

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5761/P	Janet Amsden	12/02/2022 11:37:46	COMMNT	It should not be higher than other buildings in the block and be Set back not straight up.
2021/5761/P	Janet Amsden	12/02/2022 11:37:48	COMMNT	It should not be higher than other buildings in the block and be Set back not straight up.
2021/5761/P	Deborah Taverner	12/02/2022 12:57:01	COMMNT	<p>We object to the excessive height of the proposed erection at 18 Stukeley Street. The design illustrations appear to show a forward projection of the new storeys . We would expect the new storeys to be stepped back .</p> <p>We believe this new proposed extension will compromise the appearance and character of the building in this Conservation Area .</p> <p>We support the objections of the CAAC.</p> <p>Marcus Taverner Dr Deborah Taverner</p>
2021/5761/P	Derek Parkes	11/02/2022 22:12:55	OBJ	<p>I write to object to the proposed scheme on the grounds of loss of daylight and sunlight to my building at 16.5 Stukeley Street.</p> <p>The applicant's daylight and sunlight report quite clearly illustrates that the proposed scheme will reduce the light to my building in excess of the BRE Guidelines and so should be rejected by officers.</p> <p>Whilst I understand that rights of light is not a planning matter I would like to place on record that I intend to defend any right to light that I hold over the development site and do not consent to any injury to my right to light that the proposed extension will generate.</p> <p>Can I bring to your attention too that a similar scheme at 8 Smart's Place, next door, created such unbearable noise during strengthening works that your Council served a Noise Abatement Notice following a noise report we commissioned.</p>
2021/5761/P	Hazel Capper	12/02/2022 16:24:53	OBJ	<p>St Giles in the Fields and Bloomsbury United charity owns the St Giles Almshouses at 17a Macklin Street, which is very close to the proposed development. The Almshouse provides sheltered accommodation for eight older women.</p> <p>The charity objects to the excessive height and bulk that will be created by the erection of a 2 storey extension at 18 Stukeley Street. We object to the constant development of surrounding buildings when this is within the Seven Dials Conservation Area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5761/P	Edward Baker	12/02/2022 20:37:32	OBJ	<p>I object to this application. I am a resident of the area at 19 Macklin Street which backs onto the location of the property</p> <p>I object to the excessive height and bulk that will be created by the erection of a new 2 storey extension. This is out of proportion to the existing buildings and historic nature of the narrow streets. It will over-dominate the street and add to the destruction of the character of the area.</p> <p>The design now makes the facade look top heavy. The existing parapet should be retained and the any upper storeys added be well set back.</p> <p>The roof terrace should not be allowed. This is out of character with the building and activity there will create noise, particularly at night time ,to the detriment of existing residents. Many apartment developments in the area are now rented to wealthy international students and such facilities get used for night time parties.</p> <p>This proposal is classic over-development of an historic site in a conservation area and serves no benefit or positive contribution to the local community. Given the scale of development on other nearby sites with modern buildings there is no need to permit over-intensification of this historic street in a conservation area.</p> <p>I note that no direct notice had been given to local residents about this development. Please circulate details more widely in future.</p>
2021/5761/P	Jason Katz	12/02/2022 13:19:16	OBJ	<p>I object to these proposals.</p> <p>Excessive height of proposal</p> <p>The drawings seem to show the upper floors stepped forward</p> <p>Adverse effect on conservation area</p>