

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Helen Veale	07/02/2022 15:02:16	COMMNT	<p>As a resident of Kentish Town for twenty years, I strongly object to this development.</p> <p>This development is much too large for the proposed location - both as a physical object spoiling the much loved view from Parliament Hill but more importantly overwhelming the resources of Kentish Town and destroying the character and mix of this area.</p> <p>I urge the planners to reject this scheme and to support a smaller less intrusive project</p>
2021/3225/P	Lora Macfarlane	07/02/2022 14:51:27	COMMNT	<p>The plans do not accommodate families in need of affordable housing, there is a lack of 3bed affordable homes in camden forcing families out of the area, the plans do not provide enough housing for families. The majority of housing being built is not at an affordable level and units are priced above market level, the area does not need luxury 1 or 2bed apartments, it needs more affordable family homes. The intake in schools in the area has fallen because families are priced out of the area, this strips a community, society needs communities to thrive. These plans are not community centred with very little to offer children or indeed, the elderly.</p> <p>In the proposals Gordon House rd would provide the main servicing for the development, how do the planners expect an already heavily narrow and congested road to handle all the service vehicles providing food and services to all these small residential units, thats a lot of ocado and amazon vans! As it stands the traffic is often stationary in this road at busy hours with backed up traffic jams making it hazardous for emergency vehicles to get through. This road serves all the hospitals and schools in the area from Highgate to Belsize park, connecting the royal free hospital and the Whittington hospital. As this road is so narrow, the service demands of such a development would place untenable pressure on what is a narrow and overly congested road adding serious risk to life from ambulances not being able to get through.</p> <p>With buildings so tall the new proposed skyline would block light and far overshadow neighbouring residents destroying the view from hampstead heath, a view that is iconic and unspoilt.</p> <p>This development is not community centred and I fear it will attract property investors rather than real homes for people who live and work in the community. Wouldn't it be nice if this development could provide homes for key workers who live and work at the schools and hospitals in the area. When the schools have no children and the hospitals no nurses then maybe everyone might stop to think about putting profit over people.</p>
2021/3225/P	Josh Segal	07/02/2022 11:06:54	OBJ	<p>The tower block will ruin the view from Parliament Hill to the city (currently a beautiful and popular panorama) and block sunlight to the Lido and lower playing fields. This is unacceptable.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Laura	07/02/2022 10:46:23	COMMNT	<p>While we do understand the need to develop Murphy's Yard area and welcome the potential of having a nice area with cafes, shops, leisure and sports, we do have strong doubts the project currently submitted is suitable, for the following reasons:</p> <p>First, the main issue we see is the height of the proposed blocks. The area is made of low rise buildings, from an architectural point of view these blocks will not fit in and will block protected view points of parliament hill and kentish town. Such high rises will create light issues for surrounding neighbors. Furthermore, various studies have demonstrated high rise blocks do not help provide a sense of community amongst its residents, and as a consequence can have an impact on security as it is impossible to know everyone in a block and ensure only residents come in and out.</p> <p>Secondly, Hampstead heath is a family area - the proposed development doesn't offer enough family friendly accommodation as it mostly offers 1/2 bed flats, plus high rises are not very popular with families due to lack of outside space.</p> <p>Finally, we would like to see better proposals of schools/education as part of this project, taking into account the fact that local schools are already in high demand.</p> <p>We do hope you will be able to rework some parts of this proposal to make it more suitable - there are certainly ways to create lower rise accommodation whilst still keeping the main objectives of this redevelopment.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
2021/3225/P	Courtney	07/02/2022 11:21:42	COMMNT	It will ruin the view from Parliament hill!!!
2021/3225/P	Penny CRAWFORD	08/02/2022 08:34:08	OBJ	I think this is just a money making venture. It doesn't address real social housing needs. I really object to the height/obstructed views. The housing should be low rise not high rise. This area is already super busy, there just isn't enough infrastructure to support this many more homes.
2021/3225/P	Elizabeth Silva	07/02/2022 15:16:17	COMMNT	<p>The planning for Murphy's Yard started well. I attended the early community events. We seem to be heard. Yet, the current planning doesn't take into account the concerns of the neighbourhood and goes against the preservation of the area. My main concern is the high rise towers and the amount of one and two bedroom flats proposed to be built. The population increase will put pressure on the resources in the area, increase traffic and use of public transport, health centres, circulation of bodies on the heath. It is unthinkable that shadows will be created on the Lido and surrounding areas. What started as a welcome development has turn out into a grabbing greedy proposal disconnected from the concerns of the community.</p>
2021/3225/P	Eleanor	07/02/2022 17:24:09	OBJ	<p>This will be hugely imposing the structures and mass are too big and too dense and totally unnecessary in size. I hugely oppose this. creating 950k flats is not providing affordable housing in the area. Ridiculous.</p> <p>There is no need for the scale this big. It will ruin views and the architectural design is lacking to say the least.</p>
2021/3225/P	Tristan Anderson	07/02/2022 10:19:14	COMMNT	I object to the this proposed development. There are not enough family homes being built. The view from Parliament hill must absolutely be protected and the sunlight must be allowed to shine on the park and lido

