

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5499/P	R. Noss & C. Hoyl;es	15/01/2022 19:04:35	COMMNT	<p>We are the owners of 52 Regent¿s Park Road, Ground and First Floors and Garden of 52. Our comments on the planning application centres on one issue of privacy and one of aesthetics. On the first count, The building of a 1.8m ¿ (why so tall?) - wooden fence on the ground floor extended terrace will most certainly affect the view from our terrace.</p> <p>When permission was granted for the extension at 54, it was with a requirement to provide green plants/bushes (which are deep) at the edge of the terrace. Why not in this case? In addition, we feel it would be prudent to construct a gap of at least 2 feet between the extensions at pr and 56 and would provide a deeper and more effective privacy/security screen would reduce concerns over the breach of privacy (and security).</p> <p>On the second count, a tall wooden fence on the ground floor terrace could not by any stretch of imagination, be in keeping with the with the neighbouring buildings. Over the years,there have been many many applications which sought to dilute the style and functionality of the rear of these buildings. There really is no particular reason why this application provides grounds for destroying the cohesion and style of these houses. We do not feel the need to labour the point: just, please, take a look for yourselves.</p>
2021/5499/P	R. Noss & C. Hoyl;es	15/01/2022 19:04:38	COMMNT	<p>We are the owners of 52 Regent¿s Park Road, Ground and First Floors and Garden of 52. Our comments on the planning application centres on one issue of privacy and one of aesthetics. On the first count, The building of a 1.8m ¿ (why so tall?) - wooden fence on the ground floor extended terrace will most certainly affect the view from our terrace.</p> <p>When permission was granted for the extension at 54, it was with a requirement to provide green plants/bushes (which are deep) at the edge of the terrace. Why not in this case? In addition, we feel it would be prudent to construct a gap of at least 2 feet between the extensions at pr and 56 and would provide a deeper and more effective privacy/security screen would reduce concerns over the breach of privacy (and security).</p> <p>On the second count, a tall wooden fence on the ground floor terrace could not by any stretch of imagination, be in keeping with the with the neighbouring buildings. Over the years,there have been many many applications which sought to dilute the style and functionality of the rear of these buildings. There really is no particular reason why this application provides grounds for destroying the cohesion and style of these houses. We do not feel the need to labour the point: just, please, take a look for yourselves.</p>