


Subject:

Re: OBJECTION: 2021/3702/P




Sorry, I should also mention that the description of development is completely contrary to the works actually being proposed. This is alarming as we pre-screen applications before meetings and if the description of development is contrary to the works actually proposed they may not be fully discussed by the committee.

Owen



**BLOOMSBURY
CONSERVATION AREAS**

Advisory Committee | Est. 1968



Dear Mr Wong,

The application documents included in this application are totally insufficient for the purposes of assessing the impact of this proposal upon the listed building. The Design and Access statement suggests replacement of windows and blocking up of existing windows but provides no elevations or sections, nor images or details to demonstrate the works that are being done. The heritage statement is totally insufficient in understanding the impact of the proposal upon the significance of the building. Until appropriate drawings and a statement are uploaded to the site we object in the strongest possible terms to this application.

Alan, I have copied you as I am increasingly nervous these days about new planners mistakenly approving things and this application is clearly completely insufficient. I do not expect to need to provide full justification for this objection at this stage so if Camden intends to go to Members' Briefing for whatever reason, please let me know so I can write a full objection citing the relevant law and policy.

Owen Ward



**BLOOMSBURY
CONSERVATION AREAS**

Advisory Committee | Est. 1968

