

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Jenifer Dylan	15/01/2022 02:32:03	COMMNT	This is not housing which will benefit local families. This will be overpriced and an eyesore and there are plenty more available housing ideas which would better serve the community and the environment and therefore I strongly object to the proposal.
2021/3225/P	Jenifer Dylan	15/01/2022 02:32:05	COMMNT	This is not housing which will benefit local families. This will be overpriced and an eyesore and there are plenty more available housing ideas which would better serve the community and the environment and therefore I strongly object to the proposal.
2021/3225/P	Jenifer Dylan	15/01/2022 02:32:07	COMMNT	This is not housing which will benefit local families. This will be overpriced and an eyesore and there are plenty more available housing ideas which would better serve the community and the environment and therefore I strongly object to the proposal.
2021/3225/P	Paul Carroll	14/01/2022 10:00:55	COMMNT	This is Visual Vandalism in the extreme. This is one of London's oldest and best loved views about to be blighted by this over high development. This is a severe case of over development in an already overcrowded area. There simply is not the infrastructure to deal with this new influx of people here. It's a shockingly ill conceived plan & should not be allowed to progress.
2021/3225/P	Dafne	15/01/2022 01:30:08	COMMNT	I object this overdevelopment of the area

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	richard bryant	16/01/2022 14:46:00	SUPC	Dear Sir/Madam

Re: 2021/3225/P – Redevelopment of Murphy's Yard.

I am a resident of Barrington Court NW5 4AT, as such my comments here are provided as a local resident.

I write to give my full support to the development of the site and the application but object strongly to the number of homes, 750no. / 825no. max, being proposed as it falls significantly short of that which the site could accommodate given its size and the contextual factors.

This site is a holy grail of residential development opportunity; it has direct access to the open space of Hampstead Heath, it is on the doorstep of Kentish Town and Gospel Oak stations providing Underground, Thameslink, and London Overground access, and it links directly to Kentish Town high street which provides a great number of amenities. With these three key significant factors together the site represents a unique opportunity for the local area and borough, this must be capitalised on whilst we have the opportunity to meet the pressing housing needs of the capital now and in the coming years.

It is incredibly disappointing to see that the Council has not steered the scheme during the pre-application/consultation period to deliver a greater number of homes, when it is so clearly apparent that this is possible. I note the comments from the LBC design review panel in the Design and Access Statement (p.141) that the prior height and massing of the residential portion was felt to be excessive, and that this should be reduced to limit the impact on the view from Parliament Hill. Any impact on the view from Parliament Hill from a scheme with a greater height and massing should be regarded as entirely acceptable, in the context that there is a pressing need to sustainably intensify our existing urban centres to provide urgently needed homes, mitigate against climate change and biodiversity loss, and limit urban sprawl. The prior iteration of the scheme with a greater height and massing to the residential portion should have been supported by LBC.

As a general comment the quality of the proposed scheme and information submitted to support the application is exceptional, the ambition of the developer and their team along with the Council, bar in relation to the matter raised here, should be highly commended.

I urge you please in the strongest terms to work with the developer to ensure that ultimately a greater number of homes is delivered on the site, beyond the maximum of 825no. as listed in the application.

Yours faithfully

R Bryant

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/3225/P	Mary Sprent	16/01/2022 08:37:49	OBJ	<p>As a local resident I am hugely concerned about the density of the proposed development and its negative impact on the local infrastructure: roads, sewage, local school provision and health facilities. I am also not clear about the development's environmental credentials - are solar panels and heat pumps envisaged? If not they should be. Also has the development taken into consideration the impact of the pandemic on office space needs - and most important of all, the growing need for social housing?</p> <p>The visual impact of the development with buildings of 19 storeys high is a major concern. It will tower over all the surrounding terraced streets and change the views from the local area and towards and from Hampstead Heath irrevocably.</p> <p>I am by no means against a new development, but it needs to be in keeping with the area, the area's social and environmental vision and its infrastructure.</p>
2021/3225/P	A Howell	15/01/2022 08:24:30	COMMNT	<p>The development should fully protect the Parliament Hill and St Paul's view line corridors. The Corporation of London planning department should be involved in the approval process given the St Paul's view line protection. The application notes that the protection makes mixed use unviable and the photos are not clear as to whether the heights obscure the view line. It is not therefore not clear whether the application proposed adheres to the view line restrictions. LBC should enforce these view lines as part of the approval process and request changes in height levels if not adhered to. LBC should also refer this to the Corporation of London planning department.</p>
2021/3225/P	Susanna	14/01/2022 22:36:05	COMMNT	<p>As a Camden resident, I love forgetting to live in a big city and walking in parliament hill/going to the lido. It helps my well being and the one of my family members.</p> <p>I do welcome the redevelopment and the creation of new housing but feel the plan is negatively impacting the life of other residents and the nature of the area.</p> <p>I m surprised our MP and conusillor are not opposing this!!!!</p>
2021/3225/P	Sandy Nairne and Lisa Tickner	15/01/2022 17:32:22	OBJ	<p>This huge scheme is over-size for this site, and the mitigation of a walking route to the Heath and some new open space (but near to the rail tracks) is no compensation for the terrible intrusion of the towers into the southward views from Hampstead Heath. As residents of Lady Somerset Road for 38 years we are hugely conscious of the need to protect public space and amenities, while understanding the need for social housing and regeneration. We note that the Design Review Panel in July expressed its continuing concerns about the height of the tallest towers. They are still too tall and should not be approved.</p>