

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Debra Wild	01/02/2022 15:22:57	COMMNT	The traffic in that area is already excessive. The heights of some of the buildings are a major concern. Fewer constructions of lower height wouldn't be so bad but please reconsider the size of the development as well as storey height.
2021/3225/P	Laura	01/02/2022 11:01:27	OBJ	This is an iconic view (and a public attraction!)
2021/3225/P	Wasfi Kanni	01/02/2022 13:15:04	OBJ	<p>I object to this application. The size of the buildings is out of keeping with the neighbourhood. More suited to Canary Wharf than Kentish Town.</p> <p>Most important, it destroys the open view from Kentish Town station which is enjoyed by tens of thousands - or millions - of people.</p> <p>The project should be built on a scale that humans can relate to.</p>
2021/3225/P	Moy El-Bushra	02/02/2022 04:36:21	OBJ	<p>The expert Design Review Panel states that the impacts of squeezing too much development into a limited space is damaging to the character of the area will ruin treasured and protected views and result in a development with a poor quality of life.</p> <p>The resulting towers will lead to too many small flats and not enough housing for families, which the Council's own housing need study concludes are needed.</p> <p>The development will not provide enough affordable housing, as stated in the developer's own reports. The proposal provides limited services for young people, according to the developer's reports.</p> <p>With its massive structures, the development has a very high level of embodied carbon and is expected to have high energy use due to lack of ambitious insulation requirements. They have not followed good practice for environmental building design, including for natural ventilation and cooling, and will contribute to the heat island effect.</p> <p>There are better ways to provide housing, jobs and facilities, using low-rise, high-density models.</p>
2021/3225/P	Genevieve Monastesse	01/02/2022 09:50:44	COMMNT	<p>I strongly disagree with the plan of a high rise at this location. The road is narrow and very busy, the building work would be extremely difficult, dangerous and disruptive. Furthermore, the height of the building would damage the view and integrity of Hamstead Heath.</p> <p>I would also like to add that as a cyclist, the construction of the building and the commotion it would create once finished would make this rather dangerous road even more perilous.</p>
2021/3225/P	Genevieve Monastesse	01/02/2022 09:50:46	COMMNT	<p>I strongly disagree with the plan of a high rise at this location. The road is narrow and very busy, the building work would be extremely difficult, dangerous and disruptive. Furthermore, the height of the building would damage the view and integrity of Hamstead Heath.</p> <p>I would also like to add that as a cyclist, the construction of the building and the commotion it would create once finished would make this rather dangerous road even more perilous.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Genevieve Monastesse	01/02/2022 09:50:48	COMMNT	<p>I strongly disagree with the plan of a high rise at this location. The road is narrow and very busy, the building work would be extremely difficult, dangerous and disruptive. Furthermore, the height of the building would damage the view and integrity of Hamstead Heath.</p> <p>I would also like to add that as a cyclist, the construction of the building and the commotion it would create once finished would make this rather dangerous road even more perilous.</p>
2021/3225/P	Pete Franklyn	01/02/2022 11:22:54	INT	<p>The plans lack any provision of green space, cram in too many flats for the local roads and public facilities to cope with, and at between 11-19 stories will block the view of the city from Hampstead Heath one of the most precious in London. Their environmental statement is the usual developer's greenwash.</p>
2021/3225/P	Alex Temple	01/02/2022 21:53:04	OBJ	<p>There is not enough affordable housing in these plans, meanwhile the housing is not the type needed in the borough, being principally 1-2 bed luxury flats, rather than larger family homes. The buildings are also too tall (e.g. 19 storeys) and will block fantastic views to/from Parliament Hill. The current design plans for developing this site are certainly not in the best interests of Camden and should be denied by the council and revised.</p>
2021/3225/P	Ned Collier	01/02/2022 21:53:34	COMMNT	<p>Dear Camden,</p> <p>I understand we need to build more affordable housing in this borough and there is a chronic shortage. This brownfield site is prime land for that purpose and I advocate its redevelopment. However, what I do not understand is the lack of attention paid towards developing community in this design. High-density high rise buildings are not a good solution to building a strong and inclusive community and if the Pandemic has taught us anything it is that a community is vital to the health and wellbeing of residents. I might also add that the skyline view from Parliament Hill will be 'interrupted' by the high rise developments - surely every effort should be made therefore to develop low-rise, community-focused housing? Namely space for families to meet and children to play, and cycle. This area does not need any more ugly high rise developments.</p> <p>Kind Regards, Ned Collier Resident at Spencer Rise, NW5</p>
