

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5963/P	martin rawlings	24/01/2022 11:59:43	OBJ	<p>I would like to object to this application for the following reasons</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The whole building has been deemed unsafe and is subject to an enforcement notice from the council against the freeholder. So these issues should be fully resolved before any planning applications are considered.</li> <li>2. All necessary remedial works should be completed before any planning application is considered.</li> <li>3. Policy D12 of the London Plan requires the submission of a fire safety audit/report. The proposal is for additional dwellings on top an unsafe building and the application is clearly deficient and should be refused on fire safety grounds.</li> <li>4. If the application is allowed it will cause further risk to health and safety and cause additional fire risk.</li> <li>5. I feel it would be irresponsible of the council to allow this application given all the fire and health and safety risks associated with this building. The leaseholders are working very hard to resolve the fire safety works and are working with the council. This is not yet resolved.</li> </ol>
2021/5963/P	Kristof Nemeth	26/01/2022 23:40:50	OBJ	<p>The application is related to a building that is currently unsafe from the fire safety perspective. There is currently enforcement action being undertaken from the council against the freeholder. Given that the situation is still unresolved this application should not receive the green light. My concern is that the application under consideration is proposing the creation of new dwellings in a building that is deemed a fire safety risk, approving such an application can create further health and safety hazard and it would be against the public interest</p>
2021/5963/P	Kira Wainstein	24/01/2022 11:41:37	OBJ	<p>I object to this application as the applicants who are the freeholders of the block have continually failed to resolve current major issues of the building, which has been deemed unsafe by the Council. These include cladding and other fire safety issues. I do not understand how the Council could consider an application for an extension on a building which the Council themselves has raised serious concerns with. I understand that the applicants (the freehold company) are part of the same company with the same family directors as the property developers and original freeholders who put themselves into liquidation to avoid responsibility for the block. On a separate note, more residents in the block would also result in more cars in an already congested area (even though the flats do not come with access to permits, residents still have vehicles).</p>