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Jennifer Burnham	07/02/2022 10:53:04	COMMNT	This proposal is highly objectionable - particularly any buildings near 19 stories high in this already crowded area. It would be a terrible eyesore in a spot that very many Londoners treasure when they seek relief from crowds and concrete. It is also very disturbing that new technologies to improve the environment and reduce carbon footprints will not be employed, and the mix of homes will not reflect what is needed in Camden.
2021/3225/P	Nicola Begent	07/02/2022 14:09:54	OBJ	I object to the proposed Murphy's Yard Development for the following reasons:  1.The massing of the proposed buildings is excessive and represents overdevelopment of the site. While there is a need for more residential property, it appears that the vast majority of the proposed homes would be one or two bedroom units, with very few for families. 2. Protected views of London from Hampstead Heath would be compromised by the over large buildings. 3. No green spaces for residents have been created, which could well lead to overuse of the Parliament Hill area of Hampstead Heath, with concomitant degradation of the fauna and flora. Children need outside space close to their homes where they can play under the supervision of their parents or carers without going far from home.
2021/3225/P	Linda Constantinou	07/02/2022 22:43:47	COMMNT	I think it will be a crying shame if this happens. Blocking out sunlight that bathes the lido water. Plus the view from the heath will look monstrous. Why can't people care about the bigger picture? The world has enough ugliness in it so why add more? I'm not against progress but I am against cheap, tall, ugly structures. I know we will never have any beautiful buildings made again in the UK like St Paul's etc as there is not the time nor imagination. Surely someone will care enough to want to see less hideous, budget housing being thrown into our world and make something more pleasing to the eye. But I guess where there is land there is money and whoever allows this sadness to be constructed does not care what it will look like and how it will affect other people that have to suffer it. As long as there is a fast buck to be made.
2021/3225/P	Francoise Findlay	07/02/2022 14:41:10	COMMNT	The proposal for this important, large site in the borough is excessive. I am a long standing member of the Heath and Hampstead Society because I value the open space and the views, particularly from the top of Parliament Hill. This major development would impinge on those views by the construction of six tower blocks. Not only the height but the mass and density of the proposal together with the lack of soft landscaping, open green areas, within the site are all deleterious. This development is the precursor to that for the Regis Road area and must not be allowed as a bad precedent for high rise, high density.
2021/3225/P	Amy	07/02/2022 11:13:02	OBJ	The proposal is overbearing and will ruin the views from Hampstead Heath. It also does not meet the affordable housing provision threshold and contains too many 1-2 bedroom flats (which the area does not need more of) and not enough 3+ bedroom flats for families.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Nigel Reidy Hathway	07/02/2022 18:18:52	COMMNT	<p>This application should be rejected for a number of reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) There are far too many flats/residential homes for the size of plot.</li> <li>2) In a sensitive area, the height of the proposed towers is fat too high. They will dominate the neighbourhood, and have an adverse effect on the view from Parliament Hill.</li> <li>3) There is far too little 'green space' in the proposal for residents/workers to enjoy.</li> <li>4) The entry into the estate from Gordon House Road is far too narrow, and the effect on traffic to the north eg. on Mansfield Road will be horrendous.</li> </ol>
2021/3225/P	Angus Donaldson	07/02/2022 16:21:04	OBJ	<p>This proposed development does nothing for Camden other than ruin the beautiful aspect from Parliament hill and in turn obscure the view of Parliament hill from Kentish Town. Why should the pleasure of these historical views of London be denied to all in perpetuity to line the pockets of developers?</p> <p>The need for housing in the UK and Camden does not extend to million pound 2 bedroom flats in high blocks. I understood that the developments were originally to provide low level family housing. Something needed and desirable, it would be a pleasant area for low density housing. The area has the infrastructure to cater for that, however it does not have the infrastructure to absorb the sort of high level dense blocks proposed.</p> <p>This plan as it stands with high level blocks is purely of use to avaricious property developers. If permission is granted it will change the area forever. There is no need for such high level development anywhere within this area which will ruin the unique character of this area.</p> <p>Affordable housing and facilities for families are what is needed, not cramming as many properties into a relatively small footprint to bolster the profits of the few at the expense of the entire area forever.</p> <p>I urge the council to reject this horrible scheme out of hand, there is no need for or justification for high blocks.</p>