2021/3225/P	Mark Rogers	01/02/2022 11:23:26	OBJ	<p>This is an objection to the Murphy's Yard proposal.</p> <p>The proposed development up to 113m is simply much too high to be sited so near to Hampstead Heath. The Heath has been saved on many occasions over the years from damaging developments to maintain a unique environment in our crowded city which makes a very substantial contribution to the wellbeing of its citizens. Allowing this development, in addition to its immediate damage, would set a disastrous precedent for future developments on the edge of the Heath.</p> <p>This proposed development would spoil the iconic views from Parliament Hill over London, overshadow the Southern section of the Heath and reduce views of the Heath from the South.</p> <p>The viewpoints in the Design and Access Statement have been expertly selected and rendered to minimise and mislead us on the impact.</p> <p>The size of the development is also disproportionate to the Northern edge of Kentish Town.</p> <p>A development of this size, which would have an adverse impact on the openness of the Heath, should not be permitted.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Harriet Stewart	01/02/2022 17:46:49	OBJ	<p>I object to the proposed plans on several grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Light: The buildings are too tall (19 stories) and will cause significant overshadowing. 2. Pollution: air pollution has been extremely bad recently and this is likely to get worse during demolition and rebuilding. 3. Overcrowding: 825 'units'- for how many people each? There could be as many as 4000 new residents, with no additional infrastructure eg GP surgeries/ shops/ elderly care/ dentists/ open space/ schools/ special needs facilities. 4. Parking and deliveries 5. Need: is there a need for all this housing or will it become an airbnb/investment for absentee landlords like much of the King's Cross site? 6. Safety: particularly road safety (narrow roads and several schools nearby)
2021/3225/P	Jane Gillie	01/02/2022 22:07:31	COMMNT	<p>I love the view of the Heath over the railway bridge at KentishTown. Many¿s the time that it has cheered me to see the sun set in that unusually open patch of sky. Here, Camden has a unique opportunity to improve the borough with a visionary development which will actively benefit society and the planet. In many other parts of London there has been a huge amount of high rise building which is no doubt very profitable to developers but shuts out the sky and walls in London¿s citizens. KentishTown is already very crowded. At busy times, it is problematic for pedestrians to find enough space on the pavement on their way to work or school. We don¿t need more people living here. In developing Murphy¿s Yard, you could accept low rise development of 4 storeys at the most; first rate accommodation for the teachers and nurses of this borough. We should be planning green corridors across London for wildlife to thrive, so lots of trees and grass and not too much concrete please! No through road for motor vehicles but space for bicycles and pedestrians! People¿s mental health will benefit from such a development as it does now when they enjoy the views of moonrise, planets, constellations and sunsets over the railway bridge at KentishTown.</p>
2021/3225/P	Jane Gillie	01/02/2022 22:07:35	COMMNT	<p>I love the view of the Heath over the railway bridge at KentishTown. Many¿s the time that it has cheered me to see the sun set in that unusually open patch of sky. Here, Camden has a unique opportunity to improve the borough with a visionary development which will actively benefit society and the planet. In many other parts of London there has been a huge amount of high rise building which is no doubt very profitable to developers but shuts out the sky and walls in London¿s citizens. KentishTown is already very crowded. At busy times, it is problematic for pedestrians to find enough space on the pavement on their way to work or school. We don¿t need more people living here. In developing Murphy¿s Yard, you could accept low rise development of 4 storeys at the most; first rate accommodation for the teachers and nurses of this borough. We should be planning green corridors across London for wildlife to thrive, so lots of trees and grass and not too much concrete please! No through road for motor vehicles but space for bicycles and pedestrians! People¿s mental health will benefit from such a development as it does now when they enjoy the views of moonrise, planets, constellations and sunsets over the railway bridge at KentishTown.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Jane Gillie	01/02/2022 22:07:39	COMMNT	I love the view of the Heath over the railway bridge at KentishTown. Many's the time that it has cheered me to see the sun set in that unusually open patch of sky. Here, Camden has a unique opportunity to improve the borough with a visionary development which will actively benefit society and the planet. In many other parts of London there has been a huge amount of high rise building which is no doubt very profitable to developers but shuts out the sky and walls in London's citizens. KentishTown is already very crowded. At busy times, it is problematic for pedestrians to find enough space on the pavement on their way to work or school. We don't need more people living here. In developing Murphy's Yard, you could accept low rise development of 4 storeys at the most; first rate accommodation for the teachers and nurses of this borough. We should be planning green corridors across London for wildlife to thrive, so lots of trees and grass and not too much concrete please! No through road for motor vehicles but space for bicycles and pedestrians! People's mental health will benefit from such a development as it does now when they enjoy the views of moonrise, planets, constellations and sunsets over the railway bridge at KentishTown.
