

Parnjit Singh

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 January 2022 14:16
To: Planning Planning
Subject: Objection to development at 22 Tanza Road, NW3 2UB - Ref: 2021/5349/P

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to object to the proposed development at 22 Tanza Road, NW3 2UB (Ref: 2021/5349/P).

Please excuse this submission arriving after the formal consultation period has ended. The freeholder of 56 Parliament Hill is incapacitated and her next of kin who acts as her representative resides abroad. Additionally, I have been deployed on OP RESCRIPT, the British Army's specialist task force to combat Covid-19 and provide military aid to civil authorities in the most significant homeland military operation to take place in the UK during peacetime.

We have lived on the garden floor of 56 Parliament Hill for over 5 years. The rear of our flat, where our living room and small garden are situated, abuts directly onto the side of the existing garage of 22 Tanza Road.

We object very strongly to the development plans, which constitute a considerable extension and enlargement of what is presently a low level garage. This threatens to be an overbearing and intrusive increase in height and bulk, with a very sizeable approach towards our property of the side wall running across the back of our garden. Our loss of light (the building is to the SSE of us), amenity and feeling of being closed in would increase dramatically.

The application is for a considerable extension to the existing garage, making it into two storeys, plus a tall sloping roof which will have a considerably negative impact on the amenity and aesthetic value of our property. The plans will also impact upon the character of the Conservation Area to the detriment in particular of our garden, which is relatively short and hemmed-in by the surrounding one-storey walls and fences. These proposals contradict the ethos of the Home Improvements Supplementary Planning Guidance as well as the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and the Camden Local Plan, as detailed below.

As stated in my neighbour's objection (Ref: LEV171-1264727), the proposed development will likely be in transgression to Section 72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 in that it will be both incongruous to the architecture in the area and detrimental to the light and thus the natural life therein. It is also in contravention of Policy D2 (e) of the Camden Local Plan as the plan neither 'preserves or enhances the special character or appearance of the area'. Consequently, should the development be approved, the urban landscape and aesthetic dynamic of the Parliament Hill/Tanza Road vicinity will almost certainly be irrevocably marred.

Furthermore, Policy A1 of the Camden Local Plan concerns the quality of life, privacy and amenity value of residents. The proposed development at 22 Tanza Road will highly likely adversely affect the [REDACTED], views and access to sunlight of the homes and gardens opposite to it, and its assertion that it will have no such impact of neighbouring properties as stated in the Planning Statement and Design and Access Statement is an evident falsehood that is ill considered at best.

The area is already very dense with large mostly Victorian houses, built very close together and with very small gardens, which in their small size are already incommensurate with the sizes of the buildings. Approval of the

application would exacerbate the over-densification of the area and the quality of life and amenity of nearby residents.

In conclusion, the result of the approval of these plans will be an overbearing, inconsistent and intrusive structure that will deprive our garden in particular of the light it needs to flourish, as well as adversely affecting the outlook and overall quality of our home. These plans belie a consideration for the local infrastructure and residents and we respectfully urge the Planning Committee to reject them.

Yours,

B Brook | Intelligence Corps | J2 Analyst JMC (L) | Op RESCRIPT |