

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5963/P	Charlotte Tobias	20/01/2022 21:21:30	OBJ	<p>1. I strongly feel that this application should be refused as it has been submitted against the backdrop of the building having been deemed unsafe and the Council taking enforcement action against the freeholder to remedy, who is the applicant for this planning permission. An application for the fire safety works (Ref 2021/6057/P) has been submitted, but is not yet determined.</p> <p>2. It would be wholly irresponsible and possibly negligent of the Council to determine positively the application for extension until this is resolved.</p> <p>3. The Council should therefore refuse the application and should not entertain any further submissions until (a) Ref 2021/6057/P application is determined and (b) the works have been undertaken satisfactorily and signed off. The freeholder has previously not carried out works to the correct standard so it is imperative to ensure the fire safety works are properly executed before entertaining additional units on this block.</p> <p>4. We note Policy D12 of the London Plan requires the submission of a fire safety audit / report. Whilst this focusses on ¿major developments¿ there are clearly compelling circumstances why one needs to be submitted in this instance, given the proposal is for additional dwellings on top of an unsafe building. In this respect the application is deficient and should be refused on fire safety grounds.</p> <p>5. Not adopting such a precautionary approach could without doubt lead to further risks to health and safety and fire risk along the lines of Grenfell</p>
2021/5963/P	DR NIGEL GIAM	20/01/2022 20:50:17	OBJ	<p>I am writing to object to this planning application.</p> <p>That this application has been submitted against the backdrop of the building having been deemed unsafe and the Council taking enforcement action against the freeholder to remedy. An application for this (Ref 2021/6057/P) has been submitted, but is not yet determined.</p> <p>It would be wholly irresponsible and possibly negligent of the Council to determine positively the application for extension until this is resolved.</p> <p>The Council should therefore refuse the application and should not entertain any further submissions until (a) the Ref Ref 2021/6057/P application is determined and (b) the works have been undertaken satisfactorily and signed off.</p> <p>We note Policy D12 of the London Plan requires the submission of a fire safety audit / report. Whilst this focusses on ¿major developments¿ there are clearly compelling circumstances why one needs to be submitted in this instance, given the proposal is for additional dwellings on top of an unsafe building. In this respect the application is deficient and should be refused on fire safety grounds.</p> <p>Not adopting such a precautionary approach could lead to further risks to health and safety and fire risk ie Grenfell.</p>