

Rafi Miah

From: eva stina Lyon [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 February 2022 17:18
To: Planning Planning
Subject: Planning application 2021/3225/P Murphy's Yard

[REDACTED]

I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the nature of the present building application for Murphy's Yard close to Hampstead Heath and gospel Oak train station. Of course the site should be developed for housing but there must be alternative ways of doing this that are friendlier to the environment and to local and visiting citizens. The sheer number of inhabitants of the tall blocks will make the train station totally inadequate, as well as the traffic outside and around; the Heath entry and the Lido as well as other immediate Heath environments will have yet more immediate access leading to even greater crowding of dogs and cyclists than is the case at present, destroying whatever wild environment is left and bringing extra financial and time burdens on heath maintenance staff; the local traffic is bad enough as it is increasing already high carbon pollution in the local streets. The blocking of the view from the tope of Parliament will be ugly, to put it mildly. This is one of the most beautiful views in London which attracts individuals from across the world, and why ruin it so totally to please individual speculative developers? There are many examples of local "infill" developments that work well, e.g. the smaller block of flats at the corner of Fleet Rd and Lawn Rd, older small housing developments in for example Belsize Village and close to Primrose Hill. There will be family demands for such smaller houses. Please, please do rethink this proposal again and take better account of both the environment and of local citizens. E. Stina Lyon
71 Parliament Hill, NW32TH