

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:12:49	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:12:54	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Nicol	01/02/2022 07:30:50	COMMNT	We have too little space and fresh air in this area already and this development is too big, too tall and too high density for the site. It will choke the high street, ruin the space in Kentish Town and destroy the view from Parliament Hill. Please stop it!!
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:12:59	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:13:03	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Katie Patel	01/02/2022 09:05:03	OBJ	Destroying the iconic view from parliament hill with this building will change one of London's most precious locations forever. Thousands of people rely on that space to be out doors, for their mental health etc. The view is a huge part of finding peace and restoration there. Please don't obliterate it
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:13:08	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:13:13	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Andrew Whitehead	31/01/2022 18:37:55	COMMENT	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I have lived in NW5 for [REDACTED] and I am also a [REDACTED].</p> <p>I am concerned both by the density and the elevation of the proposed development. I would welcome mixed use of this underused and wonderfully located area. But I would like more provision of family homes. And I would urge that residential structures are limited to six storeys and industrial and commercial-only blocks to four storeys.</p> <p>Yours, AW</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Diana Barclay-Wyand	01/02/2022 08:37:32	OBJ	<p>This development is interesting but seems to have no understanding of the infrastructure needed to support it or the impact on the surrounding area. It is essentially proposing to land a brand new village in a tiny plot, with none of the conveniences people need. There is going to be a supposed drop in traffic because the site traffic will no longer be happening. What about the delivery drivers dropping of parcels, the food delivery cars at peak dinner time. These are a fact of our current lives and cannot be ignored.</p> <p>The height of these buildings is going to dramatically change the views and overpower the surrounding architecture. Light that we enjoy and a sense of peace next the Heath will be gone forever.</p> <p>The Heath has seen a dramatic increase of its use over the last ten years. Paths that were single tracks are now 30 feet wide. Entrances are crowded and difficult to navigate. The additional quantity of residents on the development will overwhelm the heath. A place of fresh air and solace will become akin to a busy high street as people desperately search for a sense of space.</p> <p>The build takes absolutely no responsibility for the partnership of this new space with the existing one. Stop being so greedy and scale it down. Focus on sustainability of the community in subtle but exciting ways- not the destruction imposed on the area by the current plan.</p>
2021/3225/P	Luey Herron	31/01/2022 15:00:08	OBJ	<p>I have no problem with creating jobs, creating homes, and this is in many ways a good thing. But for people who live by the Heath, it's an integral part of our lives. We are there every day. We can see Parliament Hill Fields from our kitchen window. Our children took their first steps in the baby area. We took [REDACTED] to the top of the Hill when he was [REDACTED]. It's iconic. It is absolutely incredible to walk to the top of the Hill and see London. It's the first place we go on a weekend morning, the first place we take guests who don't live in the area. We know we are truly lucky to be so close to this area that thousands of people flock to.</p> <p>To block it out and give such a tiny insignificant 'viewing window' to people to see this view from behind towering buildings is devastating to the area. This is effectively building in front of the London skyline and giving people a slit size of the eye of a needle through which to view this breathtaking sight. There is nothing that could justify this, nothing that is better than that view. It's centuries of London history.</p> <p>This development can surely go ahead without such devastation of the area. This is a short term win to bring some benefit to the area, but one that will annihilate a highly significant area to locals and tourists alike. The view from Parliament Hill is free. If you destroy that for people, who gets to see London - the rich, from swanky rooftop bars? The one good thing about the Heath is that it's for everyone.</p>
2021/3225/P	Meital Miselevich	31/01/2022 14:24:21	COMMNT	<p>What kind of security measures will be put in place to ensure the safety in the area? What would be the impact on parking in the area What would be the impact on pollution on Mansfield road which is already polluted. What kind of businesses will be allowed to open in the area - how it will affect the small businesses ?</p>
