| | | | | Printed on: 09/02/2022 09:10:09 |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
| 2021/3225/P | Charlotte Bolland | 09/02/2022 08:07:19 | COMMNT | Dear Camden I am not happy with the Folgate Estates scheme. I believe that it would block winter sun to the Lido until at least 10 am. The Lido is a place enjoyed by thousands of people all through the year. And the Sun during the morning is something that is enjoyed by many many people. I love early morning swimming at the lido year round and this would negatively impact on my life. Blocking this out would be a detiment to the lives of many people (not just me). I am very supportive of more housing in the area. But not at the expense of sunlight which I believe is important for the mental health and well being of many. |
| 2021/3225/P | Abigail Hollick | 08/02/2022 21:04:20 | PETITNOBJ E | I regularly walk on Hampstead Heath and swim I the Lido, and I feel that the experience of local people will be negatively affected by this development. There will be shade ceast on surrounding houses and on the Lido. The properties themselves do not seem appropriate for families, which is what the area needs. Also, it will ruin the view from Parliament Hill, which is a a landmark London view. |
| 2021/3225/P | Tommy Antonio | 08/02/2022 18:32:15 | OBJ | As a regular user of the Hampstead lido I object to the blockage of light to the facility. Aside from this the lack of family homes in the building void it is claim to be of real benefit to the community that it will dominate. |
| 2021/3225/P | Tommy Antonio | 08/02/2022 18:32:19 | OBJ | As a regular user of the Hampstead lido I object to the blockage of light to the facility. Aside from this the lack of family homes in the building void it is claim to be of real benefit to the community that it will dominate. |
| 2021/3225/P | Ishani Salpadoru | 08/02/2022 19:36:06 | OBJ | I am a Londoner and have lived with my family in neighbouring Brent. I come to Camden and Hampstead for work and leisure, as does my teenage daughter and husband. I object to this proposal as it does not forfill the key housing needs in London. It is neither affordable for young people who have grown up in the area and wish to stay, nor is it for families. I have seen the same housing populate Brent as we have to contend with all the negatives as a result. There is no infrastructure built to support such housing, eg schools and GPs, luckily you have the Heath. However if most people are absent landlords with short lets there is no investment in the local community by the people who live in this sort of housing. This argument has been rehearsed many times and as I understand is part of London's Housing policy. Lastly obstructing view and light for the heath and lido area is against any environmental sense. |
| 2021/3225/P | Catharine Christianson | 09/02/2022 08:48:05 | OBJ | 1. The mix of housing is inappropriate. There should be higher level of social housing with units bigger than one bed, to serve the area of Kentish Town and Camden generally. 2. The height of the buildings is inappropriate. The tall blocks will not fit with the surrounding area and cause an eyesore as well as blocking views. 3. The traffic in the area is already at unacceptable levels. Servicing a large population and industrial units will snarl up the roads even more than they are now. 4. It just feels like squashing stuff into a space that's utterly inappropriate. |

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| 2021/3225/P | Philip Ross | 08/02/2022 19:08:06 | PETITNOBJ E | Dear Sirs. I wish to object on the grounds I am a regular user of The Heath and all the year round user of The Parliament Hill Lido swimming more than 5 days a week. 1 The size and height of the development. 2 The Lido will be in shadow in The Winter Months. as this development will block the sun significantly when swimmers need it most. both in The water and around the pool. 3 The special metal liner was put in to ensure that the suns rays assist in the temperature and also for swimmers the water reflects the suns rays making an exceptional place to swim. 4 The Impact on the local Community with such a level of added, pollution traffic, and changing the community in a negative way. 5 There should be an Impact assessment on The Heath users. 6 The Lido is an Art Deco Special Building. If the development is allowed to continue at 18 Stories That view will be lost forever. I am not against development on a smaller scale It is the size and that this needs to be scaled down and re thought |
| 2021/3225/P | Helen beuselinck | 08/02/2022 19:09:35 | OBJ | This proposed building is an eyesore on the skyline. It towers above all the other surrounding buildings and would effect the natural light levels in the surrounding area. It is not sympathetic in its design to the historic surrounding buildings and dwarfs its immediate surroundings. |
| | | | | It feels as if no thought has been given to its impact on this area and proposed time plan. |
| | | | | Hampstead Heath is a haven from the city this proposed building feels I¿ll advised. Buildings of a lower height might be more plausible. |
| | | | | I strongly opposed this proposed building. I have been visiting Hampstead Heath and the historic lido for many years. This proposed building would have a very negative impact on the visitors and residents of this area. |
| 2021/3225/P | Daniel Benoliel | 08/02/2022 17:28:23 | PETITNOBJ E | I am writing to object to the Murphy's Yard development on three grounds: |
| | | | | 1. It will detrimentally affect the view of London from Parliament Hill, one of London's Cherished Views. |
| | | | | 2. It will block light to surrounding housing and amenities. |
| | | | | The flats being proposed are not appropriate to the needs of the community, and will not provide enough affordable family housing. |

