Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry I	iry Date: 11/01/2022		022	
	N/A	/ attach		Consult Expiry I	Date:			
Officer Nick Bell	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Application Number(s) 2021/5873/T						
NICK Dell			2021/3673/1	2021/30/3/1				
Application Address 14 Brookfield Park London NW5 1ER			Drawing Number	ers				
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature C	&UD	Authorised Offi	icer Sig	nature			
Proposal(s)								
FRONT GARDEN: 1 x Pittosporum - Fell to ground level. REAR GARDEN: 1 x Pittosporum - Fell to ground level.								
Recommendation(s):	No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a conservation area.							
Application Type:	Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area							
Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Informatives:								
Consultations								
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified		No. of responses		No. of o	bjections	00	
	No. electronic 00 The council received one consultation response detailed below.							
Summary of consultation responses:	333110111000							
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	<ul> <li>The Dartmouth Park CAAC and Dartmouth Park Neighbourhood Forum:         <ul> <li>I met with the owner's garden designer. The owner wants to put a wall in the front garden to conform with the other houses in Brookfield Park. There is no wall there at present. This would entail the removal of the multi-stemmed Pittasporum. Although visible, I think it has a relatively low amenity value. The Pittasporum in the rear garden is a better specimen, but you may consider it to be too near to the new extension. However, I was assured that it will have the same building footprint as the one being replaced. Therefore, I prefer that it is pruned, rather than felled.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>							

## **Assessment**

The s.211 notification is for the removal of two Pittosporum specimens, one from the front garden and one from the rear garden of a residential property that is situated within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.

Both specimens are small and tightly clipped. The front garden tree is highly visible from the public realm but due to its small size is not considered to significantly contribute to the character and appearance of the conservation area. The rear garden tree is of minimal visibility from the public realm.

Neither tree is of a rare species or is a noteworthy example of its species. Neither tree is of any known historical or cultural value.

It is not expedient for the council to serve a tree preservation order to protect the trees.

The council does not object to the proposed works.