2021/3225/P	Jessica Pryce-Jones	31/01/2022 20:53:37	OBJ	<p>This building is was too high for the skyline and doesn't fit with the local buildings. I strongly object to the plans; they are clearly to maximise profit without taking the surrounding architecture into account. I know if you give planning on any basis the developers will simply appeal again. I strongly believe that this application should be denied.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	M Scholz	31/01/2022 19:27:34	OBJ	<p>Overall I am not against a new development, however, it has to be in keeping with the areas environmental vision and infrastructure.</p> <p>The visual impact of the development with buildings of 19 storeys high for between 750 and 825 flats is a major concern as it is by no means in line with the surrounding buildings and terraced streets and totally out of character with the characterful North West London streetscape. Furthermore, it alters the views from the local area and from Parliament Hill/Hampstead Heath considerably, a view that has been protected over decades.</p> <p>As a local resident, having lived with my family for almost ten years on Savernake Road, I am hugely concerned about the density of the proposed development and its negative impact on its infrastructure: roads, sewage, local school provision and health facilities. Mansfield Road is a bottle neck road connecting Kentish Town/Dartmouth Park/Highgate with South End Green/ Belsize Park/ Hampstead and hugely congested already without a new development of that size. It is also an important connection for ambulance, fire engines and police between Kentish Town and Belsize Park/Hampstead. There simply is not the infrastructure to deal with the size of the redevelopment and consequently the new influx of people. Mansfield Road between Gospel Oak Overground Station and Gordon House Road is already very narrow and congested to accommodate the current traffic and cyclists on the road and the narrow sidewalks are pretty congested too during rush hour and at weekends with local residents and visitors travelling to and fro the Heath. The area can surely be regenerated with lower rise buildings that are in line with the North & NorthWest London streetscape and furthermore the environmental commitment to reduce and NOT increase the air pollution in the area.</p> <p>In addition, it is interesting to learn that local residents are rejected planning permission for dormers to stay in line with conservation area rules and guidelines, yet there is a development of a 19(!!!!) storey building seriously being considered in the very same conservation area...</p>
2021/3225/P	Jessica Pryce-Jones	31/01/2022 20:53:41	OBJ	<p>This building is was too high for the skyline and doesn't fit with the local buildings. I strongly object to the plans; they are clearly to maximise profit without taking the surrounding architecture into account. I know if you give planning on any basis the developers will simply appeal again. I strongly believe that this application should be denied.</p>
2021/3225/P	Gemma Shore	31/01/2022 21:16:36	INT	<p>The view has not been considered.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	James Inman	31/01/2022 12:54:55	OBJ	<p>I am supportive overall of more development, housing and facilities in this area.</p> <p>Regarding this plan in particular I would hope to see</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A dramatic shift in the upfront carbon cost and much greater attention paid to ongoing zero or low carbon costs. Related I would wish to see very high consideration put on the heat sink impact on the neighbourhood. We are living in the beginnings of the climate crisis right now and there's no question that new additions to our built environment needs to (1) do no harm and (2) contribute to habitable communities in more extreme conditions. - Much more affordable housing, I hope many other people say the same. - A significant increase in facilities for young people. In some ways Camden is a much more limited and even hostile place for young people. For their benefit and for the community we need to support them wherever we can.
2021/3225/P	James Inman	31/01/2022 12:55:02	OBJ	<p>I am supportive overall of more development, housing and facilities in this area.</p> <p>Regarding this plan in particular I would hope to see</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A dramatic shift in the upfront carbon cost and much greater attention paid to ongoing zero or low carbon costs. Related I would wish to see very high consideration put on the heat sink impact on the neighbourhood. We are living in the beginnings of the climate crisis right now and there's no question that new additions to our built environment needs to (1) do no harm and (2) contribute to habitable communities in more extreme conditions. - Much more affordable housing, I hope many other people say the same. - A significant increase in facilities for young people. In some ways Camden is a much more limited and even hostile place for young people. For their benefit and for the community we need to support them wherever we can.