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2021/3225/P	Christine Nicholls	07/02/2022 17:52:02	OBJ	Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing given my grave concerns over this planning application.

The issues I feel I need to raise and object to are as follows:

1/ Firstly I believe that the majority of residential housing, ie over 80% will be one and two bedroom properties. This does not reflect the real need for housing in Camden, ie more family homes; three and four bed housing. (Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA))

2/ Secondly Camden's policy of 35% affordable housing is being classed as non viable but this is ESSENTIAL for the running of the borough and London in general. We can not allow developers to bulldoze through this policy and I feel strongly that the affordable housing percentage should be higher in new developments.

3/ I also don't see enough provision for services directed to young people, this is also vital for the area.

4/ I strongly object to the height of the buildings.

From Hampstead Heath

Developments of the height proposed will destroy the treasured and protected views into the city, making the area feel completely overwhelmed by hideous high rises.

During lockdown a huge number of residents and visitors have benefitted from the positive effects that Hampstead Heath has to offer and people who didn't use the Heath before lockdown are still making regular visits.

The views and space above the current buildings is crucial for the feeling of wellbeing.

The proposed development would also cut out the morning sunshine for Parliament Hill Lido users, which is an important and not to be ignored factor, given the qualified mental health benefits of the Lido.

From Kentish Town

I know from personal experience, working in the city and then returning home to Kentish Town the aspect as you walk out of the station, looking across to a large sky vista and a feeling of space, is again, important for the well-being of the community. To effectively fill this view in with buildings up to 19 storeys, even the proposed industrial buildings of 8 storeys would be a disaster.

The quality of life for those living and working in the area would be seriously and negatively impacted.

5/ The area has had a number of new buildings that sit alongside the older ones, but this proposal will take over and not sit within the other buildings. It's very distressing for me to see this kind of proposal with such a hideous and ghastly plan.

6/ I understand that good practice for environmental building design, natural ventilation and cooling has not been followed and this greatly concerns me as well.

I have lived and worked in the area for over 35 years, and while I was a child I would walk to and from Parliament Hill School around Parliament Hill to get home. The area is very important to me and I urge you to

