortcoming. I hope that the Committee will address this in order to ensure that in any future applications all parties directly impacted by a proposal are informed in a timely manner and given every opportunity to exercise their legal right to object.</p> <p>On the basis the above, I strongly object to these proposals and urge the Committee to reject it.</p>