2021/3225/P	Claire Keelan	02/02/2022 07:49:35	OBJ	Having seen the plans and what the development will be doing to the skyline of london from parliament hill I have to strongly object, it will completely ruin what has been a very special place for Londoners and visitors alike for the last hundred + years. We also have strong concerns about access to the site via Gordon House Road which is already one of the most heavily congested roads in the area. Also what are the infrastructures for ongoing maintenance and where is the budget for spending required to the landscaping coming from?
2021/3225/P	Michael Herron	01/02/2022 13:08:39	OBJ	The buildings being proposed are far too tall ruining the view from Parliament Hill fields and Parliament Hill. This is a famous site over the London skyline that many people come to the area to see and should be protected. Building tall buildings (completely out of character with all surrounding buildings) so close to the Heath should be prohibited.
2021/3225/P	Victoria Dublon	01/02/2022 14:02:20	COMMNT	I object to this planning permission. Yes we need housing but sustainable family low rise houses that are affordable and eco friendly. We don't want more one and two bedroom flats that are high rise. This will block out sunlight coming onto the Heath and lido and will block the iconic Parliament hill view. We need to model other eco friendly family modern housing building from other parts of the world e.g. Scandinavia and the Netherlands.
2021/3225/P	Sabine Tilly	01/02/2022 09:22:54	OBJ	I have just seen a drawing of the skyline that would be created by the proposed plans and wish to object in the strongest terms to the proposed heights of the tall buildings. I object both to the change in skyline, but also to the idea that high-rise accommodation provides pleasant space both to those living within it but also to those moving in the shadow that they create on the ground. Suitable perhaps of office areas of London but not for residential areas. There are better, lower ways to create new living spaces. The buildings look like you have dropped a bit of Canary Wharf into a residential part of Camden. The proposed design would dominate the surroundings in a new and unwelcome way.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Diana Barclay-Wyand	01/02/2022 08:37:32	OBJ	<p>This development is interesting but seems to have no understanding of the infrastructure needed to support it- or the impact on the surrounding area. It is essentially proposing to land a brand new village in a tiny plot, with none of the conveniences people need. There is going to be a supposed drop in traffic because the site traffic will no longer be happening. What about the delivery drivers dropping of parcels, the food delivery cars at peak dinner time. These are a fact of our current lives and cannot be ignored.</p> <p>The height of these buildings is going to dramatically change the views and overpower the surrounding architecture. Light that we enjoy and a sense of peace next the Heath will be gone forever.</p> <p>The Heath has seen a dramatic increase of its use over the last ten years. Paths that were single tracks are now 30 feet wide. Entrances are crowded and difficult to navigate. The additional quantity of residents on the development will overwhelm the heath. A place of fresh air and solace will become akin to a busy high street as people desperately search for a sense of space.</p> <p>The build takes absolutely no responsibility for the partnership of this new space with the existing one. Stop being so greedy and scale it down. Focus on sustainability of the community in subtle but exciting ways- not the destruction imposed on the area by the current plan.</p>
2021/3225/P	Clio Whittaker	01/02/2022 17:25:29	OBJ	<p>I am shocked to find that the very special view of Hampstead Heath from Kentish Town Road is threatened by the proposed development at Murphy's Yard. It is a rare and precious thing in an urban environment to be able to rest one's eyes with a long view and this aspect of Kentish Town life simply must be preserved.</p> <p>The proposal reduces the expansive and generous vista of Hampstead Heath, which can be enjoyed by all who visit or live and work in this densely occupied part of London, to a narrow glimpse. The over-tall buildings in this proposed development are inappropriate and out-of-scale with the area.</p> <p>I urge councillors to take a far-sighted perspective and reject this aspect of the proposed development in order to enhance rather than diminish the quality of life of our neighbourhood long into the future.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	M Scholz	31/01/2022 19:27:34	OBJ	<p>Overall I am not against a new development, however, it has to be in keeping with the area's environmental vision and infrastructure.</p> <p>The visual impact of the development with buildings of 19 storeys high for between 750 and 825 flats is a major concern as it is by no means in line with the surrounding buildings and terraced streets and totally out of character with the characterful North West London streetscape. Furthermore, it alters the views from the local area and from Parliament Hill/Hampstead Heath considerably, a view that has been protected over decades.