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>consider the negative impact that a development like the one in this proposal would undoubtedly have.</p> <p>I do not believe that any development of the heights being proposed both for residential and industrial buildings should be considered for Murphy's Yard.</p> <p>The wellbeing of the people living and working around the area MUST be considered.</p>
2021/3225/P	R C Allenby	07/02/2022 15:20:53	COMMT	<p>I object to this proposal because the mass and height of the proposed tower blocks (up to 19 storeys) conflict with the Hampstead Neighbourhood Plan, the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Plan, the Camden Local Plan, the Mayor's London Plan 2021 and the National Policy Planning Framework (Section 13), all of which effectively require that any development close to Hampstead Heath should not harm its openness or the views from it. These tower blocks will noticeably close-in the Heath and also intrude significantly into views from Parliament Hill, including the view from the plaque at the top of the hill. The sheer number of residents that close to the Heath is also likely to adversely affect the relatively narrow south-east corner of the Heath.</p>
2021/3225/P	Roberta	07/02/2022 10:47:58	OBJ	<p>There's absolutely no need for all this disruption and horrific building.</p> <p>The londoners common aim is and must be the improvement of the quality of life not its annihilation. Preserving the overall village like mood of the area is pivotal, if monstrous buildings are needed go to the city. Let alone the unspeakable increase of pollution that this project will cause (both in air particulate and noise) during the endless years of work and afterwards. And the huge amount of soil, and discarded material which will only increase the precarious equilibrium of our already enough endangered environment.</p>
2021/3225/P	John Nayagam	07/02/2022 10:50:38	OBJ	<p>I am struggling to find any further details apart from what is described in the description above but that alone would make this a hugely detrimental development for the citizens of North London. The Heath and open spaces/vistas are a critical element in mental well being for North Londoners. We live in a crowded city where many of us don't have gardens or outdoor spaces ourselves. Light is a vital component in that well-being. The construction of blocks which appear to exceed 100m from the description reveals a negligent disregard for the communities who rely on the Heath for mental health, exercise, social gatherings etc. As planning authority it is your job surely to make sure that such things cannot be actualised.</p> <p>I will not even begin to mention, but I'm sure you have considered the significant impact environmentally on that section of London from additional residences and commercial properties - transport, deliveries, commuting. The air quality would be measurably worse - a simple model could be used to quantify to what extent. The same argument could be made for noise pollution.</p> <p>These are all factors that once you make the changes you cannot "unmake" them. Refer to any council in London (or international equivalent) and they will only share with you sentiments AND facts of regret having allowed such plan to be realised in close proximity to vital green spaces.</p> <p>I am opposed to this development as described above.</p>
2021/3225/P	Penny CRAWFORD	08/02/2022 08:34:14	OBJ	<p>I think this is just a money making venture. It doesn't address real social housing needs. I really object to the height/obstructed views. The housing should be low rise not high rise. This area is already super busy, there just isn't enough infrastructure to support this many more homes.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Penny CRAWFORD	08/02/2022 08:34:18	OBJ	I think this is just a money making venture. It doesn't address real social housing needs. I really object to the height/obstructed views. The housing should be low rise not high rise. This area is already super busy, there just isn't enough infrastructure to support this many more homes.
2021/3225/P	emma wingfield	07/02/2022 09:23:59	OBJ	I object to the new development planned for Murphy's Yard. The lido is a vital community asset, having it plunged into darkness by this monstrous new proposal is scandalous.
2021/3225/P	Julia Fabricius	07/02/2022 09:29:28	COMMNT	I welcome a proposal for development of this space. However I feel great concern about a number of aspects. The concentration of population in the housing is too great and there is not enough affordable housing, in fact hardly any. Camden has a huge waiting list and a policy that 35% of new housing development should be affordable. Above all I object to the height of the residential blocks which will dominate the area and block the view of the Heath from Kentish Town.
2021/3225/P	Robert Leane	07/02/2022 09:33:17	OBJ	The infrastructure is just not there to continue building vertically. This is completely over the top, will ruin the local area and views from the heath.
