Parnjit Singh

From: Rosemary Bondy

 Sent:
 14 January 2022 10:21

 To:
 Planning Planning

Subject: Planning Objection to 2021/5626/P

Dear Sirs,

I am the owner of flat 2, <u>21 Rosslyn Hill</u>. I am very concerned to see that a further extension is proposed at Eldon House on land that was originally part of the garden belonging to no 21. This will mean that there will be a blank wall across the whole of the back of the garden, making for a far less pleasant outlook, reduction of sunlight affecting use of the lovely garden at no 21 and the health of the plants and lawn there. I gather from the arboricultural report that the root system of the Scots pine may well be threatened by the building work.

The flower bed will be built over resulting in a shrinking of land available for soaking away ground water, a particular issue here following flooding of the basement flat here last summer.

This application, if granted, gives permission for the construction of a gym, not essential extra living accommodation. The applicant may aspire to have such a facility in her own home but it will only be of benefit to one household. In this case consideration of the considerable loss of amenity to the occupants of the four properties within no 21 and the environmental impact of the building work should prevail and planning permission be refused.

Rosemary Bondy, _ Phone EMail

Thank you.

Comment Type is Objection