</p> <p>As a local resident, having lived with my family for almost ten years on Savernake Road, I am hugely concerned about the density of the proposed development and its negative impact on its infrastructure: roads, sewage, local school provision and health facilities. Mansfield Road is a bottle neck road connecting Kentish Town/Dartmouth Park/Highgate with South End Green/ Belzise Park/ Hampstead and hugely congested already without a new development of that size. It is also an important connection for ambulance, fire engines and police between Kentish Town and Belsize Park/Hampstead. There simply is not the infrastructure to deal with the size of the redevelopment and consequently the new influx of people. Mansfield Road between Gospel Oak Overground Station and Gordon House Road is already very narrow and congested to accommodate the current traffic and cyclists on the road and the narrow sidewalks are pretty congested too during rush hour and at weekends with local residents and visitors travelling to and fro the Heath. The area can surely be regenerated with lower rise buildings that are in line with the North & NorthWest London streetscape and furthermore the environmental commitment to reduce and NOT increase the air pollution in the area.</p> <p>In addition, it is interesting to learn that local residents are rejected planning permission for dormers to stay in line with conservation area rules and guidelines, yet there is a development of a 19(!!!!) storey building seriously being considered in the very same conservation area....</p>
2021/3225/P	Alan Matthews	01/02/2022 19:17:22	COMMNT	<p>The planned redevelopment changes the character of the whole area imposing high rises on area which is predominantly low-rise or mid-rise. Views from the Heath are destroyed and the open feeling of the whole area is restrw. The site needs to be replanned with houses and flats reflecting the local scale of architecture already in situ.</p>
2021/3225/P	Samantha Collett	02/02/2022 08:16:00	OBJ	<p>The proposal shows a severe lack of understanding for the local area and it's only aim is to squeeze as much money as possible from this site.</p> <p>The plans for such tall towers does not fit with the local area and will destroy important views while cramping people into small apartments. The bulk, height and massing of such residential blocks needs to be rethought with the accommodation being reduced and/ or redistributed into more a more considerate scheme.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Alan Fox	01/02/2022 20:21:22	OBJ	I object to this plan. Its is, as everyone says , objectionable due its massive size & height, it is far too dense. The information Murphy's have provided is so limited that it provides no assurance of quality housing or good design, whilst at the same time showing an intention to cram huge amounts of housing and commercial space onto the site. What we want to see is continuity with what we have already in the neighbourhood, not a divided area with high quality housing on spacious tree-lined streets on one side with cramped low quality housing on the other. We all know developers fail to honour their "affordable housing commitments , or hide them in some scruffy corner. The views from the Heath will be badly damaged. The local roads & infrastructure will not support the housing density. START AGAIN with low rise sympathetic design. No more tower blocks.
2021/3225/P	David Castle RIBA Dip.TP AA Dip.	01/02/2022 11:17:52	OBJ	Comment From: David Castle RIBA Dip.TP AA Dip. MURPHY`S YARD This well documented application is lacking a crucial study into the increased wind movement at ground level caused by the high tower blocks - in particular the cluster of 19 and 17 storey buildings placed very close together. The well documented down-draughts and Venturi Effects caused at the base of Tower Bocks are likely to cause increased wind movement and potentially dangerous gusts - seriously affecting the use of the public spaces at the base of the Towers and possibly the surrounding areas. It is essential that an independant technical assessment be carried out during the process of this application and before any acceptance of such tall buildings so close to each other.
2021/3225/P	Richard Herron	01/02/2022 16:51:53	OBJ	The proposed application appears to be obscuring the beautiful and iconic views over central London from the lower reaches of Hampstead Heath as well as simultaneously blocking the views of Parliament Hill from the Kentish Town area. This is an area and a view that has been enjoyed by countless people over the years and I remain astonished that it is even being considered. Although I no longer live in the immediate vicinity I remain a regular visitor to the area.
2021/3225/P	Kara	01/02/2022 14:26:16	OBJ	This will obstruct the light to the Lido which requires light to function as a community place for health and wellness.
2021/3225/P	Kara	01/02/2022 14:26:19	OBJ	This will obstruct the light to the Lido which requires light to function as a community place for health and wellness.