2021/3225/P	Raphael Honigstein	31/01/2022 12:46:20	OBJ	<p>I welcome the the development of Murphy's Yard into a mixed-use area in principle.</p> <p>The tower blocks envisaged for the northern end of the plot are far too high, however, blocking views of the Heath and impacting negatively on existing communities in Gospel Oak, Kentish Town and Dartmouth Park.</p> <p>In addition, the proposal pays scant regard to increased traffic flows (both pedestrian and vehicular) on Gordon House/Mansfield Road, which is already terrible congested now. There must be an additional thoroughfare that reconnects Kentish Town with Gospel Oak to alleviate pressure on this singular east-west artery between NW3 and NW5.</p>
2021/3225/P	Vladimir Sotskov	31/01/2022 15:46:54	OBJ	<p>While no objections to the development in general, the height of the buildings have to be controlled to protect the view from Parliament Hill. In current form the proposal is not acceptable.</p>
2021/3225/P	David metz	31/01/2022 09:28:45	OBJ	<p>I share the concerns of local residents of the Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum about the proposed development, in particular the bulk of the buildings, the small proportion of family homes, and the lack of commitment to affordable housing.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Michelle Borda	01/02/2022 00:24:15	COMMNT	This is going to interrupt an iconic view of London from parliament hill and it's not going to address the problem of affordable housing either.
2021/3225/P	stephen Ainger	31/01/2022 17:05:18	COMMNT	<p>I object to proposed scheme on several points</p> <p>First the visual impact from the Heath. From Parliament Hill, the development visually takes up the entire space between the tree line on Hampstead Heath and the City of London. Its approval would create a precedent for future development. The tower blocks higher than 10 stories should therefore be reduced in scale to 10 or less.</p> <p>Second. There is too little open space and insufficient access points and therefore a real danger of the area becoming a ghetto. The proposal is just not really integrated into the area and, as it can not rely on high footfall from shoppers to make a cohesive whole as has happened in Kings cross development, the danger of becoming a no go area is real</p> <p>Third. Bus frequency would have to be increased to cope and insufficient proposals are made to do this. Buses that run everying 8 minutes like the C11 should be increase to evry 3/4minutes</p> <p>Fourth Insufficient provision for schools and GP surgery</p>
2021/3225/P	Emma Fernandez	31/01/2022 10:48:21	OBJ	I am the current owner of Parliament Hill Fields Lido cafe - The Lido has been built in 1938 and is a grade II listed building - In winter, it benefits from a very low sun which is vital to its frequentation in the winter months - This high rise on its door step will totally blight any sunshine in winter and will kill any winter business around the pool. In summer, the Lido and its vicinity are regularly overcrowded to the point of riots. This extra population will make matters worse. I strongly oppose the proposed plans.
2021/3225/P	Bengt Ove Nilsen	31/01/2022 14:06:50	OBJ	I vociferously object to the implications this scheme will have on views from Parliament Hill as well as the high-density nature of the development. Simply put, if nearly 9/10 apartments are 1- to 2-bed, and priced between £900-1,000k, how can this possibly reconcile with Camden's planning policies and the need for affordable accommodation?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Catherine Max	31/01/2022 15:23:22	OBJ	<p>The development of Murphy's Yard has the potential to provide much needed homes, community assets and environmental improvements such as the new open space and proposed Heath Line. However, I share the concerns of the Design Review Panel and my neighbours in Dartmouth Park and Kentish Town regarding the current plans as follows:</p> <p>(1) The proposed proportion of affordable homes overall, as well as proportion to be 1 or 2-bed flats, is out of step with verified local need: this should be rectified as a priority.</p> <p>(2) The scale and design of the proposed residential blocks will have a negative impact on distinctive and valued views: alternative approaches to achieving the quantity of accommodation across the site should be pursued or the quantum reconsidered.</p> <p>(3) The building design proposed is at odds with the climate change-related and wider environmental objectives of the borough, with high levels of embodied carbon, likely high energy use and lack of natural ventilation and cooling.</p> <p>(4) The Heath Line route requires further work to ensure a continuous safe and user-friendly route which will encourage regular active travel.</p>
2021/3225/P	Sophie Trott	31/01/2022 14:07:57	COMMNT	The view from the heath will be severely impacted by the height of this development. The developers are likely to make a great profit an it seems like corporate greed is being put before the community and heritage of the area. No objection to the developer making money but it should be inline with the greatest benefit to the community (much lower rise and maximum benefit in terms of affordable housing).
