

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/6190/P	Clare Leavenworth Bakali , Staph Leavenworth Bakali, Sue Stedman Jones	07/02/2022 19:10:12	INT	<p>2021/6190/P</p> <p>We object to this application on the grounds that:</p> <p>This goes against the Mayor For London and TFL's Cycle parking implementation plan July 2019. It's also against the Camden Planning Guidance on Transport 2021 as well as Cycling Action Plan (2019).</p> <p>Additionally:</p> <p>1.As it has always been residential, I presume they would already have access to a resident's permit. Are they suggesting that this would be a private space not subject to needing a permit? There is very little detail on the application.</p> <p>2. They are seeking to remove 2 cycle parking spaces and a tree which is the only tree on the block. It does not preserve or enhance the Conservation Area. The street tree is a public amenity. Removing it will go against multiple policies in the Local Plan.</p> <p>3. The space will take up pavement space on a major pedestrian thoroughfare for both the local primary school and access to Lambs Conduit Street. This also brings into question the Duty of Care as such permission significantly increases the risk of serious harm for road users, pedestrians, school children and parents.</p> <p>4. As this part of the road also currently has both meter and CAD residents parking spaces across the street from the applicant, and is also a two-way street this will create hazardous chaos for all including recycling lorries, emergency vehicles, etc.. as it will evidently narrow the space viable for all users.</p> <p>4.The drawings mention a drop curb of porous materials and do not illustrate how the car or cars would sit on the space. The road is already narrow. There is no indication of how this would be managed safely or how the off street parking would function without encroaching on the pavement.</p> <p>We hope that the council takes into consideration these serious risks of harm an injury and unnecessary inconvenience to the public and school children. It is unnecessary, does not comply with and is not in the spirit of the Mayor of London and Camden Council planning guidance . We urge the council to reject this application.</p>