2021/3225/P	R whitefield	07/02/2022 11:17:57	OBJ	I object to the proposed height of the housing. This will disrupt the historic view of london from parliament hill which is a much visited view by not just local residents in the area but attracts people to Hampstead Heath from all over london. To build such tall blocks so close to the heath is a mistake. I do however think the the site should be developed on to open up the local area but with low rise housing shops cafes light industrial etc.
2021/3225/P	Janice Lough	07/02/2022 20:16:30	OBJ	Dear planning team Please see following points re this application: - the edge of the heath should not be bordered by tall blocks - once built the skyline and the natural environment can never be restored. - this is cynical development by stealth: 'each phase being an independent act of development' which attempts to hide the massive number of units being proposed. - this is another example of trampling over the views of local people and those who wish to preserve the beauty of the heath for generations to come. - this application once again raises the question (that remains unanswered with regard to the O2 development): who is the driving force behind these massive developments? Who is going to buy yet more overpriced flats? - is Camden keen to be named the council that approved the destruction of Hampstead Heath?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Adam Haines	07/02/2022 17:42:41	PETITNOBJ E	<p>I object to the current Murphy's Yard proposals on the basis that the reduction of natural sunlight caused by the high-rises will negatively impact the mental health and well-being of the local population.</p> <p>I am a resident of Gordon House Road. There is a strong affinity to green spaces among the neighbours on my road, as evidenced by their attempts to save the Mortimer Terrace Nature Reserve. Even though, due to current blockages, we benefit only from limited light, one only has to look across the stretch of gardens to see how much we engage with and care for the green spaces that are our gardens.</p> <p>The presence of the high-rises, some up to 19 storeys tall, will severely decrease the amount of sun that gardens on this road will receive, to the extent that sunlight will be near non-existent. This will deprive individuals of the pleasure drawn from the hobby of gardening. One does not have to be an expert to know that plants cannot grow without light. It will also result in fewer opportunities for people to utilise their outside space, as there will be no sun to enjoy. Finally, it will cast rooms at the back of properties on Gordon House Road into darkness. Gone will be the days when rays of light enter through the windows and into back rooms. Consequentially, from an economic perspective, these factors will inevitably render the properties less valuable, which hardly seems fair to those who own them.</p> <p>It has been established that if the plans go ahead, the new housing will block the sun at Parliament Hill Lido until 10am, at least in the winter. Were this to be allowed to happen, it would be a travesty. For many people, an early morning swim at the lido is a great highlight, setting their day up in the right way and improving their state of mind. To swim without sunlight would be an entirely different proposition that would significantly diminish this experience and the myriad benefits that people draw from it during these tough times.</p> <p>The proposal boasts that the development will introduce more retail experiences as well as the green walk called the Heathline. What it fails to understand is that people live in the Gospel Oak area precisely because of its lack of retail opportunities. They prefer to enjoy the Heath and the relative quiet, safe in the knowledge that if they need to visit a shop, they can do so conveniently in Kentish Town 15-minutes away. Concerning the Heathline, all will reject it in favour of the Heath, which is much larger, more diverse and much, much more authentic. Elements of the plan such as this seem to show a distinct misunderstanding of the mindset of its local residents. A rethink of the space makes perfect sense, but the intention to introduce more shops and more people and, principally, to build high-rises seem errors influenced by greed.</p> <p>I have spoken more generally about the impact of the high-rises, but I'd like to present a more personal plea. Like many, lockdown presented its challenges to my mental health. One of the unexpected discoveries during it, was how much I took to gardening in my Gordons House Road property. The many hours I have spent planting things up, looking after them and watching them grow have proved wonderful therapy for me, moments of peace in an otherwise chaotic world. It can be done, even in London. It would be as upsetting as it was unnecessary were this newfound hobby to be taken away from me because the absence of any light made it impossible to pursue. I also work from home in a study at the back of my basement flat, with a house plant on the window shelf. I get only a little sun in the room daily, but it always lifts my mood. Please don't take this small pleasure away from me.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Janice Lough	07/02/2022 20:16:36	OBJ	<p>Dear planning team</p> <p>Please see following points re this application:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the edge of the heath should not be bordered by tall blocks - once built the skyline and the natural environment can never be restored.