

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/6074/P	Mrs Joanne Scott	10/02/2022 16:13:26	OBJ	<p>Meadowbank, NW33AY, is a 1960's development of 67 or so, densely built townhouses. I object to this commercial planning application on the grounds that it presents a clear case of overdevelopment on a site that is surrounded by a communal walkway used for access by residents and the public and a communal garden used for the quiet and enjoyment of adjacent houses. The site has no direct access to our narrow roads, except via a narrow pathway or steps leading directly past and outside another house.</p> <p>34 Meadowbank already has a roof extension, permission for which was granted under permitted development some years ago. Late last year permission was granted to increase the height of the house again.</p> <p>I believe that the additional application made by the new owners of 34 to build a cinema room by digging out beneath the house as well as building a patio extension at ground floor level constitutes overdevelopment which may increase the risk of flooding to houses positioned lower in the communal garden. The patio extension will cause loss of amenity to neighbours through loss of privacy and light. Neither is the curved design of the extension in keeping with other properties in Meadowbank. If the development application is allowed, the excavation and construction of the basement will cause enormous noise and access disruption to the elderly neighbours at numbers 33 and 35 as well as other Meadowbank houses. Vehicular and pedestrian access by the 67 Meadowbank households will be severely and potentially dangerously disrupted by construction access needed by lorries. The application, if permitted would adversely affect the lives of many Meadowbank residents over a prolonged time.</p>

2021/6074/P	Mrs Joanne Scott	10/02/2022 16:13:31	OBJ	<p>Meadowbank, NW33AY, is a 1960's development of 67 or so, densely built townhouses. I object to this commercial planning application on the grounds that it presents a clear case of overdevelopment on a site that is surrounded by a communal walkway used for access by residents and the public and a communal garden used for the quiet and enjoyment of adjacent houses. The site has no direct access to our narrow roads, except via a narrow pathway or steps leading directly past and outside another house.</p> <p>34 Meadowbank already has a roof extension, permission for which was granted under permitted development some years ago. Late last year permission was granted to increase the height of the house again.</p> <p>I believe that the additional application made by the new owners of 34 to build a cinema room by digging out beneath the house as well as building a patio extension at ground floor level constitutes overdevelopment which may increase the risk of flooding to houses positioned lower in the communal garden. The patio extension will cause loss of amenity to neighbours through loss of privacy and light. Neither is the curved design of the extension in keeping with other properties in Meadowbank. If the development application is allowed, the excavation and construction of the basement will cause enormous noise and access disruption to the elderly neighbours at numbers 33 and 35 as well as other Meadowbank houses. Vehicular and pedestrian access by the 67 Meadowbank households will be severely and potentially dangerously disrupted by construction access needed by lorries. The application, if permitted would adversely affect the lives of many Meadowbank residents over a prolonged time.</p>
-------------	------------------	---------------------	-----	---