Printed on: 09/02/2022 09:10:09

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|
| 2021/3225/P | Emilia & Roger Leese | 08/02/2022 18:35:41 | OBJ | Dear Planning Committee we respectfully submit our objection to this proposed project. We are Hampstead residents. |
| | | | | The proposed structure is massive. It will loom over sections of the Heath utterly overwhelming them. It will block any views of the City, including from Parliament Hill. The density of the proposed development, without any significant green space, means new residents will flock to the Heath. The developers are selling this new development on the back of access to the Heath, but they're doing nothing to contribute to the viability of such a massive new influx of people. This will lead to permanent degradation of the Heath, which will benefit no one. |
| | | | | The proposed green corridor isn't a green corridor at all. It's a paved walkway with some potted plants. So, it shouldn't be considered a green space at all. |
| | | | | And where's the affordable housing anyway? Certainly, the developers tell us there will be some, yet, there's no percentage. And frankly, what does affordable in their definition mean anyway? It doesn't seem to be affordable for non-City worker types. |
| | | | | We very much hope you will reject this project. Thank you. Kindest regards, Emilia & Roger Leese |
| 2021/3225/P | Saskia Roberts | 08/02/2022 17:16:08 | OBJ | As a regular user of both gordon road, hampstead Heath and the Parliament Hill Lido, this application, were it to be passed, would have a huge impact on the surrounding area. not only from an aesthetic point of view but also from a environmental point of view. There will be a huge increase in traffic as there is no residential traffic coming for the sire currently and the traffic is bad enough as it is already. Open space and sight lines are so so very important for the people of London, together with real open space. There are less high rise approaches to building many homes - and reading the detail them kin of housing sizes do not seem to corelate with what is needed in the borough. With 2 children schooled locally, there will be an increased pressure on the facilities for young people as there isn't nearly enough provision in the plans. It is great that the site is being put to use, but this is not the way to go about it - it's not sustainable, either from an energy perspective or from a community perspective. |
| 2021/3225/P | Oscar Beuselinck | 08/02/2022 21:05:50 | OBJ | Over development that dominates the skyline |

Printed on: 09/02/2022 09:10:09

| | | | | | 0:09 |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|---|------|
| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: | |
| 2021/3225/P | Mia Matson | 08/02/2022 23:03:55 | OBJ | I strenuously object to this proposal on the basis of the density and height of the proposed development. It will without doubt obstruct protected views from both Parliament Hill and Kentish Town, and will irrevocably alter the character of the entire neighbourhood. This corporate estate is totally unsuitable for the local community and there is little or no proved benefits for existing residents. The housing provision is not in scale with Camden councils own criteria and the percentage of ¿affordable¿ housing to be yielded by the scheme is an undisclosed percentage. The predominance of one bedroom units would encourage buy to let or investment owners rather than providing an opportunity for permanent homes. All of the neighbourhoods and areas adjoining Hampstead Heath require extra consideration given the impact that they might have on this very unique, historic and treasured open space. Erecting a ¿KingsCross¿ style mini metropolis abutting the heath is a travesty and should NOT be allowed to happen | |
| 2021/3225/P | Jenny peters | 09/02/2022 07:02:54 | COMNOT | I leave very close to the development site for Murphy's Yard and have so many concerns that have not been answered by any of the information I have read or previous responses. The impact on the local area of this development will be significant - the infrastructure cannot support it - insufficient parking, roads already too busy, etc etc plus enduring the impact of sustained building activity will be devastating. Our area of sanctuary is the Heath and we will no longer see it in the distance from KT - a source of constant pleasure. It will be a blot on the horizon of the stunning views from Parliament Hill and Kenwood. The lack of affordable housing is criminal in the age of gentrification and I sincerely hope that our local MP will be opposing vehemently. The impact on light, well being of existing residents and the environment of this environmentally unsympathetic building cannot be ignored. I wish it wasni/t happening at all and could be stopped - however I recognise that is unlikely. Please do not continue with the plans as they are - please reduce the high rise buildings in height and number to avoid the damage to this wonderful area and please donut cram so many people into such a small space. And please include affordable housing (which I am ineleigible for so this is not a personal request). Please donut ruin the area for those who already live here - this project is driven by greed and financial gain and must be modified or stopped. | |
| 2021/3225/P | Dan Watts | 08/02/2022 15:16:16 | OBJ | I strongly oppose this planning application. It is too overbearing and is not congruent with the wider context of Highgate and Kentish Town North. Furthermore, daylight loss on the Lido is unacceptable and must not be chipped away by an inappropriate and speculative development. | |
| 2021/3225/P | Joe Teller | 08/02/2022 16:21:49 | WREP | The six tower blocks will have an adverse impact on the openness of the Heath from the Lido and nearby playing fields, and entail the loss of views, including that from the top of Parliament Hill where approximately half of the buildings depicted on the plaque will be obscured. | |
| | | | | The proposal does not include any gardens or significant green public spaces on the site to where residents and workers can resort. The consequence of this excessive density is likely to be that many new people will congregate on the Parliament Hill area of the Heath and in such numbers that it will be seriously and permanently degraded. | |