</li> <li>- this is cynical development by stealth: 'each phase being an independent act of development' which attempts to hide the massive number of units being proposed.</li> <li>- this is another example of trampling over the views of local people and those who wish to preserve the beauty of the heath for generations to come.</li> <li>- this application once again raises the question (that remains unanswered with regard to the O2 development): who is the driving force behind these massive developments? Who is going to buy yet more overpriced flats?</li> <li>- is Camden keen to be named the council that approved the destruction of Hampstead Heath?</li> </ul>
2021/3225/P	Hermione Ireland	07/02/2022 21:15:23	OBJ	<p>No all are agreeing about thinking wider than just us. I sent your points re: the surrounding residents, Kentish Town Farm, pollution and traffic on Mansfield and disruption of the green corridor along the railing way. I know you know the points I'm just reiterating</p>
2021/3225/P	Hermione Ireland	07/02/2022 21:15:28	OBJ	<p>No all are agreeing about thinking wider than just us. I sent your points re: the surrounding residents, Kentish Town Farm, pollution and traffic on Mansfield and disruption of the green corridor along the railing way. I know you know the points I'm just reiterating</p>
2021/3225/P	K Barker	07/02/2022 11:37:43	OBJNOT	<p>I am not averse to developing this site as it is currently a slightly dead space and Kentish Town is in need of more affordable housing/social housing/shared ownership homes, particularly to give younger residents and families the opportunity to live and work in the area.</p> <p>However, this application represents a gross and ugly overdevelopment of the site. 19 storey buildings are not in keeping with the surrounding area and will block the view both facing towards the heath, and from the heath towards Kentish Town. The proposals do not meet the council's affordability criteria, nor do they represent a creative approach to the environment and energy conservation.</p> <p>The plan to open a corridor to the heath directly from Kentish Town is a good one, which will benefit residents.</p> <p>I would urge planners and the council to look again at how this site could be developed in a way which would not be overbearing and would benefit existing and new residents alike.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	K Barker	07/02/2022 11:37:46	OBJNOT	<p>I am not averse to developing this site as it is currently a slightly dead space and Kentish Town is in need of more affordable housing/social housing/shared ownership homes, particularly to give younger residents and families the opportunity to live and work in the area.</p> <p>However, this application represents a gross and ugly overdevelopment of the site. 19 storey buildings are not in keeping with the surrounding area and will block the view both facing towards the heath, and from the heath towards Kentish Town. The proposals do not meet the council's affordability criteria, nor do they represent a creative approach to the environment and energy conservation.</p> <p>The plan to open a corridor to the heath directly from Kentish Town is a good one, which will benefit residents.</p> <p>I would urge planners and the council to look again at how this site could be developed in a way which would not be overbearing and would benefit existing and new residents alike.</p>
2021/3225/P	Juliet Aston	07/02/2022 13:42:48	OBJ	<p>While I support the redevelopment of the land; the green route from Kentish Town to the Heath, the provision of new housing etc. I believe that the towers proposed are not appropriate for the location. They are too closely crowded, forming a visually impenetrable wall from almost all viewpoints. They will block winter sun to the heath and spoil the view from Parliament Hill and the vantage points up to it. This would be a permanent disfigurement.</p> <p>I am also concerned that the provision of decent family homes is inadequate, with a dominance of 1-2 bedroom properties that do not serve those who wish to settle in the area and raise children. There is a real shortage of decent affordable property of this kind in Gospel Oak, and an opportunity to address this in a substantial way with this large scheme shouldn't be missed. Low-rise high density development is possible, and Camden has many existing examples of this which could be drawn on.</p>
2021/3225/P	Gabriele	07/02/2022 21:47:01	INT	<p>The last few months have shown again how important light and views are for our mental health. The heath and the Lido have been the main factors that helped me survive the pandemic from a psychological point of view. Both have tremendous recreational value, and should not be impeded by a monstrous housing development. I strongly object to the proposed height and its nature as a high density development. It would have an unacceptable impact on the views from Parliament Hill to the north which thousands of people enjoy each year. Blocking the light that contributes to encourage swimmers to keep up their daily routine would also have a devastating effect on morale. I understand that light would be blocked until 10 a.m. which means the early morning when most swimmers use the pool. No building that overshadows vital assets should ever be envisaged. I strongly object to the current plan.. (Murthy'sYard planning).it's a manace to our wellbeing and mental health.</p>