2021/3225/P	Kara	01/02/2022 14:26:21	OBJ	This will obstruct the light to the Lido which requires light to function as a community place for health and wellness.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Matt Haikin	01/02/2022 19:35:01	OBJ	<p>I would like to strongly object to the latest version of the Murphy's Yard proposal.</p> <p>I have been quite a strong supporter of the development in the past, and attended at least one of the online consultation sessions.</p> <p>However, returning to the plans after a gap, it seems some things have changes and some that were raised some time ago have simply not been resolved.</p> <p>1. Access to the development via Gordon House Rd. I live at #17 and the traffic is already unsustainable, crowded and dangerous for many hours of the day especially given the station and schools and Heath access. This traffic needs to be reduced and re-routed somehow, not added to, which is what this development will achieve (both during the works and in perpetuity afterwards due to the significantly increased residents requiring access).</p> <p>2. A very tall building (Q) blocking the light to our street. I have checked the earlier consultation plans and even in the one still on Murphy's Yard's own consultation website, this building is not shown, and the area immediately to the south of the train line is clearly shown as parking or single storey buildings. Q seems to have been added since the last consultation which, while it may be legal I don't know, is certainly quite underhand after such extensive and positive engagement with the community before that. In addition to ruining the views from Parliament Hill, this would block sun to most of Gordon House Road, as well as give sight directly into our gardens and rear windows. I know that planning applications on Gordon House Road itself have been rejected on the grounds of neighbours objecting to being overlooked, so I cannot see how that same grounds would then not be applied to a development overlooking the whole street!</p> <p>The earlier iterations of the designs seemed sensitive, had limited tall buildings done slightly more unobtrusivel and plenty of green space. This seems to have all been sacrificed to create yet more units in a small space that cannot support it.</p>
2021/3225/P	Sophie	01/02/2022 11:14:14	OBJ	It is crazy that a development that big that will block the views and be a massive sore sight for the eyes is actually considered by the council! Please stop this and make the developer rework on a scheme of more modest proportion that won't stand out so much!
2021/3225/P	Adnot	01/02/2022 14:29:08	OBJ	Please reduce building height so the view from Parliament hill and Kentish Town is not altered
2021/3225/P	Rosalind Durant	01/02/2022 15:43:16	WREP	The proposed high rises will ruin the open feel of Parliament Hill Fields. As tall as Battersy Power station. But that is in a large open site. This is in a crowded residential area. The increase in traffic generated would cause Gordon House Road to cease up. It is already only just coping with the heavy traffic. There are long delays. Thd units should be the same height as other local housing ie not taller than four storeys high and so be much less imposing and less dense.
2021/3225/P	William	01/02/2022 19:02:37	SUPPRT	Good to see much needed housing coming forward, shame more isn't affordable. Perhaps the GLA can push this at stage 2?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Naomi Herron	01/02/2022 19:51:28	OBJ	This will alter the whole skyline and ruin a treasured view of the heath for thousands of people. Access to, and sight of, green space is invaluable and this should not be allowed to go ahead.
2021/3225/P	Nadine	01/02/2022 09:39:09	COMMNT	<p>The massing of the buildings will be a major feature of the views from the Southern slopes of Hampstead Heath and will overwhelm the view.</p> <p>The construction traffic during building and day to day traffic after final occupation will be a major impediment along Gordon House Street - the Murphy's yard has always had the capacity to disrupt traffic along an important local artery (one of only two routes connecting Hampstead and Highgate) and a development at this scale will continue to create problems, even if residents do not own cars.</p>
2021/3225/P	Sofia	01/02/2022 19:39:43	COMMNT	Though I understand the need to build housing, putting a development to block the view from the iconic Parliament Hill is a crying shame!