| 1 P N | Consultees Name: | Desired. | | Printed on: 09/02/2022 09:10:09 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| Application No: 2021/3225/P | Joe Teller | Received: 08/02/2022 16:21:52 | Comment: WREP | Response: The six tower blocks will have an adverse impact on the openness of the Heath from the Lido and nearby playing fields, and entail the loss of views, including that from the top of Parliament Hill where approximately half of the buildings depicted on the plaque will be obscured. |
| | | | | The proposal does not include any gardens or significant green public spaces on the site to where residents and workers can resort. The consequence of this excessive density is likely to be that many new people will congregate on the Parliament Hill area of the Heath and in such numbers that it will be seriously and permanently degraded. |
| 2021/3225/P | Jenny Poirier | 08/02/2022 23:42:37 | OBJ | This is a totally inappropriate development. The building s are far too tall and out of keeping with the area. They will blight views and block winter sun from the parliament hill lido. They will not address the housing shortage fir local people as there isn't a big enough proportion of affordable homes. |
| 2021/3225/P | Jenny Poirier | 08/02/2022 23:42:44 | OBJ | This is a totally inappropriate development. The building s are far too tall and out of keeping with the area. They will blight views and block winter sun from the parliament hill lido. They will not address the housing shortage fir local people as there isn't a big enough proportion of affordable homes. |
| 2021/3225/P | Jenny Poirier | 08/02/2022 23:42:52 | OBJ | This is a totally inappropriate development. The building s are far too tall and out of keeping with the area. They will blight views and block winter sun from the parliament hill lido. They will not address the housing shortage fir local people as there isn't a big enough proportion of affordable homes. |
| 2021/3225/P | Helen beuselinck | 08/02/2022 19:09:40 | OBJ | This proposed building is an eyesore on the skyline. It towers above all the other surrounding buildings and would effect the natural light levels in the surrounding area. It is not sympathetic in its design to the historic surrounding buildings and dwarfs its immediate surroundings. |
| | | | | It feels as if no thought has been given to its impact on this area and proposed time plan. |
| | | | | Hampstead Heath is a haven from the city this proposed building feels I advised. Buildings of a lower height might be more plausible. |
| | | | | I strongly opposed this proposed building. I have been visiting Hampstead Heath and the historic lido for many years. This proposed building would have a very negative impact on the visitors and residents of this area. |
| 2021/3225/P | Charlotte Bolland | 09/02/2022 08:07:18 | COMMNT | Dear Camden I am not happy with the Folgate Estates scheme. I believe that it would block winter sun to the Lido until at least 10 am. The Lido is a place enjoyed by thousands of people all through the year. And the Sun during the morning is something that is enjoyed by many many many people. I love early morning swimming at the lido year round and this would negatively impact on my life. Blocking this out would be a detriment to the lives of many people (not just me). I am very supportive of more housing in the area. But not at the expense of sunlight which I believe is important for the mental health and well being of many. |