

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:10:49	INT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would also reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	26/12/2021 14:01:17	JUST	You say that the existing use is a C3 dwelling house, but this is not true of the garden at the back which is currently a garage.
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:14:25	COMMNT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would also forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:14:29	COMMNT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would also forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:10:47	INT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would also reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:10:44	INT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would also reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:10:42	INT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would also reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:10:37	INT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would also reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	23/12/2021 13:10:13	INT	Drawing number 288-A203 indicates that after this conversion the height of the partition between my house (number 10) and this one (number 8a), which is already very high at 2 meters, would be about half as high again and very overbearing. My garden is lovely but quite small, and this additional height along with the changes in the level behind it would make a lot of difference to the amenity of the garden. It would forever be overlooked by the neighbours. Presumably it would also reduce the value of my property somewhat. Would it be possible for the new owners to be required not to raise the upper level of their development above the current level of the roof of the existing garage?
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	28/12/2021 14:11:44	COMMNT	<p>There is a great deal wrong with the way this application is presented.</p> <p>First, it is not a "single storey rear extension", it is a detached two-storey house with one storey above ground and the other below. A covered passage is to be constructed to connect the new detached house to the main building.</p> <p>Second, the "existing land use" is not a "C3 Dwelling House" (which would allow 6 people to live on this plot of land as one household). The main part of the road frontage is occupied by a block of flats, containing 8 flats. In addition, there is small vertical house on the side of the block of flats, not big enough for 6 people living as one household, and behind, in the garden, there is a large garage which takes up almost all the space.</p> <p>Third, the "proposed land use", which is also listed as a "C3 Dwelling House", suggesting that there would be no change of use, actually consists of building a two storey house on land that is currently a used as a garage. So there would be a change of use.</p>
2021/5750/P	Penelope Rowlatt	26/12/2021 14:08:25	INT	I submitted a comment relating to drawing 288-A203. My children tell me my measurement of the height of the existing wall is wrong, in fact it varies between about 2.5 meters and 3 meters and so is already very overbearing. If it's height is increased in the manner shown in the drawing it will make a huge difference to my garden. Can the project be restricted so the ground level is no higher than the current level of the roof of the garage, so there is no need to raise the level of the party wall?