2021/3225/P	Sophie Trott	31/01/2022 14:08:00	COMMNT	The view from the heath will be severely impacted by the height of this development. The developers are likely to make a great profit an it seems like corporate greed is being put before the community and heritage of the area. No objection to the developer making money but it should be inline with the greatest benefit to the community (much lower rise and maximum benefit in terms of affordable housing).
2021/3225/P	Sophie Trott	31/01/2022 14:08:03	COMMNT	The view from the heath will be severely impacted by the height of this development. The developers are likely to make a great profit an it seems like corporate greed is being put before the community and heritage of the area. No objection to the developer making money but it should be inline with the greatest benefit to the community (much lower rise and maximum benefit in terms of affordable housing).
2021/3225/P	Sophie Trott	31/01/2022 14:08:05	COMMNT	The view from the heath will be severely impacted by the height of this development. The developers are likely to make a great profit an it seems like corporate greed is being put before the community and heritage of the area. No objection to the developer making money but it should be inline with the greatest benefit to the community (much lower rise and maximum benefit in terms of affordable housing).
2021/3225/P	Sophie Trott	31/01/2022 14:08:23	COMMNT	The view from the heath will be severely impacted by the height of this development. The developers are likely to make a great profit an it seems like corporate greed is being put before the community and heritage of the area. No objection to the developer making money but it should be inline with the greatest benefit to the community (much lower rise and maximum benefit in terms of affordable housing).

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Justine Solomons	31/01/2022 21:20:46	COMMENT	Hi I'm a long time resident of Kentish Town, been here 20 years, Falkland Road, Alma Street, Patshull Road, Inkerman Road and now Oak Village. I've seen the plans for this development and very much object to the height of the proposed plans as I believe it'll completely obscure some of the wondrous views from the Heath. I've heard it'll be somewhere between 19 and 22 stories in places. That feels ever so high. Much of the planned development sounds OK and could bring some more interest to the area, but the height really feels like it's going to be a big issue
2021/3225/P	D Innes	31/01/2022 14:56:15	OBJ	I object to the scale and height of the proposed development and its impact on the view from Hampstead Heath
2021/3225/P	Luey Moorehead	31/01/2022 12:30:24	OBJ	Mansfield road and Camden in general are heavily polluted which is having a serious effect on people's health and in some cases causing death. [REDACTED] which is directly opposite the new site. The school regularly has readings of worryingly high pollution levels. With an increase of this many people on high rise blocks, there will be an increase in traffic, deliveries etc. Will Camden firstly address the dangerous levels of pollution before creating more small flats for people to let out on airbnb - why not build affordable family homes with outside space, low rise, well built? This is what is needed. Could the reason be profits by any chance? We are closing down primary schools and loosing communities because of families not being able to live in Camden.
2021/3225/P	Farnaz Modir	31/01/2022 12:50:53	AMEND	I have a problem with how the skyline would be affected by these buildings. Especially by the tall residential buildings. There isn't enough open and green space. The open space, public space and the play space is the same space which isn't enough. This scale of proposal is not necessary for the neighbourhood as there are plenty of unused workplace units and shops in the neighbourhood. I believe the proposed should be reduced by 40%.
2021/3225/P	Catherine Dille	31/01/2022 13:42:54	OBJ	I and my family are concerned at the gross overdevelopment of the proposed plan, despite the benefits. The structures are way out of scale to the rest of the surrounding low-level buildings and would dominate Kentish Town. There are very few places where we can see any green in this part of London and this would block out the one view we have of the Heath in the distance (the token sliver of view left in the plan is negligible). Now, people from all backgrounds stop at the canopy at the end of our road and take a moment and appreciate the view. Not all of us can easily walk up to the Heath, and this prospect is of shared value and benefit to us: to our mental health and well-being.
2021/3225/P	Jane Till	31/01/2022 20:13:17	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:13:21	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:13:25	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:13:29	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.
