Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 20/01/2022 Response:	09:10:08
2021/4336/P	Melina Josserand	17/01/2022 11:13:58	OBJ	We object on grounds of: -loss of light, -not enough distance to our building in accordance with Camden regs, - loss of light. The light study in this planning application is not being listed as an important incremental loss of light but it is important to remember to aggregate the previous loss of light to this one. For reminder: previously this was a building less elevated and only got retroactive permission to elevate to the current level. This building will no doubt continue to want to elevate so in aggregate, the loss of light, distance to building and privacy is very material.	
2021/4336/P	Mrs. Ahsmann	19/01/2022 12:07:33	ОВЈ	As one of the neighbours of this property, we strongly object to the current proposal to extend, on the grounds of lack of sunlight. We have already lost a large amount of sunshine when this property was first built, as it got built higher than planned, but the owner managed to get retroactive planning permission. The proposed extension is directly in the path of the morning sun and the little sun we get now, will be taken away completely if approved. Added to that is the unacceptable distance to our livable areas, which is contradictory to Camden's own guidelines as it is.	
				FYI the original building that was there was a small office building of two floors.	