2021/3225/P	Josephine Herron	01/02/2022 19:59:30	OBJ	<p>My concerns are:-</p> <p>These buildings would completely block the view of Parliament Hill from Kentish Town station and be a complete eyesore and block most of the beautiful view of London from the top of Parliament Hill. This view is enjoyed by many people and attracts huge numbers of locals and tourists alike who come just to look at the scene and to take photos.</p> <p>Please do not give these developments permission to go ahead.</p>
2021/3225/P	Josephine Herron	01/02/2022 19:59:37	OBJ	<p>My concerns are:-</p> <p>These buildings would completely block the view of Parliament Hill from Kentish Town station and be a complete eyesore and block most of the beautiful view of London from the top of Parliament Hill. This view is enjoyed by many people and attracts huge numbers of locals and tourists alike who come just to look at the scene and to take photos.</p> <p>Please do not give these developments permission to go ahead.</p>
2021/3225/P	Josephine Herron	01/02/2022 19:59:43	OBJ	<p>My concerns are:-</p> <p>These buildings would completely block the view of Parliament Hill from Kentish Town station and be a complete eyesore and block most of the beautiful view of London from the top of Parliament Hill. This view is enjoyed by many people and attracts huge numbers of locals and tourists alike who come just to look at the scene and to take photos.</p> <p>Please do not give these developments permission to go ahead.</p>
2021/3225/P	Aidan Doyle	01/02/2022 16:41:16	INT	This is a really shortsighted piece of planning .it will affect the view from the ponds and even block out the sun at times . We are all in need of the little things in life . Please don't do this
2021/3225/P	William Iacuesssa	01/02/2022 22:02:57	COMMNT	Poor Kentish Town, the step-child! Never would such a design scheme be contemplated for Highgate or Hampstead. Is this the best the designers can do - dreadful tower blocks? Please reconsider and seize the chance to create something appealing and noteworthy, even award winning. This is an opportunity to do something wonderful not scar the landscape for years to come.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Warren phelops	01/02/2022 16:22:06	PETITNOBJ E	My understanding as a member of the Parliament Hill Lido is that if it goes ahead the proposed project will seriously affect easement of light at the lido, shroud it in shade, especially in the winter months, where currently bathed in sunlight, which will significantly impact the user community at the Lido
2021/3225/P	Fenella	01/02/2022 21:01:29	PETITNOBJ E	Please don't don't do this to our skyline
2021/3225/P	Alex Smith	01/02/2022 17:28:25	OBJ	This development will greatly impact the views from parliament Hill, an attraction that brings many to the area for local business.
2021/3225/P	Clare Rous	01/02/2022 12:22:55	OBJ	Very concerned that this building will overshadow the lido and take away the sunshine that falls over the building - especially during the winter.
2021/3225/P	Jeska Harrington Gould	01/02/2022 13:23:41	OBJ	<p>Hello</p> <p>I am a local resident and year-round user of the Lido which helps with my Treatment Resistant Depression and Anxiety. The Lido has been helping people with their physical and mental health for nearly 100 years and is a hugely important local resource. I think this development will block out the sun from the lido in Winter when it does not go very high in the sky (especially as it is only open in the morning then). This would have a huge impact on the number of people able to swim there in Winter, as the weak Winter sun helps with the water and air temperature, as well as being uplifting to everyone who goes there.</p> <p>I also walk regularly on the Heath as do thousands of others. This high-rise development will block the view over the city to St Pauls. I do not object to development of the site, but the buildings should be low rise.</p>
2021/3225/P	Jehane Markham	01/02/2022 16:00:49	AMEND	<p>I am a resident of Lady Somerset Road and have lived there since 1987.</p> <p>I am extremely concerned about the nature of this development - it seems similar to what has happened all over London with Russian billionaires buying huge tower blocks which completely wreck the landscape of London. We do not need such high buildings, after the tragedy of Grenfell Tower, surely we should be building smaller, more manageable housing. I completely agree with everything that the Kentish Town Forum has pointed out. There are not enough trees or green spaces and where is the affordable housing? We do NOT need more expensive high rise buildings in this area. The roads are already very full and what with lorries arriving and departing it sounds very nightmarish. Please reconsider this application and modify it.</p>
2021/3225/P	Jehane Markham	01/02/2022 16:00:53	AMEND	<p>I am a resident of Lady Somerset Road and have lived there since 1987.</p> <p>I am extremely concerned about the nature of this development - it seems similar to what has happened all over London with Russian billionaires buying huge tower blocks which completely wreck the landscape of London. We do not need such high buildings, after the tragedy of Grenfell Tower, surely we should be building smaller, more manageable housing. I completely agree with everything that the Kentish Town Forum has pointed out. There are not enough trees or green spaces and where is the affordable housing? We do NOT need more expensive high rise buildings in this area. The roads are already very full and what with lorries arriving and departing it sounds very nightmarish. Please reconsider this application and modify it.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Ursula Barnes	01/02/2022 17:03:09	OBJ	This new development is disappointing in terms of environmental sustainability. It does not adequately take account of the need for accommodation which is genuinely affordable to ordinary people. It represents a massive increase of buildings which will be bound to impact on local roads and services. It will create an ugly mass on the skyline and will interfere with views from Hampstead Heath and the surrounding area.
