

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5939/P	Wendy Feher	30/01/2022 17:42:03	OBJ	<p>I object to this application on multiple grounds.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Wrong factual premise of application. The stated factual premise of the application is wrong. This fatally undermines both the suggested advantages in terms of residential amenity (as to both outlook and noise) and in respect of the Conservation Area. 2. There are serious concerns about both noise pollution. The units are massive and will create a persistent noise which is inconsistent with the neighbourhood. I have concerns that the Max Fordham review has not been addressed yet and fully expect that independent review to be directly addressed by the Council.
2021/5939/P	Fergus McLaverty	31/01/2022 11:17:44	OBJ	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The developer's claim that the air con units will be more distant and less conspicuous is false. The proximity of the units to a large number of local residents makes this claim untrue. 2. The concentration of the air con systems in the area proposed is unfair. A clear loss of amenity for local residents will arise if only two locations are used to provide air conditioning for such a large site. 3. The consultation with local residents has been poor- the developers have not been clear about the consequences building these units may cause. 4. The division of one massive hanger, to two large hangers cannot be regarded as a suitable solution. The first will remain a damaging eye-sore in a conservation area, while the second will simply add to the number of people who will be affected should the project go ahead. 5. This project is grossly at odds with the conservation status of the surrounding area. 6. The noise levels are projected to fall very close to the target limit. As the units age/ fall into disrepair it is highly likely that the noise level will rise above the noise emission target set by the council. This level of noise will severely detract the enjoyment and amenity of those living within the area. 7. How has this been justified by the developers? The scale of cooling needed has not been justified, the alternatives have not openly been explored with residents which once again highlights the lack of open communication between the developers and residents