Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 28/01/2022 09:10:0	06
2021/5963/P	Sameer Chandra Anand	27/01/2022 22:47:08	COMMNT	This application has been submitted against the backdrop of the building having been deemed unsafe and the Council taking enforcement action against the freeholder to remedy. An application for this (Ref 2021/6057/P) has been submitted, but is not yet determined. It would be wholly irresponsible and possibly negligent of the Council to determine positively the application for extension until this is resolved. The Council should therefore refuse the application and should not entertain any further submissions until (a) the Ref Ref 2021/6057/P application is determined and (b) the works have been undertaken satisfactorily and signed off. I note Policy D12 of the London Plan requires the submission of a fire safety audit / report. Whilst this focusses on ¿major developments ¿ there are clearly compelling circumstances why one needs to be submitted in this instance, given the proposal is for additional dwellings on top of an unsafe building. In this respect the application is deficient and should be refused on fire safety grounds. Not adopting such a precautionary approach could lead to further risks to health and safety and fire risk ie Grenfell.	
2021/5963/P	Sunil Mehra	27/01/2022 17:17:41	OBJ	I wish to object to the planning permission being sought. There is a fire safety notice outstanding on this building as a result of unsafe cladding, compartmentation and walkways. These matters have taken years to resolve and are still as yet unresolved. It does not make sense to allow further development of this building until the fire safety issues have been resolved. The building has been deemed unsafe and until such time as the building has been deemed safe planning permission for further development should not be granted.	_