Susanne Drayson 23/01/2022 18:09:24 COMMNT

and will be seriously impacted by this proposed development. This proposal is exactly the same as the previous planning application reference number 2021/3864/P that was refused on 05/11/2021. The only difference is, change of the door on my side of property to a window. So all my concerns about the impact on my property still stand. Moreover, it is highly misleading the claim that the result would be indiscernible on my property. When there is an obvious interference with my right to light and my right to privacy.

The proposed scheme will have adverse affect on my property in a number of ways as follows

- 1- The only light source at the back of the house comes from a light-well which provides natural light to ground and first floor of my property. The light is further limited because of the effect of a two story property in Burdett Mews that backs onto the light-well. I currently depend upon the light originating from the west of my property. It will be obvious from the projection that the T-shaped construction of comprising solid walls adding to the existing roof on number 5 interfered with the natural daylight currently available to my property. At present daylight shines down the light-well into my property. There can be no doubt that the introduction of the new walls effect the light in our dining area, study, living room and master bedroom on first floor which the window faces west.
- 2- The second reason for objection beside loss of light is our privacy. The glass cover to the staircase of number 5 proposal is adjacent to the party wall and the most private room in our house(the only natural source of light is through a skylight). Therefore will intrude in our privacy and light.

I am attaching the pictures for purpose of supporting my reasons for objection. This extension will not improve the quality of life to number 5, but it will take ours away, and our wellbeing. The only gain for number 5 is more square meters, which only benefits the property developers!

Given the extremely intrusive impact of the proposed development on my property, I still would welcome a visit by a planning officer.

Susanne Drayson