2021/3225/P	Jane Hill	31/01/2022 20:13:33	OBJ	I object to this scheme which is inappropriate on multiple counts. Homes are for people. We shape dwellings, thereafter they shape us. It is called a New Mixed Redevelopment but who will profit from this overwhelming, overbearing and unsightly design? The impact on the local, indigenous community would be horrendous, and not just through the staged build but forever after. The harm would be irremediable. People come from all over, and not just London, to experience and enjoy the wonder of Hampstead Heath. Sites such as Murphy's Builders Yard need sensitive regeneration, for the general good, not infilling on such an inordinate scale - and height - with unacceptable implications for residents and the neighbourhood and the borough. Please consider what will be lost. We place value on settings and views and human scale. I don't see how it can be justified when the losses far outweigh the gains.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Alice Iacussa	31/01/2022 21:18:31	COMMNT	I am writing to express my deep concern over the impact of the large buildings planned for Murphy's Yard. This design is not at all sensitive to the local community which has a village like feel. Too many buildings are planned in too little space and the size and height of them will change the character of the area forever. Simply look at the proposed view from Parliament Hill Fields above the Lido. Thousands of people come to the Heath for its soothing nature. Looking down on London from Parliament Hill one is renewed by the view and vista. This will be ruined by a view of large tower buildings. I urge you to review this design to make it more compatible with the natural beauty of the area. Bigger is not always better!
2021/3225/P	Alice Iacussa	31/01/2022 21:18:36	COMMNT	I am writing to express my deep concern over the impact of the large buildings planned for Murphy's Yard. This design is not at all sensitive to the local community which has a village like feel. Too many buildings are planned in too little space and the size and height of them will change the character of the area forever. Simply look at the proposed view from Parliament Hill Fields above the Lido. Thousands of people come to the Heath for its soothing nature. Looking down on London from Parliament Hill one is renewed by the view and vista. This will be ruined by a view of large tower buildings. I urge you to review this design to make it more compatible with the natural beauty of the area. Bigger is not always better!
2021/3225/P	Kate Taylor	31/01/2022 12:25:28	OBJ	<p>Hello -</p> <p>I object to this application on several grounds.</p> <p>Firstly, after Camden declared a climate emergency it is inexcusable to allow development which does not follow best practice for environmentally sustainable building design (specifically in terms of high energy use, unambitious insulation and inadequate ventilation/cooling) In a warming climate it is absolutely essential we do everything in our power to both limit our contribution to that warming, and to protect citizens from the effects of it.</p> <p>Secondly I understand the development will not provide as much affordable housing as it should, and will not provide enough family housing.</p> <p>Surely there are better ways to provide low rise, low energy, high density housing with facilities that genuinely meet the needs of local people.</p> <p>thanks.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Rathmann	31/01/2022 19:25:08	OBJ	<p>Overall, I am not against a new development, however, it has to be in keeping with the areas environmental vision and infrastructure.</p> <p>The visual impact of the development with buildings of 19 storeys high for between 750 and 825 flats is a major concern as it is by no means in line with the surrounding buildings and terraced streets and totally out of character with the characterful North West London streetscape. Furthermore, it alters the views from the local area and from Parliament Hill/Hampstead Heath considerably, a view that has been protected over decades.</p> <p>As a local resident, having lived with my family for almost ten years on Savernake Road, I am hugely concerned about the density of the proposed development and its negative impact on its infrastructure: roads, sewage, local school provision and health facilities. Mansfield Road is a bottle neck road connecting Kentish Town/Dartmouth Park/Highgate with South End Green/ Belsize Park/ Hampstead and hugely congested already without a new development of that size. It is also an important connection for ambulance, fire engines and police between Kentish Town and Belsize Park/Hampstead. There simply is not the infrastructure to deal with the size of the redevelopment and consequently the new influx of people. Mansfield Road between Gospel Oak Overground Station and Gordon House Road is already very narrow and congested to accommodate the current traffic and cyclists on the road and the narrow sidewalks are pretty congested too during rush hour and at weekends with local residents and visitors travelling to and fro the Heath. The area can surely be regenerated with lower rise buildings that are in line with the North & NorthWest London streetscape and furthermore the environmental commitment to reduce and NOT increase the air pollution in the area.</p> <p>In addition, it is interesting to learn that local residents are rejected planning permission for dormers to stay in line with conservation area rules and guidelines, yet there is a development of a 19(!!!!) storey building seriously being considered in the very same conservation area...</p>