2021/3225/P	Armorer Wason	07/02/2022 22:14:12	COMMNT	<p>Just too dense, too many tall buildings, and far too big an impact on views. Profit is all... but Camden must hold out for the best possible environmental standards as well as visual impact and the right kind of housing, including affordable housing</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Lynn Dunne	07/02/2022 22:33:19	OBJ	We support the opposition to this build. It is totally unnecessary, completely disproportionate to the area, and removes from many aspects views and spaces that are integral to this area. To build such high rises will return NW5 to its former roots of all traffic and no space. The only people who benefit are the sellers and developers; and the locals suffer. Totally opposed and would request an analysis of how this a. Benefits the community (it does not) and b. Adheres to the planning guidelines regarding broader community.
2021/3225/P	Lynn Dunne	07/02/2022 22:33:25	OBJ	We support the opposition to this build. It is totally unnecessary, completely disproportionate to the area, and removes from many aspects views and spaces that are integral to this area. To build such high rises will return NW5 to its former roots of all traffic and no space. The only people who benefit are the sellers and developers; and the locals suffer. Totally opposed and would request an analysis of how this a. Benefits the community (it does not) and b. Adheres to the planning guidelines regarding broader community.
2021/3225/P	Juergen	07/02/2022 21:21:50	OBJ	<p>Hello,</p> <p>When I first heard about the project, I was excited about the fact that the area will be redeveloped and there will be a nice path from Kentish Town towards Parliament Hill. It's also good to see more cafes and shops coming to the area.</p> <p>Having said this, there are several points that I see as an issue and why I'm not supportive of the current proposal:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) the buildings are far too high for the area and should not be more than 10 stories high max in order not to obstruct protected views</li> <li>2) 88% are 1-2 bedroom flats, which will create an immense intensity of people living in the area</li> <li>3) I don't see any proposal for facilities such as schools or nurseries.</li> <li>4) there are no proposals to upgrade the public transport network in order to cater for a large number of new flats</li> <li>5) what are the proposals to foster community and provide services to young people</li> <li>6) are shared working spaces being considered at all?</li> </ol> <p>I appreciate if those points (especially the height of buildings) can be addressed.</p> <p>Thanks, Juergen</p>
2021/3225/P	Charlotte Auterac	07/02/2022 11:27:51	APP	<p>The tall towers are not in keeping with the surrounding environment. Views from Parliament Hill will be obstructed and out of character with the surrounding neighbourhoods of Hampstead, Gospel Oak, Kentish Town. Over development will spoil the surroundings for the next generations to enjoy and will have a long standing detrimental impact on a much loved part of London. I have lived in the area for over 30 years and my family have lived in the surrounding areas for generations.</p> <p>The area should be developed but low rise housing, shops and leisure should be the focus and not the high concentration of tower blocks to increase profits.</p>

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2021/3225/P	R Rosenthal	07/02/2022 15:13:50	COMMNT	<p>This scheme looks totally wrong - in scale, also totally ruinous of the local (already overstretched) infrastructure. It would be an enormous blot adversely affecting many in the neighbourhood and beyond.</p> <p>Were the scheme scaled down it might be worthwhile and beneficial to the community but as it stands it would be detrimental to everyone living in the area and further afield were it to go ahead.</p>
2021/3225/P	Ian Whitefield	07/02/2022 17:39:54	OBJ	<p>This proposal is completely out of scale for the area, including as it does multiple high-rise buildings of up to 19 floors. If approved in its current form, this development will not only create years of construction traffic in an already overly congested part of the borough with multiple primary and secondary schools nearby but will deliver an outcome that will overload the existing supporting infrastructure and devastate the views from Parliament Hill and Hampstead Heath.</p>
2021/3225/P	Iain Flynn	07/02/2022 18:06:41	COMMNT	<p>I think it is good that this under-utilised space will be brought into use. I also favour high population densities because that is better for the environment by making space heating and transport more efficient. No doubt objections to loss of views etc have some validity but the site is currently off limits or an eye-sore and has been for decades. If this application is rejected it might continue to be for decades to come.</p>